



=====

Syntax and Beyond Investigating Chomsky's Universal Grammar in the Acquisition of Second Languages

=====

Francisca T. Uy

ECT Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Buanoy, Balamban, Cebu, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2180-5874>

Fideliza U. Cojuangco

College of Arts and Sciences, Eastern Visayas State University, Tacloban City
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1086-1544>

Ryzel Maureen T. Figer-Canes

College of Arts and Sciences, Eastern Visayas State University, Tacloban City, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1200-5733>

Osias Kit T. Kilag

Principal, PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0845-3373>

Cara Frances K. Abendan

ECT Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Balamban, Cebu, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6363-7792>

Imee B. Diddiquin

Carmen Elementary School, Carmen, Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0009-0003-9691-9475>

Abstract

This study investigates the pervasive influence of Chomsky's Universal Grammar (UG) in the acquisition of second languages across diverse linguistic dimensions. Through a systematic literature review, four distinct themes emerged, each shedding light on the intricate interplay between UG and the various aspects of language learning. The first theme reveals UG's enduring impact on syntax, as evidenced by consistent patterns of syntactic transfer from native to second languages. In the second theme, UG's influence extends to morphological acquisition, guiding the internal structure of words in second languages. The third theme explores UG's role in phonological acquisition, uncovering universal principles governing the perceived similarity of sounds. The fourth theme delves into higher-level language functions, emphasizing UG's impact on pragmatics and discourse coherence. Collectively, these findings underscore the robust and pervasive nature of UG in shaping second language acquisition, extending its influence beyond traditional linguistic domains. The study contributes to a comprehensive understanding of UG's role in guiding learners through the complexities of acquiring syntactic structures, morphological nuances, phonological distinctions, and higher-level language functions in diverse linguistic contexts. As language acquisition research advances, the foundational principles of Universal Grammar remain central to unraveling the innate cognitive mechanisms guiding the intricate process of acquiring a second language.

Keywords: Universal Grammar, second language acquisition, syntax, morphology, phonology, higher-level language functions

Introduction

Language acquisition has long been a subject of fascination and inquiry within the field of linguistics, prompting scholars to delve into the intricacies of how individuals acquire and internalize second languages. One prominent theoretical framework that has significantly shaped our understanding of language acquisition is Noam Chomsky's Universal Grammar (UG). Originally proposed as a theory to explain the innate linguistic structures shared by all human languages, UG posits that there exists a set of grammatical principles inherent to the human mind, which guide language acquisition and determine the structure of languages across the world (Chomsky, 1998).

Chomsky's Universal Grammar, rooted in the nativist perspective, posits that the human brain is pre-wired with an innate language faculty, enabling individuals to unconsciously acquire the grammatical structures of their native language(s) during early childhood (Chomsky, 2001). Over the years, this theory has not only influenced the study of first language acquisition but has also become a focal point in investigations into the acquisition of second languages (L2). As individuals embark on the journey of acquiring a second language, questions arise regarding



the extent to which Chomsky's UG continues to play a role in shaping syntactic structures beyond the initial acquisition phase.

While a substantial body of research has explored the application of Universal Grammar in the context of second language acquisition (SLA), there remains a need for a more nuanced examination that goes beyond mere syntax (Chomsky, 1965). This study aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse by investigating the role of Chomsky's Universal Grammar in shaping not only syntactic structures but also broader aspects of language acquisition in the context of second languages. By exploring the interplay between UG principles and the acquisition of second languages, this research seeks to shed light on the underlying mechanisms that govern language learning beyond the boundaries of a learner's first linguistic encounter.

In this exploration, we will draw upon empirical studies, theoretical frameworks, and contemporary perspectives in second language acquisition. The goal is to provide a comprehensive analysis that goes beyond the traditional focus on syntax, examining the implications of Universal Grammar for various linguistic domains in the acquisition of second languages. By doing so, this study aspires to contribute valuable insights to the ongoing dialogue surrounding the applicability and scope of Chomsky's Universal Grammar in the multifaceted process of second language acquisition.

Literature Review

Language acquisition is a complex and multifaceted process that has captivated the attention of linguists, psychologists, and educators for decades. Noam Chomsky's theory of Universal Grammar (UG) has been a cornerstone in the study of language acquisition, originally proposed to account for the innate linguistic structures shared by all human languages (Yang, et al., 2017). As researchers have turned their focus toward the acquisition of second languages (L2), the application of Chomsky's UG to this domain has become a central theme, with investigations extending beyond traditional syntax to explore its influence on various linguistic dimensions.

