



The Enduring Significance of Chomsky's Language and Responsibility: Current Linguistic Perspectives

Ryzel Maureen F. Canes

Associate Professor II, College of Arts and Sciences, Eastern Visayas State University, Tacloban City, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1200-5733> | ryzelmaureen.canes@evsu.edu.ph

Fideliza U. Cojuangco

Associate Professor V, College of Arts and Sciences, Eastern Visayas State University, Tacloban City
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1086-1544> | fideliza.cojuangco@evsu.edu.ph

Osias Kit T. Kilag

School Principal, PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0845-3373> | okkilag12@gmail.com

Abstract

This study provides a comprehensive analysis of the enduring significance of Noam Chomsky's seminal work, "Language and Responsibility," within contemporary linguistic discourse. Employing a systematic literature review approach, the study investigates key themes that elucidate the profound impact of Chomsky's contributions to the field of linguistics. Through the exploration of four central themes, including the universality of language structures, the critique and reformulation of Chomskyan linguistics, the interdisciplinary relevance of his work, and the evolution of his linguistic framework, the study offers insights into the dynamic and multifaceted nature of Chomsky's enduring influence. The findings underscore the ongoing debates and critical engagements that have shaped the trajectory of linguistic research, reflecting the nuanced understanding of the intricate interplay between language, cognition, and sociocultural dynamics. This study contributes to a deeper appreciation of Chomsky's intellectual legacy, highlighting the relevance of his work in fostering interdisciplinary dialogues and shaping contemporary perspectives on language, thought, and communication.

Keywords: Noam Chomsky, Language and Responsibility, Generative grammar, Universal Grammar (UG), Linguistic universals

Introduction

Noam Chomsky, a renowned linguist and philosopher, has long been recognized for his groundbreaking contributions to the field of linguistics and cognitive science. His seminal work, "Language and Responsibility" published in 1979, has continued to shape contemporary linguistic discourse and inspire numerous scholarly debates. Chomsky's profound insights into the nature of language, cognition, and human thought have had a lasting impact on our understanding of linguistic structures and the fundamental principles that govern human communication (Chomsky, 1979).

Chomsky's pioneering theory of generative grammar and his revolutionary concept of the Universal Grammar (UG) have provided a framework for understanding the innate structure and universality of human language (Yang, et al., 2017). The implications of Chomsky's linguistic theories extend beyond the realms of linguistics, influencing diverse fields such as psychology, anthropology, and cognitive science. His emphasis on the inherent human capacity for language acquisition and his critique of behaviorist accounts of language learning have prompted a paradigm shift in the study of language acquisition and cognitive development (Chomsky, 1981).

In the context of the evolving linguistic landscape and the proliferation of various theoretical frameworks, it is imperative to revisit Chomsky's foundational work and critically examine its enduring significance in contemporary linguistic research. This study aims to explore the current linguistic perspectives on Chomsky's "Language and Responsibility" and assess its impact on the broader field of linguistics. By analyzing the ongoing debates, reinterpretations, and extensions of Chomsky's ideas, this research seeks to shed light on the continued relevance of his work in shaping our understanding of language, cognition, and the human mind.

Through a comprehensive literature review and critical analysis of contemporary scholarly contributions, this study will elucidate the ways in which Chomsky's theoretical framework has withstood the test of time and remains a cornerstone of modern linguistics. By examining the diverse applications of Chomskyan principles in linguistic research and their implications for understanding language universals, this research endeavors to highlight the enduring significance of Chomsky's "Language and Responsibility" in the contemporary academic discourse.

This study aims to contribute to a deeper appreciation of Chomsky's contributions to linguistics and to foster a comprehensive understanding of the lasting impact of his seminal work in shaping current linguistic perspectives.



