



From Struggle to Success: Evaluating Tutoring Interventions on Reading Proficiency Among K-2 Students in Rural Alaska

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11542212>

Santiago B. Hubahib Jr.

Koyuk Malimuit School, Bering Strait School District, Koyuk, Alaska, USA
<https://orcid.org/0009-0007-8143-9857>

Jercellyn Joy G. Dagoc

Aniguiin School, Bering Strait School District, Alaska, USA
<https://orcid.org/0009-0004-3036-7038>

Loida Marie S. Gumera

Aniguiin School, Bering Strait School District, Alaska, USA
<https://orcid.org/0009-0009-0541-6850>

Marlene G. Padigos

Lower Kuskokwim School District, Bethel, Alaska, USA
<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-6956-6112>

Ronalyn Cuyno

Paul T. Albert Memorial School, Tununak, Alaska, USA
<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-4114-9018>

Abstract:

This study investigates the effectiveness of tutoring interventions on reading proficiency among K-2 students in rural Alaska. Despite the critical role of reading proficiency in academic success, many rural areas face challenges in implementing evidence-based practices and identifying struggling readers early. Drawing on document analysis and literature review, this research explores the impact of dyslexia, limited resources, and instructional practices on reading outcomes. Findings reveal limited implementation of evidence-based practices, challenges in early identification and intervention, and the significant impact of dyslexia and other learning differences on reading proficiency. However, targeted interventions utilizing evidence-based, multi-sensory approaches have shown promise in improving reading skills among struggling readers. The study suggests the importance of teacher training, early identification programs, and community involvement to support reading development in rural Alaska. By addressing these challenges and implementing effective interventions, we can work towards improving reading outcomes for K-2 students in rural communities.

Keywords: reading proficiency, tutoring interventions, rural Alaska, dyslexia, early identification, evidence-based practices

Introduction:

Reading proficiency is a fundamental skill that significantly impacts a child's academic success and future opportunities. However, many K-2 students, particularly those in rural areas, face significant challenges in achieving adequate reading skills. The ability to read not only facilitates learning across various subjects but also plays a crucial role in personal and professional development throughout life (Adams, 1990; Shaywitz, 2003).

In rural Alaska, students encounter unique barriers to reading proficiency, including geographic isolation, limited resources, and diverse linguistic backgrounds. Despite the critical importance of early reading skills, many students in rural Alaska struggle to reach grade-level proficiency (Alaska Department of Education & Early Development, 2017). This struggle can have long-lasting consequences, affecting educational attainment, employment opportunities, and overall well-being (Cunningham & Stanovich, 1997; National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, 2000).

Tutoring interventions have been recognized as a promising approach to support struggling readers and improve reading outcomes (Institute of Education Sciences, 2008). However, the effectiveness of tutoring programs in rural Alaska settings, particularly for K-2 students, remains underexplored. This study aims to address this gap by evaluating the impact of tutoring interventions on reading proficiency among K-2 students in rural Alaska.

Literature Review:



Reading proficiency is a critical skill that lays the foundation for academic success and lifelong learning. In this literature review, we explore previous research related to reading interventions, challenges faced by students in rural areas, the impact of dyslexia, and existing initiatives to improve reading outcomes. The review aims to provide context for evaluating tutoring interventions on reading proficiency among K-2 students in rural Alaska.

Effective reading instruction is crucial for students to develop strong literacy skills. The National Reading Panel (2000) identified several key components of effective reading programs, including phonemic awareness, phonics instruction, vocabulary development, fluency, and comprehension strategies. Research has shown that interventions targeting these components can significantly improve reading outcomes (Vaughn & Linan-Thompson, 2003; Torgesen et al., 2001).

Tutoring interventions, particularly one-on-one or small group tutoring, have demonstrated effectiveness in improving reading skills (Institute of Education Sciences, 2008). According to the What Works Clearinghouse, tutoring programs that provide systematic, explicit instruction in phonics and comprehension strategies tend to yield positive results (Institute of Education Sciences, 2008).

