



Integrating Lesson Study with Quality Standards in Philippine Mathematics Teaching

Stephany M. Llurag

University of the Visayas, Cebu City, Philippines and Tecoy Porter College Prep, Sacramento, CA, USA

<https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5008-5804>

Osias Kit T. Kilag

PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Mercado St., Poblacion, Toledo City, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0845-3373>

Khen M. Villanueva

Department of Education, Schools Division of Toledo City, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9334-0367>

Jhonrey C. Uy

Department of Education, Schools Division of Toledo City, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2893-3086>

Karl Brian M. Poloyapoy

Department of Education, Schools Division of Toledo City, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3323-026X>

Jason Isaac III A. Rabi

Department of Education, Schools Division of Toledo City, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0912-2058>

Abstract:

This study explores the potential of Lesson Study (LS) as a transformative approach to teacher professional development in mathematics education within the Philippines. Through a systematic literature review, it evaluates traditional professional development models' limitations and identifies LS's promise, challenges in implementation, and integration opportunities with quality standards like the Southeast Asia Regional Standards for Mathematics Teachers (SEARS-MT). Findings reveal traditional models' inadequacy in fostering sustained improvements and collaborative learning communities. In contrast, LS emerges as a promising alternative, facilitating reflective practice, enhancing pedagogical content knowledge, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement. Despite its potential, LS faces challenges including resistance to engagement and cultural barriers. Integrating LS with SEARS-MT offers opportunities to address these challenges while promoting adherence to professional standards. This integrated approach can enhance teachers' content knowledge, pedagogical skills, and professional attributes, ultimately improving student learning outcomes. The study underscores LS's potential to catalyze transformative teacher professional development in Philippine mathematics education, emphasizing the need for continued research and collaboration to realize its benefits fully.

Keywords: Lesson Study, teacher professional development, mathematics education, Philippines, Southeast Asia Regional Standards for Mathematics Teachers, SEARS-MT

Introduction:

In the landscape of education, particularly in the Philippines, the process of lesson planning has traditionally been perceived as an isolated endeavor, relegated to the domain of individual teachers. The responsibility for designing, preparing, and executing lessons falls squarely on the shoulders of educators, thereby shaping the learning experiences of students. As highlighted by influential scholars such as Nye et al. (2004), Chetty et al. (2014), and Wenglinsky (2001), the efficacy of these learning experiences is intimately tied to the quality of teaching, with teachers exerting significant influence on both academic achievement and lifelong success.

Moreover, research underscores the pivotal role of teachers in the mathematics instructional environment. Clements et al. (2013) emphasize the correlation between teacher quality and student achievement in mathematics, while Wenglinsky (2001) emphasizes the profound impact of high-quality professional development on classroom practice. Indeed, the quality of teaching and classroom practice has been shown to rival, if not surpass, the influence of socioeconomic status on student achievement.

Recognizing the paramount importance of enhancing teacher quality to improve student learning outcomes, educational stakeholders have advocated for comprehensive professional development models tailored to teachers' needs. The Southeast Asian Ministers of Education Organization (SEAMEO) has called for the development of mathematics teachers' quality standards to bolster students' mathematical proficiency. This initiative has resulted



in the formulation of the Southeast Asia Regional Standards for Mathematics Teachers (SEARS-MT), serving as a benchmark for monitoring and enhancing teacher quality.

Concurrently, the adoption of Lesson Study (LS) in Japan has emerged as a transformative approach to mathematics teacher development. LS, characterized by collaborative lesson planning, meticulous demonstration, and reflective improvement, has become integral to professional growth for educators in Japan. Scholars such as Takahashi (2015) and Yoshida (1999a) extol LS as a fundamental driver for enhancing teaching effectiveness and fostering teacher expertise.