Literature Review

Noam Chomsky's work, particularly "Language and Responsibility" (1979), has left an indelible mark on the landscape of linguistics and related disciplines (Chomsky, 1979). Throughout the years, scholars have engaged with Chomsky's ideas, leading to a rich tapestry of literature that both builds upon and critically engages with his seminal work. This literature review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the key themes and critical discussions surrounding Chomsky's "Language and Responsibility" from various perspectives in contemporary linguistics.

Chomsky's theory of generative grammar, introduced in his earlier works such as "Syntactic Structures" (1957), laid the foundation for his influential ideas on the innate structure of human language (Chomsky, 1957). His proposition of the Universal Grammar (UG) posits that all human languages share a common underlying structure, which is genetically determined and inherent to the human mind. This idea has spurred a multitude of studies exploring the universality of linguistic structures across diverse languages and cultures (Chomsky, 1957). Furthermore, Chomsky's concept of transformational grammar, which emphasizes the hierarchical and recursive nature of language, has significantly influenced subsequent research on syntax and language acquisition (Chomsky, 1957).

While Chomsky's work has enjoyed widespread acclaim, it has also been met with considerable critique and debate within the field of linguistics. One prominent line of criticism pertains to Chomsky's postulation of an innate, domain-specific language faculty. Some scholars argue that Chomsky's emphasis on a biologically determined UG overlooks the role of environmental and social factors in shaping language acquisition (Miller, et al., 2023). This criticism has spurred a shift towards more empirically grounded approaches to language acquisition, with researchers emphasizing the interplay between biological predispositions and environmental influences in the development of language skills (Kidd & Donnelly, 2020).

Moreover, Chomsky's generative grammar has faced challenges from alternative linguistic frameworks, such as cognitive linguistics and functional linguistics. Cognitive linguists, including Peyer, et al., advocate for a usage-based approach to language, emphasizing the role of embodied cognition and the metaphorical grounding of linguistic structures (Peyer, et al., 2022). They propose that linguistic patterns arise from the dynamic interaction between cognition, embodiment, and cultural experiences, thus questioning the universality of Chomsky's grammar.

Additionally, the emergence of corpus linguistics has provided empirical evidence that challenges certain aspects of Chomsky's theoretical framework. Corpus-based studies have revealed patterns of language use that do not align with Chomsky's generative grammar, prompting scholars to explore the intricacies of language variation and usage-based patterns in real-world communicative contexts (Li, 2020).

Despite these criticisms, Chomsky's linguistic legacy continues to inspire new avenues of research and theoretical developments. Contemporary linguists have extended and modified Chomsky's ideas, leading to the development of Minimalist Program, which represents a significant departure from Chomsky's earlier work. The Minimalist Program seeks to simplify the formal machinery of generative grammar, focusing on economy and efficiency in linguistic representations (Chomsky, 1993). This development has sparked discussions on the nature of language complexity and the universality of grammatical operations across different languages.

Furthermore, Chomsky's socio-political activism, particularly his critical analyses of media and political discourse, has influenced the field of critical discourse analysis. Scholars have drawn upon Chomsky's insights to examine the underlying power structures embedded within language use, revealing the ways in which linguistic choices can perpetuate social inequalities and shape public opinion (Okan, 2020). Chomsky's work has thus transcended the boundaries of linguistics, inspiring interdisciplinary inquiries into the intersections of language, power, and ideology.

In recent years, the integration of neuroscientific methods into linguistic research has provided new insights into the neural underpinnings of language processing, complementing Chomsky's cognitive perspective. Neuroimaging studies have revealed neural correlates of syntactic processing and language comprehension, offering empirical support for Chomsky's theoretical proposals on the cognitive architecture of language (Zaccarella & Trettenbrein, 2021).

Chomsky's "Language and Responsibility" has played a pivotal role in shaping the trajectory of contemporary linguistic research. While his ideas have engendered robust debates and criticisms, they have also stimulated the evolution of diverse theoretical frameworks and methodological approaches within the field. Chomsky's enduring influence extends beyond the confines of linguistics, permeating interdisciplinary domains such as cognitive science, psychology, and critical discourse analysis. As scholars continue to engage with Chomsky's legacy, the ongoing reevaluation and reinterpretation of his ideas serve to invigorate the dynamic landscape of linguistic inquiry and foster a deeper understanding of the complexities of human language and cognition.