Students in rural areas face unique challenges that can impact their reading proficiency. Geographic isolation, limited access to resources, teacher shortages, and cultural diversity are among the factors that contribute to these challenges (Johnson & Strange, 2009; Boix-Tomás, et al., 2015).

Limited access to high-quality educational resources and interventions exacerbates reading difficulties among rural students (Johnson & Strange, 2009). Additionally, linguistic diversity within rural communities poses challenges for English language learners (ELLs) and students with diverse language backgrounds (Gándara & Hopkins, 2010).

Dyslexia, a neurobiological condition that affects reading and language processing, is a significant challenge for many students. Research indicates that approximately 20% of the population may have some degree of dyslexia (Shaywitz, 2003). Students with dyslexia often struggle with phonological awareness, decoding, and fluency, which can impede reading development (Shaywitz, 2003; Snowling & Hulme, 2012).

Untreated dyslexia can have long-term consequences on academic achievement and psychosocial well-being (Fletcher et al., 2007). Early identification and intervention are crucial for supporting students with dyslexia (Snowling & Hulme, 2012).

Several initiatives and programs have been implemented to improve reading outcomes, both nationally and within specific states. Implemented in various states, including Alaska, aimed to improve reading instruction in early grades through comprehensive programs and professional development (Alaska Department of Education & Early Development, 2009). Alaska's Education Challenge prioritizes improving reading proficiency, emphasizing early intervention and evidence-based practices (Alaska Department of Education & Early Development, 2017). Similarly, other states have launched initiatives to address reading difficulties, including dyslexia-specific legislation and early screening programs (Fletcher et al., 2011; Oregon Department of Education, 2017). Some school districts, such as the Matanuska Susitna Borough School District, have implemented multi-tiered systems of support (MTSS) and evidence-based reading programs to improve reading outcomes (Matanuska Susitna Borough School District, n.d.).

The literature reviewed highlights the importance of effective reading instruction, particularly for students facing challenges in rural areas and those with dyslexia. Tutoring interventions have shown promise in improving reading outcomes, but their effectiveness in rural Alaska settings needs further investigation. Understanding existing challenges and initiatives is crucial for developing evidence-based interventions to support K-2 students' reading proficiency in rural Alaska.

Methodology:

This study employed document analysis and literature review to evaluate tutoring interventions on reading proficiency among K-2 students in rural Alaska. The methodology involved analyzing existing reports, initiatives, and research literature to understand the challenges faced by students, existing interventions, and their effectiveness. Document analysis was conducted to review relevant reports, initiatives, and legislative documents related to reading proficiency and educational interventions in Alaska. The following steps were undertaken:

Reports, legislative documents, and educational initiatives related to reading proficiency, dyslexia, and educational interventions in Alaska were identified. Documents were selected based on their relevance to the study's objectives, focusing on reports from the Alaska Department of Education, legislative initiatives, and previous intervention programs. Documents were collected from official sources, educational databases, and government websites. The collected documents were analyzed to extract information regarding reading proficiency rates, existing interventions, challenges faced by students, and recommendations for improvement.



A comprehensive literature review was conducted to gather existing research on reading interventions, challenges faced by rural students, the impact of dyslexia, and initiatives to improve reading outcomes. The following steps were followed:

Relevant literature was identified through searches in academic databases such as Google Scholar, ERIC, and PubMed using keywords related to reading interventions, dyslexia, rural education, and Alaska. Studies and reports focusing on reading interventions, dyslexia, and educational initiatives in rural areas, particularly in Alaska, were included. Key findings, methodologies, and recommendations from selected studies were extracted for analysis. The findings from the literature were synthesized to identify common themes, challenges, and effective interventions. Data from document analysis and literature review were synthesized to provide insights into the current state of reading proficiency, challenges faced by students in rural Alaska, existing interventions, and recommendations for improvement.

Findings and Discussion:

Limited Implementation of Evidence-Based Practices:

Effective reading instruction is crucial for the academic success of students, yet in rural Alaska, there exists a significant gap between research-based practices and their implementation in classrooms. Despite a wealth of research on reading instruction, evidence-based practices are not consistently applied in rural educational settings, leading to challenges in improving reading proficiency among K-2 students.