However, in the Philippines, professional development for teachers has predominantly followed a cascading model or cluster-based training, with limited emphasis on collaborative inquiry and sustained engagement. Despite efforts to introduce LS as a mechanism for teacher development, challenges persist in its widespread adoption and integration into the educational landscape. Issues of sustainability, cultural adaptation, and fidelity to the LS process have surfaced, necessitating a deeper exploration of its efficacy within the Philippine context.

This study seeks to address these gaps by assessing the quality of mathematics teachers at Bulua National High School through the lens of SEARS-MT dimensions and exploring the utility of LS as a catalyst for teacher professional development. By integrating LS and SEARS-MT, this research aims to offer insights into the potential synergies between collaborative inquiry and quality standards in advancing teaching effectiveness and student learning outcomes.

Literature Review:

The literature surrounding teacher professional development and instructional improvement in the context of mathematics education provides valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities facing educators. This literature review synthesizes key findings from seminal studies and scholarly discourse, focusing on the efficacy of various professional development models, the role of teacher quality in student achievement, and the implementation of Lesson Study (LS) as a mechanism for enhancing teaching effectiveness.

Professional Development Models:

In the Philippines, professional development for teachers has historically followed a cascading model or cluster-based training, wherein training initiatives trickle down from national to school levels (Bentillo et al., 2003; Ulep, 2006). This top-down approach often results in dilution of training content and limited relevance to teachers' actual classroom contexts. Park and So, (2014) emphasizes the importance of sustainable professional development that actively engages teachers as learners and fosters collaborative learning communities. Despite efforts to promote inquiry teaching and active learning, traditional professional development models have struggled to effect substantial changes in instructional practices (Loucks-Horsley et al., 1998).

Numerous studies have underscored the significant impact of teacher quality on student outcomes, particularly in the domain of mathematics education. Nye et al. (2004) conducted a meta-analysis of teacher effects and found that high-quality teaching has a substantial positive influence on student academic performance. Chetty et al. (2014) further corroborate these findings, demonstrating that teachers' value-added measures are strongly correlated with students' long-term success. This highlights the crucial role of teacher effectiveness in shaping students' academic trajectories and lifelong achievements.

Lesson Study as a Professional Development Model:

In contrast to traditional training models, Lesson Study (LS) has emerged as a promising approach to teacher professional development, particularly in mathematics education. Originating in Japan, LS is characterized by collaborative lesson planning, iterative improvement, and reflective practice (Fernandez, 2002). Yoshida (1999) outlines the core principles of LS, emphasizing its focus on systematic inquiry into pedagogical practices and its alignment with teachers' everyday experiences in the classroom. Takahashi (2015) highlights LS as a fundamental driver for teacher learning and underscores its role in promoting effective implementation of new teaching strategies.

While LS has gained traction as a transformative professional development model, challenges persist in its adaptation and integration into diverse educational contexts. Ronda (2013) identifies resistance to sustained implementation as a common hurdle, noting that teachers may revert to traditional teaching practices despite initial engagement with LS. Ebaegu and Stephens (2015) emphasize the importance of cultural considerations in LS implementation, highlighting the need for fidelity to the LS process while acknowledging existing cultural norms and values. Laynesa et al. (2013) offer a more optimistic outlook, suggesting that LS has the potential to become a normative practice in the Philippines with continued support and investment in teacher development.



The Southeast Asia Regional Standards for Mathematics Teachers (SEARS-MT) provide a framework for assessing and enhancing teacher quality in mathematics education. By integrating LS with SEARS-MT dimensions, educators can leverage collaborative inquiry to address specific areas of professional growth outlined in the standards. This synergistic approach aligns with Lave's (1988) concept of situated learning, wherein learning occurs within the context of social interaction and participation in a community of practice. Furthermore, Schon's (1983) notion of reflection in action and reflection on action underscores the importance of ongoing reflection and refinement in teacher practice, a central tenet of both LS and SEARS-MT.

Methodology:

In this study, a systematic literature review methodology was employed to synthesize existing research findings on teacher professional development and Lesson Study (LS) implementation in the context of mathematics education in the Philippines. The systematic review process involved several distinct stages, including identification of relevant literature, screening and selection of studies, data extraction, and synthesis of findings.

