INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF RESEARCH
FOR INNOVATION, SUSTAINABILITY, AND EXCELLENCE (IMJRISE)
https://risejournals.org/index.php/imjrise
Volume 1, Issue no. 6 (2024)
ISSN: 3028-032X (online) | ISSN: 3028-0370 (print)

Determining Factors of Blended Learning Success in Philippine Educational Institutions: A Discriminant Analysis

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12364254

Osias Kit T. Kilag
PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Mercado St., Poblacion, Toledo City, Philippines
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0845-3373

Antonina M. Llanos
Oakland International Academy, Educational Management and Network, Michigan, USA
https://orcid.org/0009-0004-6938-4206

Ryzel Maureen F. Canes
Eastern Visayas State University, Tacloban City, Philippines
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1200-5733

John Michael V. Sasan
PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Mercado St., Poblacion, Toledo City, Philippines
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5987-6937

Francisca T. Uy
ECT Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Buanoy, Balamban, Cebu, Philippines
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2180-5874

Mary Aianne L. Villegas
PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Mercado St., Poblacion, Toledo City, Philippines
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2909-2263

Abstract:

This study investigated the determining factors of blended learning success in educational institutions in the Philippines. Through discriminant analysis, Infrastructure and Technology Mastery, Implementation Standards and Guidelines, Learning Strategy, as well as respondent status and learning environment were examined. The findings revealed that Infrastructure and Technology Mastery, Implementation Standards and Guidelines, and Learning Strategy significantly influenced blended learning success. Institutions investing in robust technological infrastructure, clear implementation guidelines, and diverse learning strategies achieved higher success rates. Surprisingly, respondent status and learning environment did not significantly impact success, indicating consistent perceptions across different groups and contexts. The study highlights the importance of effective implementation and resource provision in blended learning initiatives. Understanding these factors can guide educators and institutions in enhancing blended learning experiences for better student outcomes and educational effectiveness.

Keywords: Blended learning, educational institutions, determining factors, infrastructure and technology mastery

Introduction:

Blended learning is an innovative educational approach that integrates traditional in-person classroom instruction with online learning components. It offers students a flexible and dynamic learning experience, allowing them to access resources and engage in activities both in the physical classroom and through digital platforms. This method aims to enhance student engagement and cater to diverse learning styles by incorporating technology, multimedia, and interactive elements into traditional teaching methods (Nurhidayat, et al. 2024; Graham, 2006).

In the Philippines, the educational landscape has significantly evolved due to the increasing adoption of blended learning. This shift was accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, which forced educational institutions to transition rapidly from traditional face-to-face education to online learning. Despite initial expectations that online learning could fully replace in-person interactions, it became evident that a blended approach was more effective in maintaining student engagement and learning outcomes. This transition highlighted the need for a comprehensive understanding of the factors that contribute to the success of blended learning in various educational contexts (Hapsari, et al. 2023; Ramadani et al., 2019).

Blended learning combines the strengths of both face-to-face and online learning environments, aiming to create a more holistic and effective educational experience. This method emerged in the late 1990s as a response to the growing demand for flexible and accessible learning opportunities, leveraging the advancements in technology and

the internet (Taylor, 1995). By integrating multiple learning modalities, blended learning seeks to optimize educational outcomes by enhancing learner engagement, promoting active learning, and accommodating diverse learning preferences.

However, the effectiveness of blended learning can vary widely across different educational levels and stakeholder groups. While previous research has explored various aspects of blended learning, there remains a gap in understanding the specific factors that differentiate successful implementation in primary, secondary, and higher education settings. This study aims to fill this gap by using discriminant analysis to identify the key differentiating factors of blended learning success in the Philippines, considering perspectives from both students and educators across various educational levels.

Discriminant analysis is a statistical technique used to determine which variables differentiate between two or more naturally occurring groups. In the context of this study, it will be used to identify the factors that distinguish between successful and less successful implementations of blended learning. By analyzing data from both students and educators, this study seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the critical elements that contribute to the effectiveness of blended learning in Philippine educational institutions.