Numerous studies emphasize the importance of evidence-based practices in reading instruction. For instance, the National Reading Panel (NRP) conducted a comprehensive analysis that identified key components of effective reading instruction, including phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension (NRP, 2000). However, the translation of these findings into classroom practices remains limited in rural Alaska.

One significant barrier to implementing evidence-based practices is the lack of awareness among teachers and districts regarding scientifically proven methods for teaching reading. Many educators may not have access to professional development opportunities that focus on evidence-based reading instruction. Without adequate training, teachers may rely on traditional approaches or materials that may not align with best practices supported by research.

A study by Moats (2001) highlights that teachers often receive minimal training in structured literacy approaches, which are essential for students with dyslexia or reading difficulties. In rural areas where professional development resources may be scarce, this gap in training exacerbates the problem of limited implementation of evidence-based practices.

Resource constraints also contribute to the limited implementation of evidence-based practices. Rural school districts in Alaska may struggle with limited funding, lack of access to updated instructional materials, and difficulty in hiring specialized personnel. This can impede efforts to adopt research-supported reading programs and interventions.

Research by Allington and McGill-Franzen (2013) emphasizes the importance of adequate instructional resources and support for teachers to effectively implement evidence-based practices. However, in rural Alaska, where schools often face resource challenges, implementing such practices becomes more challenging.

To address the limited implementation of evidence-based practices, it is essential to provide targeted support and resources to rural educators. Professional development programs focusing on evidence-based reading instruction should be made accessible to teachers in rural areas. These programs should emphasize structured literacy approaches, phonemic awareness, phonics instruction, and strategies for struggling readers.

Furthermore, state and district policies should prioritize the adoption of evidence-based reading programs and provide adequate funding to ensure access to quality instructional materials and training for teachers. Collaborative efforts between educational institutions, policymakers, and communities are necessary to bridge the gap between research and practice.

The limited implementation of evidence-based practices in rural Alaska poses significant challenges to improving reading proficiency among K-2 students. Addressing this issue requires targeted efforts to increase awareness, provide training, and allocate resources effectively.

Challenges in Early Identification and Intervention:

Early identification of reading difficulties and timely intervention are crucial for the academic success of K-2 students, particularly in rural Alaska. However, several challenges hinder the process, including limited resources, personnel, and screening tools, leading to delays in providing necessary support.



One significant challenge in rural Alaska is the scarcity of resources and trained personnel dedicated to early reading intervention. Rural schools often struggle with limited budgets, making it difficult to hire specialists such as reading coaches or interventionists who can provide targeted support to struggling readers (Kilag et al., 2023).

Research by Invernizzi et al. (2004) underscores the importance of intervention programs staffed by knowledgeable personnel who can deliver evidence-based practices effectively. However, in rural settings where such personnel are scarce, early identification and intervention efforts may be compromised.

Another challenge is the lack of access to appropriate screening tools for identifying students at risk of reading difficulties. Screening tools for early literacy skills are essential for identifying students who may need additional support. However, rural schools in Alaska may not have access to standardized screening tools or may lack personnel trained to administer them.

The importance of early screening is highlighted in research by Fletcher et al. (2002), which emphasizes that early identification of reading difficulties is critical for implementing effective interventions. Without access to screening tools, identifying struggling readers early becomes a significant challenge.

Geographical barriers compound the challenges of early identification and intervention in rural Alaska. Remote locations and vast distances between communities can limit access to educational resources and support services. This isolation makes it harder to provide timely interventions and professional development opportunities for educators.

A study by Drescher, et al. (2022) emphasizes the impact of geographical isolation on educational access in rural areas, highlighting the need for innovative solutions to overcome these barriers.