To identify relevant literature, comprehensive searches were conducted in academic databases such as Google Scholar, ERIC, and Scopus. Keywords and search terms used included "teacher professional development," "Lesson Study," "mathematics education," "Philippines," and related variations. Boolean operators such as "AND" and "OR" were utilized to refine search results and capture relevant studies.

The initial search yielded a large number of potentially relevant studies. To streamline the selection process, inclusion and exclusion criteria were established. Studies were included if they focused on teacher professional development initiatives or LS implementation in the Philippines within the context of mathematics education. Exclusion criteria included studies conducted outside the Philippines or unrelated to mathematics education or teacher development.

Two independent reviewers conducted an initial screening of titles and abstracts to identify potentially eligible studies. Full-text articles were then retrieved and further assessed for eligibility based on the predefined criteria. Any discrepancies or disagreements between reviewers were resolved through discussion and consensus.

Upon finalizing the selection of studies, relevant data were systematically extracted from each included study. Key information extracted included study objectives, methodology, participants, interventions or programs implemented, outcomes measured, and key findings. Data extraction was conducted using a standardized form to ensure consistency and completeness.

The extracted data were synthesized thematically to identify common themes, patterns, and trends across the selected studies. Themes related to teacher professional development models, LS implementation strategies, challenges and barriers, outcomes, and implications were identified and analyzed. Findings from individual studies were compared and contrasted to identify overarching patterns and areas of consensus or divergence.

Quality assessment of included studies was conducted to evaluate the rigor and methodological soundness of each study. Criteria such as study design, sample size, data collection methods, and analysis techniques were considered in assessing study quality. Studies were graded based on their adherence to established methodological standards and the extent to which they contributed to the overall body of knowledge on the topic.

Findings and Discussion:

Limited Effectiveness of Traditional Professional Development Models:

The landscape of teacher professional development in the Philippines has traditionally been characterized by conventional models such as cascading training and cluster-based workshops. However, a systematic review of existing literature highlights the limited effectiveness of these traditional approaches in promoting sustained improvements in teaching practices (Bentillo et al., 2003; Ulep, 2006). Despite concerted efforts to disseminate knowledge and skills through these models, they often fall short in addressing the specific needs and challenges faced by educators in their classroom contexts (Espinosa, 2023).

The cascading training model, wherein training initiatives are cascaded from national to school levels, has been a prevalent approach in the Philippines (Bentillo et al., 2003). However, this top-down dissemination of knowledge often results in the dilution of training content and a lack of alignment with teachers' instructional needs (Ulep, 2006). Teachers may find it challenging to translate generic training content into actionable strategies that resonate with their students' learning needs, leading to limited impact on teaching practices.

Similarly, cluster-based workshops, wherein teachers from multiple schools attend training programs conducted by subject specialists, have also been employed as a professional development model (Ulep, 2006). While this model allows for collaboration among teachers and subject specialists, it may not fully address the contextualized needs



of individual educators. The relevance of training content may vary depending on the schools' instructional priorities and student demographics, leading to inconsistencies in implementation and limited sustainability (Balinggan, 2023).

One significant challenge associated with traditional professional development models is the tendency for teachers to revert to familiar teaching methods after training sessions (Capili-Balbalin, 2017). Despite exposure to new instructional strategies and pedagogical approaches, teachers may struggle to integrate these practices into their daily teaching routines. This reversion to familiar methods underscores the disconnect between professional development initiatives and teachers' actual classroom contexts, highlighting the need for more impactful and sustainable approaches to teacher learning.

In light of the limitations of traditional professional development models, there is a growing call for more impactful and sustainable approaches to teacher learning (Morales, 2016). Educators and policymakers recognize the importance of providing teachers with opportunities for collaborative inquiry, ongoing support, and contextualized learning experiences (Loucks-Horsley et al., 1998). By fostering a culture of continuous improvement and collective responsibility for student learning outcomes, these approaches aim to enhance the effectiveness and relevance of teacher professional development initiatives.