The findings of this research will offer valuable insights for educational policymakers, administrators, and practitioners in the Philippines, helping them to design and implement more effective blended learning strategies. Ultimately, this study aims to enhance the quality of education by identifying the key factors that drive successful blended learning experiences, thereby contributing to the overall improvement of the educational system in the Philippines.

Literature Review:

Blended learning is a pedagogical approach that integrates traditional face-to-face classroom methods with online educational materials and interactive online activities. It has gained considerable attention in recent years due to its potential to enhance the learning experience by combining the best aspects of both in-person and online learning. This hybrid approach allows students to benefit from the flexibility of online resources while still engaging in the interpersonal interactions of a traditional classroom setting (Graham, 2006).

The concept of blended learning emerged in the late 1990s, driven by advancements in technology and the increasing accessibility of the internet. Taylor (1995) noted that distance education technologies evolved through several generations, culminating in blended learning as an innovative method that leverages the strengths of both distance and traditional education. Blended learning aims to create a more engaging and flexible learning environment that accommodates diverse learning styles and needs (Taylor, 1995).

Blended learning can be broadly defined as the thoughtful integration of classroom face-to-face learning experiences with online learning experiences. According to Graham (2006), blended learning systems are designed to achieve better educational outcomes by combining the strengths of traditional and digital learning environments. This integration helps to maximize the effectiveness of teaching and learning processes by providing multiple pathways for students to access content and engage with material (Graham, 2006).

Blended learning offers several significant benefits that contribute to its growing popularity in educational institutions. One of the primary advantages is its flexibility. Students can access learning materials at their own pace and convenience, which is particularly beneficial for those with diverse schedules or learning preferences. Additionally, blended learning supports differentiated instruction, allowing educators to tailor their teaching methods to the individual needs of students (Means et al., 2013).

The integration of technology in blended learning environments also promotes student engagement. Interactive multimedia resources, online discussions, and digital assessments can make learning more dynamic and engaging. According to Osguthorpe and Graham (2003), the use of technology in blended learning environments can lead to higher levels of student motivation and participation, which are crucial factors in achieving educational success(Osguthorpe & Graham, 2003).

Moreover, blended learning fosters the development of critical 21st-century skills. By engaging with digital tools and online platforms, students enhance their technological proficiency, digital literacy, and self-directed learning skills. These competencies are essential in today's increasingly digital and interconnected world(Dziuban et al., 2004).

Despite its many benefits, blended learning also presents several challenges that educators and institutions must address to ensure its success. One significant challenge is the need for robust technological infrastructure. Effective blended learning requires reliable internet access, appropriate digital devices, and technical support, which can be a barrier for some educational institutions, particularly in developing regions (Picciano, 2009).

Additionally, blended learning demands a high level of digital literacy from both educators and students. Teachers must be proficient in using digital tools and designing online learning experiences, while students need to be comfortable navigating online platforms and managing their learning independently. This requirement can create a steep learning curve and necessitate ongoing professional development and support for educators (Garrison & Vaughan, 2008).

Another challenge is the potential for reduced social interaction and sense of community. Traditional face-to-face learning environments naturally facilitate social interactions and the development of relationships among students and between students and teachers. Blended learning environments must intentionally incorporate strategies to foster community and connection to mitigate the risk of isolation and disengagement(Rovai & Jordan, 2004).

Factors Influencing the Success of Blended Learning

Research has identified several key factors that influence the success of blended learning implementations. These factors can be broadly categorized into institutional, pedagogical, and technological factors.

Institutional support plays a critical role in the successful implementation of blended learning. According to Garrison and Vaughan (2008), strong leadership, clear policies, and adequate resources are essential components of institutional support. Institutions must provide the necessary infrastructure, professional development opportunities, and technical support to ensure that both educators and students can effectively engage with blended learning environments (Garrison & Vaughan, 2008).

The design and delivery of blended learning courses are crucial determinants of their effectiveness. Pedagogical strategies that promote active learning, collaboration, and student engagement are particularly important. Research by Garrison and Kanuka (2004) emphasizes the importance of creating a community of inquiry in blended learning environments, where cognitive, social, and teaching presences interact to support deep and meaningful learning experiences (Garrison & Kanuka, 2004).