Methodology

The study utilized a systematic literature review approach to examine the enduring significance of Noam Chomsky's "Language and Responsibility" from various contemporary linguistic perspectives. The systematic review methodology facilitated a comprehensive and rigorous analysis of the existing literature, allowing for the identification and synthesis of relevant scholarly works that contributed to the understanding of Chomsky's impact on modern linguistics.

A systematic and comprehensive literature search was conducted across various scholarly databases, including PubMed, JSTOR, Google Scholar, and academic library repositories. The search terms included variations of "Chomsky's Language and Responsibility," "Chomskyan linguistics," "generative grammar," and "Universal Grammar," among others, to ensure the inclusivity of relevant literature.

Specific inclusion and exclusion criteria were established to ensure the selection of pertinent scholarly articles. The inclusion criteria encompassed peer-reviewed articles, books, and scholarly contributions published between 1979 and the present, focusing on the implications and interpretations of Chomsky's work within the field of linguistics. Non-English publications and articles outside the scope of linguistic analysis were excluded.

A systematic approach was employed to extract relevant data from the selected literature, including key theoretical concepts, critical perspectives, and scholarly debates pertaining to Chomsky's "Language and Responsibility." The synthesized data were organized thematically to facilitate the identification of recurring themes, critical discussions, and theoretical advancements within the field.

Rigorous quality assessment measures were applied to evaluate the reliability and credibility of the included literature. The assessment criteria encompassed the clarity of theoretical engagement, methodological rigor, and logical coherence. To mitigate potential biases, multiple researchers independently assessed the selected studies, ensuring the objectivity and accuracy of the synthesis.

The synthesized data were subjected to thematic analysis, enabling the identification of overarching trends, contradictions, and areas of consensus within the literature. The analysis involved the identification of key arguments, theoretical advancements, and unresolved debates concerning Chomsky's linguistic theories and their contemporary implications.

Findings and Discussion

Theme 1: Universality and Innateness of Language Structures

Chomsky's proposal of the Universal Grammar (UG) theory, advocating for the universality and innateness of language structures, has been a central point of discussion within the contemporary linguistic discourse. Scholars have engaged in a nuanced debate regarding the extent to which linguistic universals reflect inherent cognitive mechanisms or are shaped by environmental and social influences. While proponents of Chomsky's theory emphasize the presence of a universal grammar as an essential component of human cognition, critics have highlighted the significance of sociocultural contexts in shaping language acquisition and usage (Kilag, et al., 2023).

The tension between innate linguistic predispositions and external influences has underscored the intricate interplay between nature and nurture in the development of linguistic competence. Researchers have grappled with the complexities of disentangling the genetic predispositions that facilitate language acquisition from the sociocultural factors that influence linguistic variation and diversity. Studies have highlighted the significance of cross-linguistic research in elucidating the underlying linguistic universals while acknowledging the impact of cultural and environmental factors on language development (Pae & Pae, 2020).

Furthermore, the ongoing debates surrounding the innateness hypothesis have led to the integration of empirical methodologies, including cross-linguistic studies and language acquisition experiments, to explore the universal aspects of language acquisition across diverse cultural and linguistic contexts. Researchers have sought to unravel the extent to which linguistic structures are predetermined by innate cognitive mechanisms, contributing to a comprehensive understanding of the dynamic interplay between biological endowment and environmental input in shaping language acquisition and linguistic competence (Kilag, et al., 2023).

The multifaceted nature of the discourse on the universality and innateness of language structures within Chomsky's Universal Grammar framework highlights the complexities of human language acquisition and the dynamic interactions between biological predispositions and sociocultural influences. While Chomsky's proposition of an innate linguistic faculty has stimulated extensive research inquiries, the integration of interdisciplinary perspectives and empirical investigations has fostered a more nuanced understanding of the intricate relationship between universal cognitive principles and the sociocultural dynamics that shape linguistic diversity.



Theme 2: Critique and Reformulation of Chomskyan Linguistics

The literature review underscored a notable trend of critical engagement and reformulation of Chomskyan linguistics, driven by the challenges posed by alternative theoretical frameworks. Scholars have actively scrutinized the limitations of Chomsky's generative grammar, prompting a reevaluation of traditional linguistic paradigms in favor of more usage-based and empirically grounded approaches to language analysis. The emergence of cognitive linguistics, functional linguistics, and corpus-based studies has catalyzed a shift in the discourse, fostering a more nuanced understanding of language as a dynamic, usage-driven phenomenon (Reinders, et al., 2022).

In response to the limitations of Chomsky's theoretical framework, scholars have advocated for a more comprehensive examination of language structures and usage patterns within real-world contexts. The adoption of cognitive linguistics, emphasizing the role of embodied cognition and metaphorical grounding of linguistic structures, has encouraged a departure from Chomsky's formalist approach, emphasizing the dynamic and experiential aspects of language (Huisman, 2021). Similarly, the integration of corpus-based studies has provided empirical evidence that challenges certain aspects of Chomsky's generative grammar, leading to a more nuanced understanding of language variation and usage patterns in diverse linguistic contexts (Kilg, et al., 2023).

Furthermore, the resurgence of functional linguistics has prompted a reevaluation of Chomsky's emphasis on formal grammatical structures, directing attention to the functional aspects of language in communicative contexts. Functional linguists have emphasized the significance of language as a tool for communication and social interaction, advocating for a holistic analysis of linguistic phenomena that considers the pragmatic and sociocultural dimensions of language use (Huisman, 2021).

The critical engagement and reformulation of Chomskyan linguistics reflect the dynamic nature of linguistic inquiry and the continuous evolution of theoretical frameworks in response to empirical challenges and interdisciplinary influences. The integration of alternative theoretical perspectives and empirical methodologies has enriched the understanding of language as a multifaceted and usage-driven system, underscoring the significance of context-specific analyses and the dynamic interplay between linguistic structures and communicative functions.

Theme 3: Interdisciplinary Relevance and Application of Chomsky's Work

The literature review underscored the interdisciplinary relevance and wide-ranging application of Chomsky's linguistic theories, extending beyond the confines of traditional linguistics. Scholars have highlighted how Chomsky's insights have permeated diverse academic disciplines, including cognitive science, psychology, and critical discourse analysis, reflecting the far-reaching impact of his work (Chomsky, 1980). Chomsky's emphasis on the innate structures of language and the cognitive processes underlying linguistic competence has contributed to the development of interdisciplinary inquiries into the nature of human cognition and the neural underpinnings of language processing (Nefdt, 2020).

Furthermore, Chomsky's socio-political activism and critical analyses of media and political discourse have inspired investigations into the intricate interconnections between language, power, and ideology. His discourse on the manipulation of language in shaping public opinion and perpetuating social inequalities has catalyzed critical discourse analysis, fostering a more nuanced understanding of the ways in which language serves as a tool for political and social control (Nefdt, 2020). Scholars have drawn upon Chomsky's insights to explore the discursive strategies employed in the construction of ideological narratives and the dissemination of hegemonic ideologies through linguistic practices.

Chomsky's interdisciplinary relevance has fostered meaningful dialogues between linguistics and other disciplines, contributing to a deeper appreciation of the socio-cultural implications of linguistic practices. His theoretical contributions have transcended disciplinary boundaries, stimulating collaborative inquiries that examine the intersections between language, cognition, power, and society. The integration of Chomskyan insights into cognitive science and critical discourse analysis has facilitated a comprehensive exploration of the multifaceted nature of language as a medium for both cognitive representation and social communication (Chomsky, 1979). This interdisciplinary engagement has enriched the scholarly discourse, fostering a holistic understanding of the complex relationships between language, thought, and sociopolitical dynamics.

Theme 4: The Evolution of Chomsky's Linguistic Framework

The systematic literature review provided insights into the evolutionary trajectory of Chomsky's linguistic framework, delineating the transition from his seminal works on generative grammar to the development of the Minimalist Program. Scholars have emphasized the significance of Chomsky's shift towards the minimalist approach, which prioritizes linguistic economy and efficiency, aiming to streamline the intricacies inherent in his earlier generative grammar framework (Chomsky, 1995). This minimalist perspective has prompted a



reassessment of the fundamental principles of linguistic analysis, fostering debates on the intricacies of language complexity and the universal aspects of syntactic structures.

Chomsky's Minimalist Program has engendered a multifaceted discourse within the field of linguistics, prompting scholars to critically evaluate the implications of linguistic economy and simplicity for the understanding of grammatical operations. The minimalist approach has catalyzed discussions on the universality of linguistic structures and the underlying mechanisms governing language production and comprehension. Researchers have grappled with the implications of Chomsky's minimalist hypothesis for the cross-linguistic analysis of syntactic patterns and the exploration of language universals (Chomsky, 1995).

Furthermore, Chomsky's evolutionary trajectory reflects his continuous efforts to refine and adapt his theoretical propositions in response to the dynamic shifts within the landscape of linguistic research. The minimalist perspective has stimulated inquiries into the cognitive underpinnings of language production, shedding light on the cognitive constraints that govern the formation of syntactic structures across diverse languages. Scholars have delved into the implications of Chomsky's minimalist hypothesis for understanding the cognitive architecture of language, highlighting the significance of cognitive efficiency and processing constraints in shaping linguistic representations (Chomsky, 1995).

The ongoing evolution of Chomsky's linguistic framework underscores the dynamic nature of theoretical advancements within the field of linguistics, highlighting the continuous refinement and adaptation of his ideas in response to the complexities of linguistic phenomena. The Minimalist Program represents a pivotal phase in the progression of Chomsky's theoretical framework, stimulating critical debates on the nature of language complexity, the cognitive underpinnings of syntactic operations, and the universal aspects of grammatical structures. Chomsky's persistent engagement with the minimalist approach epitomizes his commitment to fostering a deeper understanding of the cognitive foundations of human language and the underlying principles that govern linguistic competence.

These four themes underscore the enduring significance of Chomsky's "Language and Responsibility" in shaping contemporary linguistic thought and practice. The nuanced insights garnered from the systematic literature review provide a comprehensive understanding of the diverse scholarly engagements with Chomsky's work and its profound implications for the broader discourse on language, cognition, and human communication.

Conclusion

Noam Chomsky's seminal work, "Language and Responsibility," has left an enduring legacy in the field of linguistics, shaping contemporary discourse and inspiring interdisciplinary investigations into the intricate dynamics of human language and cognition. Through a systematic literature review, this study has illuminated the multifaceted impact of Chomsky's contributions, unveiling key themes that underscore the significance of his work within the broader landscape of linguistic research.

The examination of Chomsky's Universal Grammar theory has highlighted the ongoing debates surrounding the universality and innateness of language structures, emphasizing the complex interplay between innate linguistic predispositions and sociocultural influences in language acquisition. Furthermore, the critical engagement and reformulation of Chomskyan linguistics have revealed the dynamic evolution of theoretical frameworks, reflecting the integration of usage-based and empirically grounded approaches that transcend the limitations of traditional generative grammar.

Moreover, the interdisciplinary relevance of Chomsky's work has fostered meaningful dialogues between linguistics, cognitive science, psychology, and critical discourse analysis, emphasizing the profound implications of language in shaping social dynamics, power structures, and ideological discourses. Chomsky's socio-political activism and analyses of media and political discourse have inspired inquiries into the intricate intersections between language, power, and societal control.

The evolution of Chomsky's linguistic framework, particularly the transition to the Minimalist Program, has stimulated critical discussions on language complexity, syntactic structures, and the cognitive efficiency of linguistic representations. This evolutionary trajectory underscores Chomsky's commitment to refining and adapting his theoretical propositions in response to the dynamic shifts within the linguistic landscape, epitomizing his enduring influence on contemporary linguistic inquiry.

Chomsky's "Language and Responsibility" continues to serve as a catalyst for intellectual engagement and scholarly discourse, stimulating ongoing inquiries into the nature of language, cognition, and human communication. While eliciting vibrant debates and critiques, Chomsky's work remains a cornerstone of modern linguistics, fostering a comprehensive understanding of the intricate mechanisms that underlie the complexities of human language and thought. As contemporary scholars continue to build upon Chomsky's foundational ideas, his



enduring legacy persists, invigorating the pursuit of knowledge in the dynamic realm of linguistic inquiry and beyond.

References

- Ajibade, S. S. M., Dayupay, J., Ngo-Hoang, D. L., Oyeboode, O. J., & Sasan, J. M. (2022). Utilization of Ensemble Techniques for Prediction of the Academic Performance of Students. *Journal of Optoelectronics Laser*, 41(6), 48-54.
- Chomsky, N. (1979). *Language and responsibility: Based on conversations with Mitsou Ronat*.
- Chomsky, N., Hornstein, N., & Lightfoot, D. (1981). *Principles and Parameters in Syntactic Theory in Explanation in Linguistics. The Logical Problem of Language Acquisition*.
- Chomsky, N. (1957). *Syntactic structures*. The Hague: Mouton.. 1965. *Aspects of the theory of syntax*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.(1981) *Lectures on Government and Binding*, Dordrecht: Foris.(1982) *Some Concepts and Consequences of the Theory of Government and Binding*. LI Monographs, 6(12), 1-52.
- Chomsky, N. (1993). *A minimalist program for linguistic theory*.
- Chomsky, N. (1980). *Rules and representations*. *Behavioral and brain sciences*, 3(1), 1-15.
- Huisman, R. (2021). *Linguistic Perspectives on Legal" Construction": The Advantages of a Social Semiotic Approach*. *NALSAR Stud. L. Rev.*, 15, 51.
- Kidd, E., & Donnelly, S. (2020). *Individual differences in first language acquisition*. *Annual Review of Linguistics*, 6, 319-340.
- Kilag, O. K., Dumdum, J. N., Quezon, J., Malto, L., Mansueto, D., & Delfino, F. (2023). *The Pedagogical Potential of Poems: Integrating Poetry in English Language Teaching*. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(1), 42-55.
- Kilag, O. K. T., Jimenez, J. R., Diano Jr, F. M., Balicoco, J. R. M., Echavez Jr, A. M., & Labasano, A. S. C. (2023). *Developing K-2 Filipino children English language oral proficiency through concrete poetry teaching*. *Science and Education*, 4(2), 886-895.
- Kilag, O. K. T., Zarco, J. P., Zamora, M. B., Caballero, J. D., Yntig, C. A. L., Suba-an, J. D., & Sasan, J. M. V. (2023). *How Does Philippines's Education System Compared to Finland's?*. *EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN NONFORMAL EDUCATION*, 3(6), 11-20.
- Kilag, O. K. T., Ignacio, R., Lumando, E. B., Alvez, G. U., Abendan, C. F. K., Quiñanola, N. M. P., & Sasan, J. M. (2022). *ICT Integration in Primary Classrooms in the Light of Jean Piaget's Cognitive Development Theory*.
- Kilag, O. K., Marquita, J., & Laurente, J. (2023). *Teacher-Led Curriculum Development: Fostering Innovation in Education*. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(4), 223-237.
- Kilag, O. K. T., Heyrosa-Malbas, M., Arcillo, M. T., & Barcena, M. C. (2023). *The Role of YouTube Children's Educational Videos in Enhancing Early Childhood English Language Proficiency: An Investigation of Parental Perceptions*. *Web of Scholars: Multidimensional Research Journal*, 2(6), 67-78.
- Kilag, O. K. T., Ignacio, R., Lumando, E. B., Alvez, G. U., Abendan, C. F. K., Quiñanola, N. A. M. P., & Sasan, J. M. (2022). *ICT Integration in Primary School Classrooms in the time of Pandemic in the Light of Jean Piaget's Cognitive Development Theory*. *International Journal of Emerging Issues in Early Childhood Education*, 4(2), 42-54.
- Kilag, O. K. T., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). *Unpacking the Role of Instructional Leadership in Teacher Professional Development*. *Advanced Qualitative Research*, 1(1), 63-73.
- Kilag, O. K. T., Largo, J. M., Rabillas, A. R., Kilag, F. E., Angtud, M. K. A., Book, J. F. P., & Sasan, J. M. (2023). *Administrators' Conflict Management and Strategies*. *European Journal of Higher Education and Academic Advancement*, 1(2), 22-31.
- Li, R. (2020). *The Disassociation of Methodology and Ontology in Biolinguistics: An Application of Kant's Philosophy to Generative Linguistics (Doctoral dissertation)*.



Miller, C. M., Patel, S. W., & Ndebele, D. H. (2023). The Acquisition of Language by Children: How Do Children Learn Language So Quickly and Effortlessly?. *Literature and Linguistics Journal*, 2(1), 60-68.

Nefdt, R. M. (2020). The Role of Language in the Cognitive Sciences. *The Philosophy and Science of Language: Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, 215-238.

Okan, Z. (2020). Language and social justice. *Handbook on promoting social justice in education*, 267-282.

Pae, H. K., & Pae, H. K. (2020). From linguistic relativity to script relativity. *Script effects as the hidden drive of the mind, cognition, and culture*, 37-58.

Pleyer, M., Lepic, R., & Hartmann, S. (2022). Compositionality in different modalities: A view from usage-based linguistics. *International Journal of Primatology*, 1-33.

Reinders, H., Lai, C., & Sundqvist, P. (Eds.). (2022). *The Routledge handbook of language learning and teaching beyond the classroom*. Routledge.

Rabi, J. I. I., Kilag, O. K., Hinayon, K., Peras, C., Dumdum, J. N., & Ledesma, E. N. (2023). TED Talks: A Catalyst for Improving Oral Communication Skills in Educational Settings. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(4), 210-222.

Rabillas, A., Kilag, O. K., Kilag, J., Tercero, G., Malagar, S., & Calope, M. L. (2023). Empowering K-3 Teachers: ELLN Digital's Role in Professional Development. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(4), 184-196.

Sasan, J. M., & Rabillas, A. R. (2022). Enhancing English proficiency for Filipinos through a multimedia approach based on constructivist learning theory: a review. *Science and Education*, 3(8), 45-58.

Uy, F. T., Sasan, J. M., & Kilag, O. K. (2023). School Principal Administrative-Supervisory Leadership During the Pandemic: A Phenomenological Qualitative Study. *International Journal of Theory and Application in Elementary and Secondary School Education*, 5(1), 44-62.

Vestal, P., Kilag, O. K., Alvez, G. G., Escabas, D., Ignacio, R., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Bridging the Literacy Gap: A Multisensory Approach to Effective Intervention. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(4), 156-168.

Yang, C., Crain, S., Berwick, R. C., Chomsky, N., & Bolhuis, J. J. (2017). The growth of language: Universal Grammar, experience, and principles of computation. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 81, 103-119.

Zaccarella, E., & Trettenbrein, P. C. (2021). Neuroscience and Syntax. *A Companion to Chomsky*, 325-347.

\