To address these challenges, it is crucial to implement strategies that improve early identification and intervention for students at risk of reading difficulties in rural Alaska: Providing professional development opportunities for educators in rural areas, focusing on early literacy screening and evidence-based interventions, is essential. Ensuring rural schools have access to reliable and valid screening tools for early literacy assessment is necessary to identify students who may need intervention (Kilag et al., 2024). Implementing tele-intervention programs can help overcome geographical barriers by providing remote access to specialists and resources for both students and educators. Collaborating with local communities and organizations to support early literacy initiatives can enhance access to resources and support for students.

Challenges in early identification and intervention for reading difficulties among K-2 students in rural Alaska highlight the need for targeted efforts to improve access to resources, personnel, and screening tools. Addressing these challenges is essential to ensure that all students receive the support they need to become proficient readers.

Impact of Dyslexia and Other Learning Differences

Dyslexia and other learning differences pose significant challenges to reading proficiency among students, particularly in rural areas like Alaska. However, these conditions often remain unrecognized or undertreated, resulting in prolonged difficulties in reading and adverse academic outcomes.

Dyslexia, a neurodevelopmental disorder affecting reading and writing skills, is one of the most common learning differences. It affects individuals' ability to decode words, recognize sounds, and spell accurately (Shaywitz & Shaywitz, 2005). Research suggests that dyslexia affects approximately 5-17% of the population (Peterson & Pennington, 2012), making it a significant concern in educational settings.

The impact of dyslexia on reading proficiency is profound. Individuals with dyslexia often struggle with phonological processing, making it difficult to understand the relationship between letters and sounds (Vellutino et al., 2004). Without appropriate interventions, dyslexia can lead to persistent reading difficulties and academic underachievement. One of the significant challenges is the underrecognition and undertreatment of dyslexia and other learning differences, especially in rural areas. Limited access to specialized services, trained professionals, and diagnostic tools contributes to this issue (Abella, et al., 204).

Research by Lyon et al. (2003) indicates that dyslexia often goes undiagnosed or misdiagnosed, leading to inadequate support for affected individuals. This problem is exacerbated in rural settings where access to educational psychologists or specialists may be limited.

Without proper recognition and intervention, students with dyslexia or other learning differences experience prolonged struggles in reading, which can have far-reaching consequences. They may fall behind their peers academically, experience low self-esteem, and face emotional challenges due to academic difficulties (Shaywitz, 2003).



Furthermore, untreated dyslexia increases the risk of long-term academic failure and dropout rates (Adlof & Hogan, 2018). Individuals with dyslexia are also at higher risk of mental health issues and unemployment in adulthood (Fletcher et al., 2007).

Implementing early screening programs to identify students at risk of dyslexia and providing evidence-based interventions can mitigate reading difficulties. Offering professional development for educators on recognizing signs of dyslexia and implementing effective instructional strategies is essential (Simmons & Singleton, 2008). Ensuring rural schools have access to educational psychologists, reading specialists, and assistive technologies can facilitate appropriate support for students with dyslexia. Increasing awareness about dyslexia among parents, educators, and communities can help reduce stigma and improve support for affected individuals.

Success of Targeted Interventions in Rural Alaska:

While rural Alaska faces challenges in supporting reading proficiency among K-2 students, targeted interventions have emerged as effective strategies to address these issues. Specifically, programs employing multi-sensory, evidence-based approaches have shown promise in improving reading skills among students with dyslexia and other reading difficulties.

Multi-sensory approaches to reading instruction engage multiple senses simultaneously, reinforcing learning and aiding students with different learning styles (Shaywitz, 2003). These approaches are particularly beneficial for students with dyslexia because they target phonological awareness, decoding, and fluency skills in a structured and explicit manner (Simmons & Kame'enui, 2003).

Research by Torgesen et al. (2001) demonstrated the effectiveness of multi-sensory structured language instruction (MSL) in improving reading outcomes for students with dyslexia. The study found significant gains in reading skills among students who received MSL compared to traditional instruction.

Implementing evidence-based practices is crucial for improving reading outcomes. Programs like Orton-Gillingham (OG) and Wilson Reading System (WRS) are examples of evidence-based interventions that have been successful in supporting struggling readers (Bowe, 2016; Orton & Gillingham, 1929).

For example, a study by Denton et al. (2006) evaluated the effectiveness of the WRS intervention on first-grade students with reading difficulties. The results showed substantial improvements in phonemic awareness, word reading, and passage comprehension compared to students who received typical classroom instruction.

Community involvement is essential in the success of interventions in rural areas. Programs that involve families, community members, and local educators create a supportive environment for struggling readers (Elliott & Grigorenko, 2014).

The "Reading Recovery" program, which involves intensive one-on-one tutoring for first-grade students, has shown positive results in rural settings (Pinnell et al., 1995). This approach not only targets reading skills but also fosters a sense of community support and engagement.

Effective implementation of interventions requires well-trained teachers who can deliver instruction with fidelity. Professional development programs that train teachers in evidence-based practices are crucial (Simmons & Kame'enui, 2003).

Research by McMaster et al. (2005) emphasized the importance of ongoing teacher support and coaching in implementing interventions effectively. Teachers who received regular coaching and support showed greater fidelity to the intervention model and better student outcomes.

Despite the challenges faced by rural Alaska in supporting reading proficiency among K-2 students, targeted interventions utilizing multi-sensory, evidence-based approaches have shown considerable success. Programs like multi-sensory structured language instruction, evidence-based reading programs, community-based approaches, and teacher training initiatives play a vital role in improving reading outcomes for struggling readers in rural areas.

Conclusion:

The study highlights the importance of targeted interventions in improving reading proficiency among K-2 students in rural Alaska. Despite facing various challenges such as limited resources, difficulties in early identification, and the impact of learning differences, interventions focusing on evidence-based practices have shown promise in supporting struggling readers.

Many rural Alaska teachers lack awareness of evidence-based reading instruction methods, leading to ineffective teaching practices (Snow et al., 1998). Delays in identifying students at risk of reading failure due to limited



resources and screening tools contribute to academic struggles (Ritchey et al., 2001). Conditions like dyslexia significantly affect reading proficiency, often going unrecognized or undertreated in rural areas (Elliott & Grigorenko, 2014). Despite challenges, interventions utilizing multi-sensory, evidence-based approaches have shown significant improvements in reading skills among K-2 students (Torgesen et al., 2001).

While rural Alaska faces unique challenges in supporting reading proficiency among young students, targeted interventions have demonstrated effectiveness. By addressing these challenges and implementing evidence-based practices, we can work towards improving reading outcomes and academic success for K-2 students in rural Alaska.

References:

- Abella, J., Kilag, O. K., Andrin, G., Tañiza, F. N., Groenewald, E., & Cordova Jr, N. (2024). Literacy Leadership in Elementary Schools: The Connections between Principal Practices and Reading Performance. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, 1(1), 187-193.
- Adams, M. J. (1990). Beginning to read: Thinking and learning. *Psychological Review*, 65, 197-208.
- Adlof, S. M., & Hogan, T. P. (2018). Understanding dyslexia in the context of developmental language disorders. *Language, speech, and hearing services in schools*, 49(4), 762-773.
- Alaska Department of Education & Early Development. (2017). Alaska's Education Challenge: A Report to the Alaska State Legislature. <https://education.alaska.gov/akedchallenge>
- Alaska Department of Education & Early Development. (2009). Alaska Reading First 2009 Report. <https://akhouse.org/drummond/docs/TaskForce-FinalReport2.pdf>
- Allington, R. L., McGill-Franzen, A., Camilli, G., Williams, L., Graff, J., Zeig, J., ... & Nowak, R. (2010). Addressing summer reading setback among economically disadvantaged elementary students. *Reading Psychology*, 31(5), 411-427.
- Boix-Tomás, R., Champollion, P., & Duarte, A. M. (2015). Teaching and learning in rural contexts.
- Bowe, S. (2016). *Wilson reading system's impact on third-grade DIBELS scores* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).
- Cunningham, A. E., & Stanovich, K. E. (1997). Early reading acquisition and its relation to reading experience and ability 10 years later. *Developmental psychology*, 33(6), 934.
- Denton, C. A., Tolar, T. D., Fletcher, J. M., Barth, A. E., Vaughn, S., & Francis, D. J. (2013). Effects of tier 3 intervention for students with persistent reading difficulties and characteristics of inadequate responders. *Journal of educational psychology*, 105(3), 633.
- Drescher, J., Podolsky, A., Reardon, S. F., & Torrance, G. (2022). The geography of rural educational opportunity. *RSF: The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences*, 8(3), 123-149.
- Elliott, J. G., & Grigorenko, E. L. (2014). *The dyslexia debate* (No. 14). Cambridge University Press.
- Fletcher, J. M., Lyon, G. R., Fuchs, L. S., & Barnes, M. A. (2018). *Learning disabilities: From identification to intervention*. Guilford Publications.
- Gándara, P., & Hopkins, M. (2014). Forbidden language: English learners and restrictive language policies.
- Institute of Education Sciences. (2008). Effective reading programs for Title I schools: A best-evidence synthesis. U.S. Department of Education. <https://gradelevelreading.net/wp-content/uploads/2010/10/Slavin-Research-Review-of-Reading-Programs-for-Title-I-Schools.pdf>
- Invernizzi, M., Meier, J., & Swank, L. (2004). Phonological awareness literacy screening for preschoolers. *Early Childhood Education Journal*.
- Johnson, J., & Strange, M. (2009). Why rural matters 2009: State and regional challenges and opportunities. *Rural School and Community Trust*.



- Kilag, O. K. T., Macapobre, K. A., Balicoco, J. R. M., Alfaro, J. D., Peras, C. C., & Tapayan, A. A. S. (2023). Emergent literacy: A reading support program for preschoolers' successful phonological awareness in the home context. *Science and Education*, 4(2), 896-906.
- Kilag, O. K. T., Canubas, A. L. B., Uy, L. M. R., Lumando, E. B., Balicoco, J. R. M., & Delima, S. D. (2024). Establishing an Effective Peer Support Program for Reading Remediation. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 40-49.
- Lyon, G. R., Shaywitz, S. E., & Shaywitz, B. A. (2003). A definition of dyslexia. *Annals of dyslexia*, 53, 1-14.
- Matanuska Susitna Borough School District. (n.d.). School district profile. <https://www.matsuk12.us/>
- McMaster, K. L., Fuchs, D., Fuchs, L. S., & Compton, D. L. (2005). Responding to nonresponders: An experimental field trial of identification and intervention methods. *Exceptional children*, 71(4), 445-463.
- Moats, L. C. (1999). Teaching reading is rocket science: What expert teachers of reading should know and be able to do.
- National Reading Panel (US), National Institute of Child Health, & Human Development (US). (2000). *Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction: Reports of the subgroups*. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health.
- Peterson, R. L., & Pennington, B. F. (2012). Developmental dyslexia. *The lancet*, 379(9830), 1997-2007.
- Pinnell, G. S., Lyons, C. A., Deford, D. E., Bryk, A. S., & Seltzer, M. (1994). Comparing instructional models for the literacy education of high-risk first graders. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 9-39.
- Shaywitz, S. E. (2003). *Overcoming dyslexia: A new and complete science-based program for reading problems at any level*. Knopf.
- Simmons, F. R., & Singleton, C. (2008). Do weak phonological representations impact on arithmetic development? A review of research into arithmetic and dyslexia. *Dyslexia*, 14(2), 77-94.
- Torgesen, J. K., Wagner, R. K., Rashotte, C. A., Rose, E., Lindamood, P., Conway, T., & Garvan, C. (1999). Preventing reading failure in young children with phonological processing disabilities: Group and individual responses to instruction. *Journal of Educational psychology*, 91(4), 579.
- Vellutino, F. R., Fletcher, J. M., Snowling, M. J., & Scanlon, D. M. (2004). Specific reading disability (dyslexia): What have we learned in the past four decades?. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry*, 45(1), 2-40.