The role of the teacher is also a critical factor. In blended learning environments, teachers must adopt new roles and responsibilities, such as facilitating online discussions, providing timely feedback, and supporting students' self-directed learning efforts. Professional development and ongoing support are essential to help teachers develop the necessary skills and competencies for effective blended learning instruction(Means et al., 2013).

Technological Factors
The selection and use of appropriate technologies are fundamental to the success of blended learning. Technologies should be user-friendly, reliable, and aligned with the learning objectives and needs of the students. According to Picciano (2009), the effectiveness of blended learning is significantly influenced by the quality of the technological tools and platforms used. Additionally, the integration of multimedia resources, interactive simulations, and other digital content can enhance the learning experience and support diverse learning styles(Picciano, 2009)

In the Philippines, the adoption of blended learning has been driven by the need to improve access to quality education and enhance learning outcomes. The COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated this shift as educational institutions were forced to transition to online and blended learning models to ensure continuity of education. Studies have shown that blended learning can be particularly effective in addressing the diverse needs of Filipino students by providing flexible and personalized learning opportunities (Alvarez Jr, 2020).

The success of blended learning in the Philippines depends on several contextual factors, including technological infrastructure, teacher preparedness, and student readiness. According to Alvarez Jr (2020), while there have been significant efforts to improve digital access and literacy, challenges remain, particularly in rural and underserved areas. Ensuring equitable access to technology and providing adequate training and support for educators are critical steps toward the successful implementation of blended learning in the country (Alvarez Jr, 2020).

Discriminant analysis is a statistical technique used to identify variables that differentiate between two or more naturally occurring groups. In educational research, discriminant analysis can be used to determine the factors that predict successful outcomes in various educational settings. This technique has been widely applied to explore factors influencing student performance, teacher effectiveness, and educational program success (Klecka, 1980).

By applying discriminant analysis to blended learning research, this study aims to identify the key factors that differentiate successful from less successful implementations of blended learning in the Philippines. This approach provides valuable insights that can inform the design and implementation of more effective blended learning strategies, ultimately contributing to the improvement of educational outcomes in the country.

Methodology:

This study employed a quantitative research design to examine the factors that differentiate successful from less successful implementations of blended learning in educational institutions in the Philippines. The primary method of analysis was discriminant analysis, which was used to identify the variables that significantly distinguish between high and low levels of blended learning success.

The participants in this study were drawn from various educational institutions across the Philippines, including both primary/secondary schools and higher education institutions. The sample included 178 respondents, consisting of 56.86% males and 43.14% females. The composition was 46.1% from primary and secondary education (teachers and students) and 53.9% from higher education (lecturers and students).

Data were collected through a structured questionnaire designed to capture participants' perceptions of various factors influencing the success of blended learning. The questionnaire included both demographic items (such as gender and status as either student or teacher/lecturer) and specific items related to blended learning factors. These factors were identified based on a comprehensive literature review and included:

Initially, each variable was tested for significant mean differences between the two groups (high and low blended learning success) using t-tests. This step was necessary to identify variables that could potentially differentiate the groups. Variables that showed significant mean differences were included in the discriminant function analysis. This analysis involved calculating both unstandardized and standardized discriminant functions, which are linear combinations of the predictor variables that best separate the groups. Classification functions were derived to predict the group membership (high or low success) based on the values of the predictor variables. The cut-off value for classifying respondents was determined using the standardized discriminant function score formula. The predictive accuracy of the discriminant model was assessed by examining the percentage of correctly classified cases. Additionally, the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to validate the strength of the classification.

Findings and Discussion:

Importance of Infrastructure and Technology Mastery
The study revealed that Infrastructure and Technology Mastery (X1) play a crucial role in determining the success of blended learning in educational institutions. The discriminant analysis indicated that this variable significantly differentiated between high and low levels of blended learning success. Educational institutions that invested in robust technological infrastructure and ensured that both students and educators were proficient in using these technologies saw higher success rates in their blended learning initiatives. This finding underscores the necessity of providing adequate technological resources and training to maximize the benefits of blended learning.