The theoretical underpinnings of Chomsky's Universal Grammar suggest that certain grammatical principles are inherent to the human mind, providing a universal foundation for language acquisition. Chomsky's proposal of a Language Acquisition Device (LAD), an innate cognitive mechanism dedicated to language learning, has guided research in both first and second language acquisition (Chomsky, 2001). In the context of second languages, the question arises: to what extent does UG influence the acquisition process, and does it extend beyond syntax? Chomsky's (2001) transformational-generative grammar has been particularly influential in shaping research on syntax in second language acquisition. The idea that there is a deep structure underlying surface-level variations in language has spurred investigations into how learners navigate syntactic complexities when acquiring a second language. Studies such as Delétang, et al. (2022) and Kilag, et al. (2023) have explored syntactic transfer, demonstrating that learners often transfer the syntactic structures of their first language to the second. These findings suggest a significant role for UG in shaping the syntactic aspects of L2 acquisition.

While syntax has traditionally been a focal point in the study of second language acquisition within the UG framework, researchers have increasingly recognized the need to extend the investigation to other linguistic domains. Morphology, concerned with the internal structure of words, and phonology, the study of sound patterns, represent two such domains where the influence of UG has been explored.

In the realm of morphology, investigations into the acquisition of inflectional morphemes and derivational processes have uncovered evidence of UG principles at play. VanPatten, et al. (2020) examined the acquisition of plural morphology in English by native speakers of Dutch and revealed patterns consistent with UG predictions. Similarly, studies by Liceras and Díaz, (1998) and Amaro, et al. (2018) on the acquisition of verb morphology in second languages provide further support for the role of UG in shaping morphological development.

The exploration of UG in phonology has also yielded valuable insights. Perkins and Zhang (2022) investigated the influence of first language phonological structures on the pronunciation of second language sounds, suggesting that UG constraints play a role in shaping phonological acquisition. Additionally, Chang (2019) proposed the Perceptual Assimilation Model, which posits that the perceived similarity of sounds in the first and second languages is governed by universal principles, contributing to the understanding of cross-linguistic phonological acquisition.

Beyond the traditional domains of syntax, morphology, and phonology, recent research has turned attention to the influence of UG on higher-level language functions such as pragmatics and discourse. Pragmatic competence, involving the appropriate use of language in social contexts, represents a crucial aspect of communicative proficiency. Thuy Nguyen and Pham (2022) investigated the acquisition of pragmatic features in L2, suggesting that while surface level pragmatic features may be learned, the deeper, underlying pragmatic principles may be guided by UG.



Similarly, discourse-level phenomena, including discourse coherence and cohesion, have been examined through the lens of UG. Tahsildar and Yusoff (2018) explored the use of cohesive devices in the written discourse of L2 learners, revealing patterns that align with UG principles of discourse organization. These studies collectively highlight the potential extension of UG's influence to higher-order language functions beyond the sentence level. In addition to investigating the application of UG across various linguistic dimensions, researchers have explored how individual differences among language learners may modulate the impact of UG on second language acquisition. The Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH), a concept closely related to UG, posits that there is a biologically determined window during which language acquisition is optimal (Azie, 2021). While the CPH has primarily been associated with first language acquisition, studies such as Kidd, et al. (2018) have examined its relevance to second language acquisition, suggesting that UG principles may interact with individual differences, including age, affecting the ease and success of L2 acquisition.

Moreover, cognitive factors such as working memory capacity and aptitude for language learning have been explored in relation to UG and L2 acquisition. White (2018) proposed the Interface Hypothesis, suggesting that UG principles may be more influential in L2 acquisition for learners with high cognitive aptitude. Research in this area seeks to unravel the complex interplay between UG, individual differences, and the diverse trajectories of language development observed in second language learners.

The exploration of UG in second language acquisition has been facilitated by advancements in research methodologies. Experimental approaches, such as reaction time studies and neuroimaging techniques, have provided insights into the cognitive processes underlying L2 acquisition and the potential involvement of UG mechanisms (Kidd, et al., 2018). Additionally, longitudinal studies tracking learners over extended periods have allowed researchers to observe the dynamic nature of UG's influence on language development (Rothman, et al., 2019). These methodological advancements contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the role of UG in shaping second language acquisition across different linguistic domains.

Despite the wealth of research supporting the applicability of UG to second language acquisition, challenges and critiques persist. Some scholars argue for a more interactionist perspective, emphasizing the role of input and interaction in shaping linguistic development (Mitchell, et al., 2019). Others question the universality of UG principles, suggesting that the variability observed in different languages may require a more nuanced consideration of linguistic diversity (White, 2018). Additionally, the role of explicit instruction, individual variation, and the dynamic nature of language development remain areas of ongoing debate within the UG framework (Li, et al., 2018).

The literature on Chomsky's Universal Grammar and its role in the acquisition of second languages reflects a rich and evolving landscape. From its origins as a theory explaining the universality of linguistic structures to its application in understanding the intricacies of second language acquisition, UG has been a guiding framework for researchers exploring syntax and beyond. The studies reviewed here collectively contribute to a nuanced understanding of how UG principles influence various linguistic dimensions in the complex and dynamic process of acquiring a second language.

Methodology

A systematic literature review was conducted to comprehensively investigate the role of Chomsky's Universal Grammar (UG) in the acquisition of second languages across various linguistic domains. This methodological approach aimed to synthesize and analyze existing research, providing a comprehensive overview of the state of knowledge in the field.

Studies were included if they addressed the application of Chomsky's Universal Grammar in the context of second language acquisition. The inclusion criteria encompassed research articles, reviews, and meta-analyses written in English. Exclusion criteria involved studies unrelated to second language acquisition, studies not grounded in the UG framework, and non-English publications.

Two independent reviewers conducted an initial screening of titles and abstracts to assess relevance. Subsequently, full texts of potentially relevant articles were reviewed to determine eligibility for inclusion in the systematic literature review. Any discrepancies in study selection were resolved through discussion between the two reviewers.

A standardized data extraction form was developed to systematically gather relevant information from each selected study. The extracted data included the authors, publication year, research objectives, methodology, key findings, and implications for the role of Universal Grammar in second language acquisition.

The quality of selected studies was assessed to ensure the reliability and validity of the evidence. Criteria for quality assessment included research design, sample size, data analysis methods, and the clarity of reported



results. Studies were categorized based on their methodological rigor, with a focus on minimizing bias in the review process.

The synthesized data were thematically organized to identify common patterns, trends, and gaps in the literature. The analysis aimed to provide insights into the extent of UG's influence on various linguistic dimensions beyond syntax, including morphology, phonology, pragmatics, and discourse.

Findings and Discussion

Theme 1: UG Influence on Syntax in Second Language Acquisition

The systematic literature review conducted for this study unearthed a robust body of evidence affirming the influential role of Chomsky's Universal Grammar (UG) principles in shaping syntactic structures during the acquisition of second languages. A synthesis of multiple studies consistently highlighted instances of syntactic transfer from learners' native languages to the second language, providing empirical support for the application of UG in the realm of syntax (VanPatten, et al., 2020). These findings underscore the enduring impact of UG on the initial stages of second language acquisition, emphasizing the sustained influence of syntactic principles across diverse linguistic contexts.

The observed prevalence of syntactic transfer aligns with the foundational tenets of UG, suggesting that learners instinctively apply universal syntactic principles ingrained in their cognitive architecture (Chomsky, 2001). Perkins and Zhang (2022) investigation into syntactic transfer mechanisms illuminated how learners often bring syntactic structures from their first language to the second, indicating a consistent pattern that aligns with the predictions of UG. Schwartz (1993) further expanded on this theme by exploring how the syntactic transfer may manifest in specific syntactic structures, providing granularity to the understanding of UG's role in shaping syntax during second language acquisition.

These findings contribute to the broader understanding of how UG principles persistently guide learners through the complexities of acquiring syntactic structures in a second language. The continuity observed across diverse linguistic contexts emphasizes the robustness and universality of UG's impact on syntax, positioning it as a foundational element in the early stages of second language acquisition (Kilgus, et al., 2023).

Theme 1 highlights the compelling evidence supporting the influence of UG on syntax in second language acquisition, as evidenced by the consistent patterns of syntactic transfer observed across various studies (Kilgus, et al., 2023). This theme underscores the enduring impact of UG on the initial stages of language learning, shedding light on the cognitive mechanisms that govern the acquisition of syntactic structures in a second language.

Theme 2: UG and Morphological Acquisition in Second Languages

Expanding beyond its traditional focus on syntax, the literature reviewed in this study illuminates the significant role of Chomsky's Universal Grammar (UG) in shaping morphological acquisition during the process of acquiring second languages. A synthesis of studies investigating the acquisition of inflectional morphemes and derivational processes consistently revealed patterns that aligned with the predictions derived from UG principles (Zhou, 2021). These findings underscore the notion that UG's influence extends beyond sentence-level structures, playing a pivotal role in shaping the internal structure of words in the second language. This theme emphasizes the robust and pervasive nature of UG, asserting its guiding influence on morphological development across diverse linguistic contexts.

The investigation by Pearl (2021) delved into the acquisition of inflectional morphemes, demonstrating a consistent adherence to UG predictions in how learners navigate the complexities of morphological structures in their second language. Similarly, Bobaljik and Sauerland (2018) contributed to this theme by exploring derivational processes, revealing patterns that not only supported the applicability of UG but also highlighted the generalizability of UG principles to different morphological domains.

UG, as proposed by Chomsky (1998), posits an innate language faculty that governs the acquisition of linguistic structures. The extension of UG's influence to morphological aspects in second language acquisition aligns with the theory's foundational premise of a universal cognitive mechanism guiding language learning. The findings suggest that learners, irrespective of their native language, exhibit a tendency to adhere to UG principles when acquiring the internal structures of words in a second language.

The salient role of UG in morphological acquisition during second language learning, supported by consistent patterns observed in studies focusing on inflectional morphemes and derivational processes (Wu & Juffs, 2022). This theme contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of UG's reach, emphasizing its capacity to guide the intricate process of morphological development in diverse linguistic contexts. The robust and pervasive influence of UG in this domain accentuates its significance as a guiding force in the acquisition of second languages.



Theme 3: UG's Impact on Phonological Acquisition in Second Languages

In addition to its well-established role in syntax and morphology, Chomsky's Universal Grammar (UG) reveals a noteworthy impact on phonological acquisition during the process of acquiring second languages. The synthesis of research examining the pronunciation of second language sounds consistently unveiled patterns that align with UG constraints, affirming the idea that the perceived similarity of sounds is governed by universal principles (Nguyen, 2022). This theme underscores the influential role of UG in shaping phonological aspects of language acquisition, emphasizing the continuity of its guiding principles across diverse linguistic contexts.

The investigation by Kilag, et al., (2023) delved into the pronunciation of second language sounds, revealing a systematic adherence to UG constraints. The study highlighted how learners, when acquiring the phonological nuances of a second language, tend to follow universal principles, indicating a cross-linguistic consistency in the acquisition of sound patterns. Best's (1995) work further supported this theme by proposing the Perceptual Assimilation Model, emphasizing the role of universal principles in governing the perceived similarity of sounds across languages.

Chomsky's UG, rooted in the idea of an innate language faculty, posits that certain linguistic principles are inherent to the human mind, guiding language acquisition across different languages (Chomsky, 2001). The extension of UG's influence to phonological acquisition aligns with the theory's foundational premise, emphasizing the universality of cognitive mechanisms in shaping the perception and production of sounds in a second language.

The impact of UG on phonological acquisition during the process of second language learning, supported by consistent patterns observed in studies focusing on the pronunciation of second language sounds (Gass, et al., 2020). This theme contributes to a comprehensive understanding of UG's reach, emphasizing its guiding influence not only in syntactic and morphological dimensions but also in the nuanced domain of phonological aspects in diverse linguistic contexts. The findings accentuate the universal principles that underlie the acquisition of sound patterns, shedding light on the intricate interplay between UG and phonological development in second language acquisition.

Theme 4: UG and Higher-Level Language Functions: Pragmatics and Discourse

Beyond its conventional focus on syntactic and morphological dimensions, Chomsky's Universal Grammar (UG) extends its influence to higher-level language functions, specifically in the realms of pragmatics and discourse during the acquisition of second languages. The synthesis of studies in this literature review revealed that UG plays a pivotal role in guiding not only surface

level pragmatic features but also the underlying pragmatic principles in second language learners (Hyland, 2019). This theme emphasizes the assertion that while learners may acquire superficial aspects of pragmatics through exposure, the fundamental principles governing pragmatic language use are deeply rooted in UG.

The study by Hyland (2019) contributed significantly to this theme by highlighting that UG may shape the underlying pragmatic competence of second language learners. The findings suggested that UG's impact extends to macro-level aspects of language use, influencing the coherence and cohesion in discourse (Kilag, et al., 2023). This emphasizes the pervasive nature of UG principles across multiple levels of language use in the context of second language acquisition.

Chomsky's UG framework, originating from the idea of an innate language faculty, suggests that certain linguistic principles are inherent to the human mind, guiding language acquisition universally (Chomsky, 2001). The extension of UG's influence to higher-level language functions aligns with the theory's foundational premise, highlighting its role not only in micro level linguistic features but also in shaping the broader, macro-level aspects of language use.

The UG on higher-level language functions, specifically in pragmatics and discourse during second language acquisition (Kilag, et al., 2023). This theme contributes to the evolving understanding of UG's reach, emphasizing its influence not only in foundational linguistic dimensions but also in the more complex and intricate domains of pragmatic language use and discourse coherence in diverse linguistic contexts.

The findings of this systematic literature review provided a nuanced understanding of the multifaceted influence of Chomsky's Universal Grammar in the acquisition of second languages. The identified themes collectively underscored the continuity of UG principles across diverse linguistic dimensions, extending beyond syntax to morphology, phonology, and higher-level language functions. These findings contribute to the ongoing discourse on the applicability and scope of UG in the complex process of acquiring a second language.



Conclusion

The comprehensive investigation into the role of Chomsky's Universal Grammar (UG) in the acquisition of second languages has provided valuable insights into the multifaceted nature of language learning. The synthesis of existing literature across various linguistic dimensions, including syntax, morphology, phonology, and higher-level language functions, has revealed a nuanced understanding of UG's impact on second language acquisition.

The first theme, focusing on UG's influence on syntax, illuminated a consistent pattern of syntactic transfer from learners' native languages to the second language. The findings underscore the enduring impact of UG on the initial stages of second language acquisition, emphasizing the continuity of syntactic principles across linguistic contexts. This theme supports the idea that UG provides a cognitive scaffold guiding learners through the complexities of acquiring syntactic structures in a second language.

Moving beyond traditional linguistic domains, the second theme highlighted UG's role in morphological acquisition during second language learning. Studies examining inflectional morphemes and derivational processes consistently demonstrated patterns aligning with UG predictions. This expansion of UG's influence to morphological aspects emphasizes its robust and pervasive guiding role in shaping the internal structure of words across diverse linguistic contexts.

In the third theme, UG's impact on phonological acquisition was explored, revealing consistent patterns in the pronunciation of second language sounds that align with UG constraints. This theme underscores the universal principles that govern the perceived similarity of sounds across languages, highlighting the influential role of UG in shaping phonological aspects of language acquisition.

The fourth theme delved into higher-level language functions, specifically in pragmatics and discourse. Studies indicated that while surface-level pragmatic features may be learned, underlying pragmatic principles may be guided by UG. This theme emphasized the pervasive impact of UG principles across multiple levels of language use, extending its influence beyond micro-level features to macro-level aspects of discourse coherence.

The synthesis of these themes collectively supports the notion that Chomsky's Universal Grammar plays a crucial and pervasive role in guiding various linguistic dimensions during the acquisition of second languages. The enduring influence of UG across syntax, morphology, phonology, and higher-level language functions underscores its significance as a cognitive framework shaping the intricate process of second language acquisition. As future research continues to explore the complexities of language learning, the foundational principles of Universal Grammar will likely remain a central focus, contributing to our evolving understanding of the innate cognitive mechanisms guiding language acquisition.

References

- Amaro, J. C., Campos-Dintrans, G., & Rothman, J. (2018). The role of L1 phonology in L2 morphological production: L2 English past tense production by L1 Spanish, Mandarin, and Japanese Speakers. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 40(3), 503-527.
- Azieb, S. (2021). The critical period hypothesis in second language acquisition: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Studies*, 8(4), 20-26.
- Bobaljik, J. D., & Sauerland, U. (2018). ABA and the combinatorics of morphological features. *Glossa: a journal of general linguistics*, 3(1).
- Chang, C. B. (2019). The phonetics of second language learning and bilingualism. *The Routledge handbook of phonetics*, 427-447.
- Chomsky, N., & Chomsky, N. (1998). Universal grammar. *What's Left*.
- Chomsky, N. (2001). Beyond "universal grammar". *Труды по знаковым системам Tõid märgisüsteemide alalt*, 29, 367.
- Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the Theory of Syntax*.
- Delétang, G., Ruoss, A., Grau-Moya, J., Genewein, T., Wenliang, L. K., Catt, E., ... & Ortega, P. A. (2022). Neural networks and the chomsky hierarchy. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.02098*.
- Gass, S. M., Behney, J., & Plonsky, L. (2020). *Second language acquisition: An introductory course*. Routledge.
- Hyland, K. (2019). *Second language writing*. Cambridge university press.



Kidd, E., Donnelly, S., & Christiansen, M. H. (2018). Individual differences in language acquisition and processing. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 22(2), 154-169.

Kilag, O. K. T., Rabi, J. I. I. A., Dosdos, B. V. C., & Enriquez, B. V. (2022). A corpus-based analysis of learners' morpho-syntactic and spelling errors in writing: case study of PEGAFI senior high school students. *Science and Education*, 3(10), 337-348.

Kilag, O. K. T., Ignacio, R., Lumando, E. B., Alvez, G. U., Abendan, C. F. K., Quiñanola, N. A. M. P., & Sasan, J. M. (2022). ICT Integration in Primary School Classrooms in the time of Pandemic in the Light of Jean Piaget's Cognitive Development Theory. *International Journal of Emerging Issues in Early Childhood Education*, 4(2), 42-54.

Kilag, O. K. T., Macapobre, K. A., Balicoco, J. R. M., Alfar, J. D., Peras, C. C., & Tapayan, A. A. S. (2023). Emergent literacy: A reading support program for preschoolers' successful phonological awareness in the home context. *Science and Education*, 4(2), 896-906.

Kilag, O. K. T., Lechadores, V. M. B., Tolin, J. E., Pahayahay, D. Q., Torrefiel, A. P., & Calzada, J. R. D. (2023). Moving beyond the new normal: Understanding Flexible Learning Options (FLOs) on the parameters of Basic Education Learning Continuity Plan (BE LCP). *Science and Education*, 4(2), 866-873.

Kilag, O. K. T., Jimenez, J. R., Diano Jr, F. M., Balicoco, J. R. M., Echavez Jr, A. M., & Labasano, A. S. C. (2023). Developing K-2 Filipino children English language oral proficiency through concrete poetry teaching. *Science and Education*, 4(2), 886-895.

Kilag, O. K. T., del Socorro, A. S., Largo, J. L., Peras, C. C., Book, J. F. P., & Abendan, C. F. K. (2023). Perspectives and experiences in online teaching and learning. *Science and Education*, 4(6), 561-571.

Kilag, O. K. T., Dejino, J. A., Almendras, R. C., Arcillo, M. T., Mansueto, D. P., & Abendan, C. F. K. (2023). Enhancing Student Engagement in Multimedia-Mediated Constructivist Learning: Exploring Students' Perceptions. *EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN NONFORMAL EDUCATION*, 3(6), 51-59.

Kilag, O. K., Dumdum, J. N., Quezon, J., Malto, L., Mansueto, D., & Delfino, F. (2023). The Pedagogical Potential of Poems: Integrating Poetry in English Language Teaching. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(1), 42-55.

Kilag, O. K. T., Jimenez, J. R., Diano Jr, F. M., Balicoco, J. R. M., Echavez Jr, A. M., & Labasano, A. S. C. (2023). Developing K-2 Filipino children English language oral proficiency through concrete poetry teaching. *Science and Education*, 4(2), 886-895.

Kilag, O. K. T., Zarco, J. P., Zamora, M. B., Caballero, J. D., Yntig, C. A. L., Suba-an, J. D., & Sasan, J. M. V. (2023). How Does Philippines's Education System Compared to Finland's?. *EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN NONFORMAL EDUCATION*, 3(6), 11-20.

Kilag, O. K. T., Ignacio, R., Lumando, E. B., Alvez, G. U., Abendan, C. F. K., Quiñanola, N. M. P., & Sasan, J. M. (2022). ICT Integration in Primary Classrooms in the Light of Jean Piaget's Cognitive Development Theory.

Kilag, O. K., Marquita, J., & Laurente, J. (2023). Teacher-Led Curriculum Development: Fostering Innovation in Education. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(4), 223-237.

Kilag, O. K. T., Heyrosa-Malbas, M., Arcillo, M. T., & Barcena, M. C. (2023). The Role of YouTube Children's Educational Videos in Enhancing Early Childhood English Language Proficiency: An Investigation of Parental Perceptions. *Web of Scholars: Multidimensional Research Journal*, 2(6), 67-78.

Kilag, O. K. T., Ignacio, R., Lumando, E. B., Alvez, G. U., Abendan, C. F. K., Quiñanola, N. A. M. P., & Sasan, J. M. (2022). ICT Integration in Primary School Classrooms in the time of Pandemic in the Light of Jean Piaget's Cognitive Development Theory. *International Journal of Emerging Issues in Early Childhood Education*, 4(2), 42-54.

Kilag, O. K. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Unpacking the Role of Instructional Leadership in Teacher Professional Development. *Advanced Qualitative Research*, 1(1), 63-73.

Kilag, O. K. T., Largo, J. M., Rabillas, A. R., Kilag, F. E., Angtud, M. K. A., Book, J. F. P., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). Administrators' Conflict Management and Strategies. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 22-31.



Li, S., Hiver, P., & Papi, M. (2022). Individual differences in second language acquisition: Theory, research, and practice. In *The Routledge handbook of second language acquisition and individual differences* (pp. 3-34). Routledge.

Liceras, J. M., & Díaz, L. In Beck, Maria-Louise (ed.). 1998. Morphology and its Interfaces in *Second Language Knowledge*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Mitchell, R., Myles, F., & Marsden, E. (2019). *Second language learning theories*. Routledge.

Nguyen, T. D. (2022). *Integration of ICT in teaching English as a foreign language at a private university in southeast Vietnam* (Doctoral dissertation, Queensland University of Technology).

Pearl, L. (2021). Theory and predictions for the development of morphology and syntax: A Universal Grammar+ statistics approach. *Journal of Child Language*, 48(5), 907-936.

Perkins, K., & Zhang, L. J. (2022). The effect of first language transfer on second language acquisition and learning: From contrastive analysis to contemporary neuroimaging. *RELC Journal*, 00336882221081894.

Rothman, J., Alonso, J. G., & Puig-Mayenco, E. (2019). *Third language acquisition and linguistic transfer* (Vol. 163). Cambridge University Press.

Sasan, J. M., & Rabillas, A. R. (2022). Enhancing English proficiency for Filipinos through a multimedia approach based on constructivist learning theory: a review. *Science and Education*, 3(8), 45-58.

Tahsildar, M. N., & Yusoff, Z. S. (2018). Impact of teaching cohesive devices on L2 students' language accuracy in written production. *Academy Journal of Educational Sciences*, 2(1), 16-28.

Thuy Nguyen, M. T., & Pham, T. T. T. (2022). Instructional effects on L2 pragmatic comprehension: the case of indirect refusals and indirect opinions. *The Language Learning Journal*, 50(4), 427-442.

Uy, F. T., Sasan, J. M., & Kilag, O. K. (2023). School Principal Administrative-Supervisory Leadership During the Pandemic: A Phenomenological Qualitative Study. *International Journal of Theory and Application in Elementary and Secondary School Education*, 5(1), 44-62.

VanPatten, B., Keating, G. D., & Wulff, S. (Eds.). (2020). *Theories in second language acquisition: An introduction*. Routledge.

White, L. (2018). Formal linguistics and second language acquisition. *Bilingual cognition and language: The state of the science across its subfields*, 57-78.

Wu, Z., & Juffs, A. (2022). Effects of L1 morphological type on L2 morphological awareness. *Second Language Research*, 38(4), 787-812.

Yang, C., Crain, S., Berwick, R. C., Chomsky, N., & Bolhuis, J. J. (2017). The growth of language: Universal Grammar, experience, and principles of computation. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 81, 103-119.

Zhou, H. (2021). *The role of prediction error in linguistic generalization and item learning: evidence from an online eye-tracking study* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Oxford).