Promise of Lesson Study as a Transformative Approach:

In recent years, Lesson Study (LS) has garnered attention as a promising alternative to traditional professional development models in the field of education. Originating in Japan, LS offers a collaborative and inquiry-based approach to teacher learning, emphasizing iterative cycles of lesson planning, observation, and reflection (Fernandez, 2002). This section delves into the literature surrounding the promise of LS as a transformative approach to teacher professional development, drawing insights from empirical studies and scholarly discourse.

One of the key promises of LS lies in its ability to promote reflective practice among educators. By engaging in collaborative lesson planning and post-lesson discussions, teachers have the opportunity to critically reflect on their teaching practices and student learning outcomes (Yoshida, 1999). Fernandez (2002) highlights the role of LS in fostering a culture of inquiry and self-assessment, where teachers continuously strive to improve their instructional strategies based on evidence from classroom observations and student feedback.

Empirical studies have demonstrated the efficacy of LS in enhancing teachers' pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) – a critical component of effective teaching. Yoshida (1999) emphasizes the role of LS in deepening teachers' understanding of subject matter content and instructional strategies, particularly in the domain of mathematics education. Through collaborative engagement with lesson planning and peer feedback, teachers develop a deeper appreciation for the underlying principles of effective teaching and learning.

Several studies have underscored the positive impact of LS on teaching effectiveness and student learning outcomes. Takahashi (2015) highlights LS as a catalyst for instructional improvement, enabling teachers to implement evidence-based teaching strategies and adapt their practices to meet the diverse needs of learners. By engaging in systematic inquiry and reflection, teachers become more attuned to students' strengths and challenges, leading to more responsive and effective instruction (Fernandez, 2002).

At its core, LS fosters a culture of continuous improvement and collective responsibility for student learning outcomes. By engaging in collaborative lesson study cycles, teachers develop a shared understanding of effective teaching practices and work collaboratively to refine their instructional strategies (Yoshida, 1999). This collaborative inquiry process promotes a sense of ownership and accountability among educators, leading to sustained improvements in teaching quality and student achievement.

LS holds immense promise as a transformative approach to teacher professional development in mathematics education. Empirical studies and scholarly discourse attest to its efficacy in promoting reflective practice, improving pedagogical content knowledge, enhancing teaching effectiveness, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement. As educators grapple with the complex challenges of twenty-first-century teaching and learning, LS offers a beacon of hope, providing a structured framework for collaborative inquiry and instructional improvement.

Challenges in LS Implementation and Cultural Adaptation:

Lesson Study (LS) has garnered attention as a promising approach to teacher professional development, particularly in mathematics education. However, its implementation in the Philippines has been met with several challenges, ranging from logistical constraints to cultural barriers. This section explores the obstacles encountered in LS implementation and the strategies needed to overcome them.

One of the primary challenges in LS implementation is the resistance to sustained engagement among teachers. Despite initial enthusiasm, some educators may struggle to maintain active participation in LS cycles over time.



This phenomenon is often attributed to competing demands on teachers' time, including administrative tasks, curriculum requirements, and extracurricular responsibilities (Ronda, 2013). Without ongoing support and encouragement, teachers may prioritize other obligations over LS activities, hindering the effectiveness of the professional development process (Ompad Jr, et al., 2024).

Cultural factors also play a significant role in shaping the implementation of LS in the Philippines. Existing teaching norms and values may conflict with the collaborative and reflective nature of LS, leading to resistance or skepticism among educators (Ebaegu & Stephens, 2015). For example, traditional hierarchical structures within schools may discourage open dialogue and collective decision-making, impeding the collaborative spirit essential for LS success. Additionally, cultural attitudes towards teaching and learning may prioritize rote memorization and teacher-centered instruction, posing challenges to the adoption of inquiry-based approaches like LS (Laynesa et al., 2013).

Implementing LS requires teachers to integrate new teaching strategies and pedagogical approaches into their practice. However, this process may be met with resistance or uncertainty, particularly if the strategies deviate significantly from established instructional methods (Ronda, 2013). Teachers may struggle to reconcile LS principles with their existing teaching philosophies or find it challenging to adapt lesson plans to accommodate collaborative input from colleagues. Moreover, the complexity of LS cycles, which involve multiple stages of planning, observation, and reflection, may overwhelm some educators, leading to hesitancy or reluctance to fully engage in the process (Bahena, et al., 2024).

Practical considerations, such as time constraints and resource limitations, pose additional challenges to LS implementation. Scheduling LS cycles within already packed academic calendars can be challenging, particularly in schools with limited flexibility or autonomy over their timetables (Ebaegu & Stephens, 2015). Furthermore, securing adequate resources, such as instructional materials, technology support, and professional development funding, may present barriers to effective LS implementation. Without sufficient logistical support, teachers may struggle to execute LS cycles with fidelity, compromising the quality and impact of the professional development experience (Villarin, et al., 2024).

Addressing the challenges in LS implementation requires a multifaceted approach that recognizes the contextual nuances of each school and community. Providing ongoing support and professional development opportunities tailored to teachers' needs can help sustain engagement and build capacity for LS implementation (Laynesa et al., 2013). Cultivating a culture of collaboration and trust within schools is essential for overcoming cultural barriers and fostering a conducive environment for LS (Ronda, 2013). Additionally, advocating for policy changes and resource allocation to support LS initiatives can help alleviate logistical constraints and promote equitable access to professional development opportunities (Ebaegu & Stephens, 2015).

By acknowledging and addressing these challenges, educators can work towards maximizing the potential of LS as a transformative approach to teacher professional development in mathematics education.

Opportunities for Integration with Quality Standards:

The integration of Lesson Study (LS) with quality standards, such as the Southeast Asia Regional Standards for Mathematics Teachers (SEARS-MT), presents a promising avenue for enhancing teacher professional development and improving student learning outcomes in mathematics education. This section explores the potential opportunities and benefits of aligning LS practices with SEARS-MT dimensions, drawing insights from existing research and scholarly discourse (Clemente, et al., 2024).

SEARS-MT outlines four dimensions of teacher quality: professional knowledge, professional teaching, personal and professional attributes, and professional community (Tahir & Thien, 2013). These dimensions provide a comprehensive framework for assessing and enhancing teachers' competencies and effectiveness in the classroom (Igcasama, et al., 2023). By integrating LS practices with SEARS-MT dimensions, educators can address specific areas of teacher growth and development in alignment with established professional standards.

One key dimension of SEARS-MT is professional knowledge, which encompasses teachers' understanding of fundamental mathematical concepts, pedagogical approaches, and the use of technology in instruction (Tahir & Thien, 2013). LS provides a structured framework for collaborative inquiry and lesson planning, enabling teachers to deepen their content knowledge and explore innovative teaching strategies (Yoshida, 1999). Through LS, teachers engage in systematic exploration of mathematical concepts and pedagogical techniques, fostering a culture of continuous learning and professional growth (Takahashi, 2015).

The SEARS-MT dimension of professional teaching emphasizes effective instructional practices, student engagement, and the promotion of higher-order thinking skills (Tahir & Thien, 2013). LS offers opportunities for teachers to refine their pedagogical skills through collaborative lesson observation, reflection, and revision (Fernandez, 2002). By engaging in iterative cycles of planning, teaching, and reflection, teachers can identify



effective teaching strategies, assess student learning outcomes, and make data-informed adjustments to their instructional practices (Takahashi & Yoshida, 2004).

SEARS-MT recognizes the importance of personal and professional attributes, such as empathy, respect for students, and collaboration with colleagues, in promoting effective teaching and learning environments (Tahir & Thien, 2013). LS promotes the development of these attributes by fostering a culture of collaboration, mutual respect, and collective responsibility among teachers (Yoshida, 1999). Through collaborative lesson planning and reflection, teachers learn to appreciate diverse perspectives, support each other's professional growth, and cultivate a sense of shared ownership over student success (Fernandez, 2002).

The dimension of professional community within SEARS-MT emphasizes the importance of collegial support, collaboration, and professional learning communities in enhancing teacher effectiveness (Tahir & Thien, 2013). LS provides a structured framework for building professional communities centered around collaborative inquiry and shared learning goals (Yoshida, 1999). By participating in LS cycles, teachers develop a sense of belonging to a community of practice, where they can share resources, exchange ideas, and support each other's professional development (Takahashi, 2015).

Conclusion:

This study has highlighted the potential of Lesson Study (LS) as a transformative approach to teacher professional development in mathematics education in the Philippines. Through a systematic literature review, we have identified key findings regarding the effectiveness of traditional professional development models, the promise of LS, challenges in LS implementation, and opportunities for integration with quality standards.

The review revealed that traditional professional development models, such as cascading training and cluster-based workshops, have shown limited effectiveness in promoting sustained improvements in teaching practices. These models often lack relevance to teachers' classroom contexts and fail to foster collaborative learning communities. In contrast, LS emerged as a promising alternative, offering a collaborative and inquiry-based approach to teacher learning. Studies highlighted LS's potential to promote reflective practice, improve pedagogical content knowledge, and enhance teaching effectiveness.

However, despite its potential benefits, LS implementation in the Philippines has encountered various challenges, including resistance to sustained engagement and cultural barriers. Addressing these challenges requires careful consideration of context-specific factors and ongoing support for teachers' professional development.

Nevertheless, the review identified opportunities for integrating LS with quality standards, such as the Southeast Asia Regional Standards for Mathematics Teachers (SEARS-MT). By aligning LS practices with SEARS-MT dimensions, educators can address specific areas of teacher growth and promote adherence to professional standards. This integrated approach leverages collaborative inquiry to enhance teachers' content knowledge, pedagogical skills, and professional attributes, ultimately contributing to improved student learning outcomes.

LS holds immense potential as a catalyst for transformative teacher professional development in mathematics education in the Philippines. By overcoming challenges and leveraging opportunities for integration with quality standards, educators can foster a culture of continuous improvement and excellence in teaching and learning, ultimately benefiting students and enhancing the overall quality of mathematics education in the country. Continued research and collaboration are essential to further explore and harness the potential of LS in the Philippine context.

References:

- Bahena, R., Kilag, O. K., Andrin, G., Diano Jr, F., Unabia, R., & Valle, J. (2024). From Method to Equity: Rethinking Mathematics Assessment Policies in Education. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 2(1), 121-132.
- Balinggan, L. F. (2023). A Long Way To Go: A Comparative Study on the Implementation of Education for Sustainable Development in Secondary Education in Finland and the Philippines.
- Bentillo, E., Carale, L., Galvez, E., Magno, M., Pabellon, J., Talisayon, V., ... & Treyes, R. (2003). Teacher development Supervision of science and mathematics teaching. *University of the Philippines National Institute for Science and Mathematics Education Development, Quezon City*.
- Capili-Balbalin, W. (2017). *The Development of Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) in the Philippines: Roles and Views of Secondary School Principals* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Waikato).



Chetty, R., Friedman, J. N., & Rockoff, J. E. (2014). Measuring the impacts of teachers II: Teacher value-added and student outcomes in adulthood. *American economic review*, 104(9), 2633-2679.

Clements, D. H., Sarama, J., & DiBiase, A. M. (2003). *Engaging young children in mathematics: Standards for early childhood mathematics education*. Routledge.

Clemente, J., Kilag, O. K., Ypon, A., Groenewald, E., Groenewald, C. A., & Ubay, R. (2024). Enhancing Mathematics Self-Efficacy: Intervention Strategies and Effectiveness—A Systematic Review. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, 1(2), 274-280.

Ebaegu, M., & Stephens, M. (2015). Teacher professional development through Lesson Study: adaptation or cultural transition. In *7th ICMI-East Asia regional conference on mathematics education*. Cebu City, Philippines (pp. 373-378).

Espinosa, A. A., Gomez, A. C., Reyes, A. S., Macahilig, H. B., Cortez, L. A. S., & David, A. P. (2023). International large-scale assessment (ILSA): Implications for pre-service teacher education in the Philippines. *Issues in Educational Research*, 33(2), 553-569.

Fernandez, C. (2002). Learning from Japanese approaches to professional development: The case of lesson study. *Journal of teacher education*, 53(5), 393-405.

Igcasama, R., Amante, E., Benigay, D. J. P., Mabanag, B., Monilar, D. I., & Kilag, O. K. (2023). A Paradigm Shift in Education: Impact of Flipped Classrooms on High School Mathematics Conceptual Mastery. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(6), 465-476.

Lave, J. (1988). *Cognition in practice: Mind, mathematics and culture in everyday life*. Cambridge University Press.

Laynesa, E., Mirana, A., Huerno, E., Ruiz, M., & Nacario, C. (2013). Students' performance in science in three selected schools using Lesson Study: a pilot study. 鳴門教育大学国際教育協力研究= NUE Journal of International Educational Cooperation, 7, 53-59.

Loucks-Horsley, S., Stiles, K. E., Mundry, S., Love, N., & Hewson, P. W. (2009). *Designing professional development for teachers of science and mathematics*. Corwin press.

Morales, M. P. E. (2016). Participatory action research (PAR) cum action research (AR) in teacher professional development: a literature review. *International Journal of Research in Education and Science*, 2(1), 156-165.

Nye, B., Konstantopoulos, S., & Hedges, L. V. (2004). How large are teacher effects?. *Educational evaluation and policy analysis*, 26(3), 237-257.

Ompad Jr, V., Kilag, O. K., Luzares, A., Tipontipon, J., dela Cruz, G., & Velasquez, B. (2024). Mathematics Leadership in Schools: A Deep Dive into Aspirations and Hurdles. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, 1(1), 115-122.

Park, M., & So, K. (2014). Opportunities and challenges for teacher professional development: a case of collaborative learning community in South Korea. *International education studies*, 7(7), 96-108.

Ronda, E. (2013). Scaffolding teacher learning through lesson study. *Lesson study: Planning together, learning together*, 195-216.

Schön, D. A. (2017). *The reflective practitioner: How professionals think in action*. Routledge.

Tahir, S., & Thien, L. M. (2013). Southeast Asia Regional Standards for Mathematics Teachers (SEARS-MT): setting the bar for the teachers. *SEAMEO RECSAM, Penang*.

Takahashi, A. (2015). Lesson Study: nice-to-have or must-have. In *7th ICMI-East Asia regional conference on mathematics education*. Cebu City, Philippines.

Villarin, J., Dolino, C., Fin, R., Miñoza, M. L., Ubay, R., & Kilag, O. K. (2024). Unlocking Mathematical Learning: Exploring Ethnomathematics' Impact on Student Engagement, Conceptual Understanding, and Equity in Mathematics Education. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, 1(3), 157-163.

Ulep, S. (2006). The potential of Lesson Study in enabling teachers to implement in their classes what they have learned from a training program. *University of the Philippines National Institute for Science and Mathematics Education Development (UP NISMED)*.



Wenglinsky, H. (2002). The link between teacher classroom practices and student academic performance. *Education policy analysis archives*, 10, 12-12.

Yoshida, M. (1999). Lesson study [Jugyokenkyu] in elementary school mathematics in Japan: A case study. In 1999, April, Paper presented at the American Educational Research Association Annual Meeting, Montreal, Canada.