Technological infrastructure forms the backbone of blended learning environments, facilitating seamless integration of online and face-to-face components. According to Graham (2006), successful blended learning systems rely on advanced technology to support various learning activities and interactions. Institutions with robust technological infrastructure are better equipped to deliver content, facilitate communication, and engage students effectively.

Research by Garrison and Kanuka (2004) supports the notion that technological infrastructure is a key determinant of blended learning success. They argue that institutions must invest in reliable hardware, software, and network infrastructure to ensure smooth delivery of blended learning courses. Without adequate technology, educators may face challenges in delivering content, communicating with students, and facilitating collaborative activities.

Moreover, technology proficiency among both students and educators is essential for maximizing the benefits of blended learning. As highlighted by Means et al. (2013), educators need to be proficient in using technological tools for instructional purposes, while students require digital literacy skills to navigate online resources and participate effectively in blended learning activities.

The importance of technology training and support cannot be overstated. According to Rovai and Jordan (2004), institutions must provide comprehensive training programs to help educators integrate technology into their teaching practices effectively. Similarly, Osguthorpe and Graham (2003) emphasize the need for ongoing technical support to address any issues that may arise during blended learning delivery.

Furthermore, access to technological resources is critical for ensuring equitable participation in blended learning programs. Alvarez Jr (2020) notes that disparities in access to technology can exacerbate inequalities in educational outcomes. Therefore, institutions must ensure that all students have access to the necessary technology and internet connectivity to fully engage in blended learning activities.

Additionally, the flexibility offered by technological tools enhances the accessibility and convenience of blended learning. Picciano (2009) argues that technology allows for anytime, anywhere learning, enabling students to engage with course materials at their own pace and convenience. This flexibility accommodates diverse learning styles and preferences, leading to improved student satisfaction and engagement.

Infrastructure and Technology Mastery are critical determinants of blended learning success. Educational institutions must prioritize investments in technological infrastructure, provide comprehensive training and support for educators and students, and ensure equitable access to technology. By doing so, institutions can maximize the benefits of blended learning and create inclusive learning environments that support student success.

Role of Implementation Standards and Guidelines

Implementation Standards and Guidelines (X4) emerged as the most significant determinant of blended learning success in this study. The discriminant function analysis showed that clear, well-defined standards and guidelines for implementing blended learning were crucial for its effective execution. Institutions that had established comprehensive policies and procedures for blended learning saw better outcomes, highlighting the importance of strategic planning and adherence to best practices in blended learning environments.

Clear implementation standards and guidelines are vital in ensuring consistency and quality in blended learning programs. According to Dziuban, Hartman, and Moskal (2004), establishing a robust framework for blended learning helps in managing the complexities associated with integrating face-to-face and online learning components. Such frameworks provide a roadmap for educators and administrators, facilitating the alignment of technological tools, pedagogical strategies, and assessment methods.

Garrison and Vaughan (2008) emphasize that well-defined implementation guidelines are essential for creating a cohesive learning environment that supports both instructors and students. They argue that without clear standards, blended learning initiatives may suffer from inconsistent delivery and uneven student experiences. This is echoed by Picciano (2009), who asserts that comprehensive policies ensure that all stakeholders understand their roles and responsibilities, thereby promoting a seamless integration of various learning modalities.

Moreover, the presence of clear standards and guidelines contributes to the scalability of blended learning programs. As highlighted by Graham (2006), institutions that adopt standardized practices are better positioned to expand their blended learning offerings across different courses and departments. This scalability is crucial for meeting the diverse needs of students and adapting to changing educational demands.

The importance of strategic planning in blended learning cannot be overstated. Research by Graham, Woodfield, and Harrison (2013) indicates that strategic planning is instrumental in addressing the challenges associated with blended learning implementation. This includes selecting appropriate technologies, designing effective curricula, and providing continuous professional development for instructors. By adopting a strategic approach, institutions can ensure that their blended learning programs are not only effective but also sustainable in the long term.

In addition to strategic planning, continuous evaluation and refinement of implementation standards are necessary for maintaining the quality of blended learning programs. According to a study by Porter et al. (2014), regular assessment and feedback mechanisms help identify areas for improvement and ensure that the programs remain relevant and effective. This iterative process of evaluation and refinement is essential for keeping pace with technological advancements and evolving educational practices.

Another critical aspect of implementation standards and guidelines is the need for institutional support and commitment. Garrison and Kanuka (2004) argue that institutional support, including adequate funding, infrastructure, and administrative backing, is crucial for the successful implementation of blended learning. Without such support, even the most well-designed standards and guidelines may fail to achieve their intended outcomes.

Furthermore, the role of faculty in implementing blended learning standards cannot be overlooked. As noted by Vaughan (2007), faculty engagement and buy-in are key to the success of blended learning initiatives. Institutions must invest in professional development programs that equip educators with the skills and knowledge needed to effectively deliver blended learning courses. This includes training on technological tools, instructional design, and best practices for online and face-to-face teaching.

The study's findings align with the broader literature on blended learning, which consistently highlights the importance of implementation standards and guidelines. For example, Means et al. (2013) found that institutions with well-defined blended learning policies were more likely to report positive student outcomes, including higher engagement and achievement levels. Similarly, Owston, York, and Murtha (2013) reported that clear implementation guidelines were associated with improved instructional quality and student satisfaction.

The findings of this study underscore the critical role of implementation standards and guidelines in the success of blended learning programs. Educational institutions must prioritize the development and enforcement of comprehensive policies that provide clear direction for all aspects of blended learning. By doing so, they can ensure consistency, quality, and scalability, ultimately enhancing the educational experience for students and instructors alike.

Influence of Learning Strategies

Learning Strategy (X5) emerged as a significant factor in the success of blended learning in educational institutions. The analysis revealed that institutions that employed diverse and effective learning strategies, tailored to the needs and preferences of students, achieved higher levels of success. These strategies included the use of interactive assignments, multimedia resources, and varied instructional methods that catered to different learning styles. This finding emphasizes the need for educators to adopt flexible and innovative teaching approaches to engage students and improve learning outcomes in blended learning settings (Abendan, et al., 2023).

Effective learning strategies are crucial for engaging students and promoting deep learning in blended learning environments. According to Garrison and Vaughan (2008), blended learning offers opportunities for instructors to employ a variety of pedagogical approaches that cater to different learning preferences and styles. By incorporating interactive activities, multimedia resources, and collaborative learning experiences, educators can create engaging and dynamic learning experiences for students.

Research by Means et al. (2013) supports the importance of varied instructional methods in blended learning. Their meta-analysis found that blended learning environments that integrated a mix of instructional strategies, such as small-group discussions, online quizzes, and multimedia presentations, were associated with higher student achievement compared to traditional classroom settings. This suggests that a diverse range of learning activities can enhance student engagement and comprehension.

Interactive assignments play a crucial role in promoting active learning and student participation. Graham and Dziuban (2008) emphasize that interactive activities, such as online discussions, peer collaboration projects, and simulations, encourage students to actively engage with course materials and apply their knowledge in real-world contexts. These activities foster critical thinking skills and deeper understanding of the content.

Multimedia resources also contribute to the effectiveness of blended learning. Picciano (2009) suggests that multimedia elements, such as videos, podcasts, and interactive simulations, can enhance student engagement and comprehension by presenting information in multiple formats. Additionally, multimedia resources accommodate different learning preferences, allowing students to choose the format that best suits their needs.

Furthermore, the adaptation of instructional methods to different learning styles is essential in blended learning environments. According to Vaughan (2007), educators should consider the diverse learning preferences of students and design instructional activities that accommodate visual, auditory, and kinesthetic learners. By providing multiple pathways for learning, instructors can better meet the needs of all students and promote inclusive education (Malbas, et al., 2023).

The importance of flexible and innovative teaching approaches is highlighted by Graham, Woodfield, and Harrison (2013). They argue that educators must be willing to experiment with new technologies and teaching methods to keep pace with changing student needs and technological advancements. This adaptability is crucial for creating dynamic and engaging learning experiences that promote student success.

Moreover, alignment between learning strategies and learning objectives is essential for effective instruction. Anderson and Dron (2011) emphasize the importance of aligning teaching methods with desired learning outcomes to ensure coherence and relevance in instruction. Educators should carefully select learning strategies that support the achievement of specific learning objectives in blended learning courses.

Learning Strategy is a significant determinant of blended learning success, emphasizing the importance of adopting diverse and effective teaching approaches. Educators should utilize interactive assignments, multimedia resources, and varied instructional methods that cater to different learning styles to engage students effectively and improve learning outcomes in blended learning settings.

Non-Significance of Respondent Status and Learning Environment

Interestingly, the study found that the status of respondents (whether they were students, teachers, or lecturers) and the Learning Environment (X2) did not significantly differentiate between high and low levels of blended learning success. This indicates that perceptions of blended learning effectiveness were consistent across different respondent groups and educational contexts. Despite initial expectations, factors such as the physical or online learning environment did not significantly impact the success of blended learning when considered alongside other variables. This finding suggests that the success of blended learning is more dependent on the quality of implementation and the resources provided rather than the specific demographic characteristics of the respondents or the learning environment itself.

The non-significance of respondent status and learning environment in determining blended learning success challenges common assumptions about the impact of these factors. While it might be expected that differences in roles (students vs. educators) or learning environments (physical vs. online) would influence perceptions of blended learning, the findings suggest otherwise.

Research by Garrison and Kanuka (2004) supports the idea that the success of blended learning is not solely determined by respondent status. They argue that the effectiveness of blended learning depends more on pedagogical approaches and institutional support than on the roles of individual stakeholders. Similarly, Vaughan (2007) emphasizes that successful implementation of blended learning requires collaboration and shared responsibility among all stakeholders, regardless of their roles.

The non-significance of learning environment is also noteworthy. Despite the shift from traditional face-to-face classrooms to online or hybrid formats, the physical or online learning environment did not emerge as a significant factor in determining blended learning success in this study.

This finding is consistent with research by Picciano (2009), who suggests that the effectiveness of blended learning is not solely dependent on the mode of delivery (online vs. face-to-face) but rather on how well instructional strategies are implemented across different environments. Similarly, Dziuban et al. (2004) argue that the quality of instruction and the design of learning activities are more critical than the mode of delivery in determining student outcomes.

The non-significance of respondent status and learning environment highlights the importance of other factors such as instructional design, technological infrastructure, and pedagogical strategies in blended learning success.

Moreover, the non-significant role of respondent status underscores the idea that perceptions of blended learning effectiveness are relatively consistent across different stakeholder groups. Whether students, teachers, or lecturers, their views on the success of blended learning were similar, indicating a shared understanding of what constitutes effective blended learning practices.

This finding suggests that efforts to enhance blended learning effectiveness should focus on improving instructional design, providing adequate support and resources, and fostering collaboration among all stakeholders, rather than solely focusing on demographic characteristics or the learning environment.

While respondent status and learning environment did not emerge as significant factors in determining blended learning success in this study, the findings underscore the importance of other factors such as instructional design and institutional support (Cipriano, et al., 2024). Blended learning success is more dependent on how well it is implemented and supported rather than the specific characteristics of the respondents or the learning environment.

Conclusion:

This study aimed to investigate the determining factors of blended learning success in educational institutions, focusing on Infrastructure and Technology Mastery, Implementation Standards and Guidelines, Learning Strategy, as well as the significance of respondent status and learning environment.

The findings of the study provide valuable insights into the factors that contribute to the success of blended learning initiatives in educational settings in the Philippines.

Infrastructure and Technology Mastery (X1) emerged as a critical factor influencing blended learning success. Institutions that invested in robust technological infrastructure and provided adequate training for both students and educators saw higher success rates in their blended learning programs. This underscores the importance of technological resources and proficiency in maximizing the benefits of blended learning.

Implementation Standards and Guidelines (X4) were identified as another significant determinant of success. Institutions that established clear policies and procedures for blended learning implementation achieved better outcomes, highlighting the importance of strategic planning and adherence to best practices.

Learning Strategy (X5) also played a crucial role in blended learning success. Employing diverse and effective learning strategies tailored to student needs and preferences led to higher levels of success. This emphasizes the importance of flexible and innovative teaching approaches in engaging students and improving learning outcomes.

Interestingly, respondent status and learning environment did not significantly differentiate between high and low levels of blended learning success. This suggests that perceptions of blended learning effectiveness were consistent across different respondent groups and educational contexts, highlighting the importance of other factors such as implementation quality and resource provision.

In conclusion, the success of blended learning in educational institutions depends on a combination of factors including technological infrastructure, implementation standards, learning strategies, and institutional support. Educators and administrators should focus on these factors to create effective blended learning environments that enhance student engagement, learning outcomes, and overall educational experiences.

Further research could explore additional variables and their impact on blended learning success, considering longitudinal studies and diverse educational contexts to provide a more comprehensive understanding of effective blended learning practices.

Overall, blending learning offers immense potential to transform education, and by understanding and addressing the key determinants of success, institutions can optimize the benefits of this innovative approach to teaching and learning.

References:

Abendan, C. F., Kilag, O. K., Uy, F., & Vestal, P. (2023). Transforming Learning in the Digital Age: The Confluence of Innovation and Education. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, *1*(5), 1-13.

Anderson, T., & Dron, J. (2011). Three generations of distance education pedagogy. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, *12*(3), 80-97.

Alvarez Jr, A. V. (2020). Learning from the Problems and Challenges in Blended Learning: Basis for Faculty Development and Program Enhancement. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, *15*(2), 112-132.

Cipriano, C., Kilag, O. K., Samutya, M., Macapobre, K., Villegas, M. A., & Suba-an, J. (2024). Physical Activity Interventions in Educational Settings: Effects on Academic Achievement Revisited. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, *1*(3), 238-246.

Dziuban, C. D., Hartman, J. L., & Moskal, P. D. (2004). Blended learning. *Educause Center for Applied Research Bulletin*, *2004*(7), 1-44.

Garrison, D. R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. *The internet and higher education*, *7*(2), 95-105.

Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2008). *Blended learning in higher education: Framework, principles, and guidelines*. John Wiley & Sons.

Graham, C. R. (2006). Blended learning systems. *The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs*, *1*, 3-21.

Hapsari, S. R. Y., Azzahrawaani, Z., Nurazizah, A. S., Ismail, A., Ramdanis, G., Halimah, I. S., & Callista, L. A. Curricula. (2023). Learning approaches and strategies in online learning. *Curricula: Journal of Curriculum Development*

Klecka, W. R. (1980). *Discriminant analysis* (Vol. 19). Sage.

Malbas, M. H., Nengasca, M. K. S., Longakit, L. J. H., Celin, L. C., Pasigui, R., & Valenzona, M. A. V. N. (2023). Osias Kit T. Kilag. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement Volume*, *1*(2).

Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., & Baki, M. (2013). The effectiveness of online and blended learning: A meta-analysis of the empirical literature. *Teachers college record*, *115*(3), 1-47.

Nurhidayat, E., Mujiyanto, J., Yuliasri, I., & Hartono, R. (2024). Technology integration and teachers' competency in the development of 21st-century learning in EFL classroom. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, *18*(2), 342-349.

Osguthorpe, R. T., & Graham, C. R. (2003). Blended learning environments. *Quarterly review of distance education*, *4*(3).

Owston, R., York, D., & Murtha, S. (2013). Student perceptions and achievement in a university blended learning strategic initiative. *The internet and higher education*, *18*, 38-46.

Picciano, A. G. (2006). Blended learning: Implications for growth and access. *Journal of asynchronous learning networks*, *10*(3), 95-102.

Porter, W. W., Graham, C. R., Spring, K. A., & Welch, K. R. (2014). Blended learning in higher education: Institutional adoption and implementation. *Computers & Education*, *75*, 185-195.

Ramadan, I. (2021). *The Role of Using Blended Learning on English Language Learning for 9th graders from Teachers' Perspectives in Nablus Governorate* (Doctoral dissertation, جامعة النجاح الوطنية).

Rovai, A. P., & Jordan, H. M. (2004). Blended learning and sense of community: A comparative analysis with traditional and fully online graduate courses. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, *5*(2), 1-13.

Taylor, J. C. (1995). Distance education technologies: The fourth generation. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, *11*(2).

Vaughan, N. (2007, January). Perspectives on blended learning in higher education. In *International Journal on E-learning* (Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 81-94). Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE).