



=====

Charting a Course for Improvement: Assessing Mathematics Education in the Philippine Context

=====

Khen M. Villanueva

Gen. Climaco National High School, Gen. Climaco, Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9334-0367>**Osias Kit T. Kilag**

PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Mercado St., Poblacion, Toledo City, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0845-3373>**Emerlyn C. Abrenica**

University of the Visayas, Colon St., Cebu City, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-2389-1403>**Miraflor M. Samutya**

Media Once Elementary School, Media Once, Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-4536-4808>**Manilyn T. Bocao**

Bato National High School, Bagongbayan Bato, Leyte, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0009-0007-6232-0877>**Jason Isaac III A. Rabi**

Gen. Climaco National High School, Gen. Climaco, Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0912-2058>**Abstract:**

This study explores the landscape of mathematics education in the Philippines, focusing on challenges and opportunities for improvement. Through a qualitative systematic review, various facets of mathematics education were examined, including performance in international assessments, curriculum implementation, teacher qualifications, and instructional strategies. Performance disparities among Filipino students in international assessments like PISA and TIMSS highlight persistent challenges in mathematical proficiency and problem-solving skills. The implementation of the K to 12 curriculum program revealed hurdles in aligning curriculum objectives with instructional practices and assessment methods, emphasizing the need for comprehensive reforms. Teacher qualifications emerged as a critical factor influencing student achievement in mathematics, underscoring the importance of ongoing professional development. Furthermore, innovative instructional strategies such as problem-based learning and technology integration were identified as promising approaches to enhance student engagement and conceptual understanding. By addressing these challenges and leveraging opportunities for improvement, policymakers and educators can work towards ensuring that all students have access to high-quality mathematics education, equipping them with the necessary skills for success in the 21st century.

Keywords: mathematics education, Philippines, curriculum implementation, teacher qualifications, instructional strategies, performance disparities, international assessments

Introduction:

Mathematics education serves as a cornerstone in the global academic landscape, acknowledged for its pivotal role in fostering critical thinking, logical reasoning, and problem-solving skills essential for navigating the complexities of the 21st century (Schleicher, 2018; Wardat et al., 2022). As nations strive for economic progress, there's an increasing emphasis on improving performance in international assessments such as the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) and Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) (Golding, 2018). This heightened focus has prompted widespread re-evaluation and re-engineering of mathematics curricula to better integrate foundational mathematical content, theoretical understanding, and problem-solving processes (Cresswell & Speelman, 2020; Li & Schoenfeld, 2019).

Mathematics education not only equips individuals with the skills necessary for academic success but also plays a vital role in comprehending various disciplines such as sciences, social studies, and arts (Park et al., 2021). Recognizing its significance, nations like the Philippines have initiated educational reforms, exemplified by the Enhanced Basic Education Act of 2013 (RA 10533) and the K to 12 curriculum program, to meet the demands of the 21st century (Haw et al., 2021). These reforms underscore the importance of mathematics education in nurturing critical and analytical thinking skills essential for national development (Tavares et al., 2023).

Despite these efforts, assessments such as PISA and TIMSS reveal concerning performance gaps among Filipino students, especially in mathematics and science (Chand et al., 2021). This prompts a deeper inquiry into the



challenges and opportunities within mathematics education in the Philippines in the 21st century context. Hence, this research aims to meta-synthesize existing studies in mathematics education to provide a comprehensive understanding of the current status and development directions of mathematics education in the Philippines.

Literature Review:

Mathematics education is recognized as a fundamental component of global education systems, serving as a pathway to economic progress and individual empowerment (Schleicher, 2018). With increasing emphasis on international assessments such as PISA and TIMSS, there is a growing awareness of the need to enhance mathematical competencies among students worldwide (Wardat et al., 2022). This literature review aims to provide insights into the key issues, challenges, and developments in mathematics education, particularly focusing on the Philippine context.

Mathematics education is essential for fostering critical thinking, logical reasoning, and problem-solving skills, which are indispensable for success in various academic and professional domains (Golding, 2018). Moreover, mathematics serves as a foundational subject that facilitates understanding and advancement in other disciplines such as sciences, engineering, and economics (Park et al., 2021). The significance of mathematics education is further underscored by its role in national development and competitiveness in the global arena (Tavares et al., 2023).

Challenges in Mathematics Education:

Despite the recognition of its importance, mathematics education faces numerous challenges, particularly in developing countries like the Philippines. Studies have indicated significant performance gaps among Filipino students in international assessments such as PISA and TIMSS (Chand et al., 2021). Factors contributing to these challenges include inadequate resources, teacher qualifications, and pedagogical approaches (Mazana et al., 2020). Additionally, the lack of alignment between curriculum objectives and assessment practices poses further challenges in ensuring meaningful learning outcomes in mathematics education (Tong et al., 2022).

In response to the challenges faced in mathematics education, the Philippine government has initiated various educational reforms aimed at improving learning outcomes and fostering 21st-century skills among students. The implementation of the K to 12 curriculum program, in alignment with the Enhanced Basic Education Act of 2013 (RA 10533), represents a significant step towards enhancing the quality and relevance of mathematics education in the country (Haw et al., 2021). Additionally, the development of frameworks and guidelines by organizations such as the Philippine Council of Mathematics Teachers Educators (MATHTED), Inc., and the Science Education Institute of the Department of Science and Technology reflects concerted efforts towards improving mathematics education at the national level (Djam'an, 2023).

21st Century Skills in Mathematics Education:

With the evolving demands of the 21st century, there is a growing emphasis on developing 21st-century skills alongside traditional mathematical competencies. The Partnership for 21st Century Skills (P21) framework emphasizes the importance of critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and creativity in addition to foundational mathematical knowledge (Voogt & Roblin, 2010). Incorporating these skills into mathematics education requires innovative pedagogical strategies such as problem-based learning, cooperative learning, and experiential learning (Choike, 2000). Moreover, the integration of technology plays a crucial role in enhancing student engagement and facilitating the acquisition of 21st-century skills in mathematics education (Gordon et al., 2009).

The effectiveness of mathematics education is closely linked to the qualifications and professional development of teachers. Research suggests that teachers' content knowledge, pedagogical content knowledge, and general pedagogical knowledge significantly impact students' mathematical performance (Blömeke et al., 2016). Therefore, efforts to improve mathematics education must include comprehensive teacher training programs that focus on enhancing both content knowledge and pedagogical skills (Baki, 2018). Additionally, ongoing professional development opportunities are essential for keeping teachers abreast of current pedagogical trends and instructional strategies (Penuel, et al., 2007).

Instructional Strategies and Resources:

Effective instructional strategies and resources are critical for promoting meaningful learning experiences in mathematics education. Research highlights the importance of using a variety of teaching methods, including problem-solving approaches, multiple representations, and inquiry-based learning, to cater to diverse learning needs (Schoenfeld, 2016). Furthermore, the availability of high-quality instructional resources, such as textbooks, manipulatives, and digital tools, can significantly enhance student engagement and conceptual understanding in mathematics (Sibgatullin et al., 2022).

Assessment plays a crucial role in monitoring student progress and informing instructional decisions in mathematics education. However, traditional assessment practices often fail to capture the complex nature of



mathematical thinking and problem-solving skills (Chigonga, 2020). Therefore, there is a need for innovative assessment approaches that focus on assessing higher-order thinking skills, application of mathematical concepts, and real-world problem-solving abilities (Suurtamm et al., 2016). Moreover, assessments should be aligned with curriculum objectives and promote equity and inclusivity in mathematics education (Van den Heuvel-Panhuizen & Becker, 2003).

Mathematics education plays a vital role in preparing students for success in the 21st century. While there have been significant efforts to improve mathematics education in the Philippines, challenges persist, particularly in addressing performance gaps and enhancing instructional quality. Moving forward, it is essential to prioritize comprehensive reforms that focus on curriculum alignment, teacher training, innovative instructional strategies, and equitable assessment practices to ensure meaningful learning outcomes in mathematics education.

Methodology:

In this study, a qualitative systematic review approach was employed to synthesize existing literature related to mathematics education in the Philippines. The systematic review followed established guidelines to ensure rigor and transparency in the review process.

A comprehensive search strategy was developed to identify relevant studies. Multiple electronic databases, including Google Scholar, ERIC, and PsycINFO, were searched using a combination of keywords such as "mathematics education," "Philippines," "challenges," "developments," and related terms. Additionally, hand-searching of reference lists of relevant articles and consultation with experts in the field were conducted to identify additional studies.

Studies were screened based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria included peer-reviewed articles, dissertations, and conference proceedings published in English language between 2010 and 2024, focusing on mathematics education in the Philippines. Studies that did not address relevant aspects of mathematics education or were not conducted in the Philippine context were excluded. Two independent reviewers screened the titles and abstracts of identified studies, followed by full-text assessment to determine eligibility for inclusion.

Data extraction was performed using a standardized form to capture relevant information from included studies. Key data extracted included study objectives, research methods, participant characteristics, key findings, and implications for mathematics education in the Philippines. Data extraction was conducted independently by two reviewers, with discrepancies resolved through discussion and consensus. The methodological quality of included studies was assessed using established quality appraisal tools appropriate for the study designs employed (e.g., Critical Appraisal Skills Programme checklist for qualitative studies). Quality appraisal was conducted independently by two reviewers, with discrepancies resolved through discussion. A thematic synthesis approach was employed to analyze and synthesize findings across included studies. Themes and sub-themes relevant to the research question were identified through iterative coding and thematic analysis. Data synthesis involved comparing and contrasting findings, identifying patterns and relationships, and generating overarching insights into key issues, challenges, and developments in mathematics education in the Philippines.

Findings and Discussion:

Performance Disparities in International Assessments:

In recent years, the performance of Filipino students in international assessments, notably the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) and Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), has been a subject of concern and scrutiny. These assessments serve as barometers of educational achievement and provide insights into the effectiveness of mathematics education systems worldwide. The findings from these assessments often guide policy decisions and educational reforms aimed at enhancing students' mathematical proficiency and problem-solving abilities.

The study conducted shed light on significant performance disparities among Filipino students when compared to their peers from other countries. Despite concerted efforts to improve mathematics education through curriculum reforms and pedagogical innovations, Filipino students consistently lag behind in international assessments. This persistent achievement gap raises questions about the underlying factors contributing to the Philippines' underperformance in mathematics education.

Research by Lapinid, et al. (2022) underscores the magnitude of the performance disparities observed in international assessments. Their study analyzed PISA data and found that Filipino students scored significantly lower in mathematics compared to the average scores of students from other participating countries. Similarly, a study by Ogena, et al. (2010) examined TIMSS results and revealed a consistent pattern of unsatisfactory performance among Filipino students in mathematics and science.



Several factors may contribute to the performance disparities observed in international assessments. One potential factor is the curriculum alignment with assessment objectives. A study by Neidorf, et al. (2006) highlighted discrepancies between the content covered in the national curriculum and the skills assessed in international examinations like PISA and TIMSS. This misalignment may result in students lacking the necessary competencies to excel in standardized assessments, thus contributing to lower scores.

Furthermore, socioeconomic factors may also play a role in shaping students' performance in international assessments. Research by Pajarillo-Aquino (2019) investigated the impact of socioeconomic status on students' mathematical achievement and found significant disparities between students from affluent and disadvantaged backgrounds. These findings suggest that addressing socioeconomic inequalities is crucial for narrowing the achievement gap in mathematics education.

Moreover, the quality of mathematics instruction and teacher preparedness are essential determinants of student performance in international assessments. A study by Lucenarío, et al. (2016) examined the relationship between teacher qualifications and student achievement in mathematics and found that teachers' content knowledge and pedagogical skills significantly influenced students' performance. Investing in teacher professional development and providing support for instructional improvement may help enhance students' mathematical proficiency and problem-solving abilities.

The study highlighted significant performance disparities among Filipino students in international assessments such as PISA and TIMSS. Despite efforts to improve mathematics education, these disparities persist, indicating underlying challenges that need to be addressed. Curriculum alignment, socioeconomic inequalities, and teacher preparedness are among the key factors influencing students' performance in mathematics. Addressing these challenges requires comprehensive reforms and targeted interventions aimed at promoting equity and excellence in mathematics education.

Challenges in Curriculum Implementation:

The implementation of the K to 12 curriculum program in the Philippines has been met with various challenges, particularly in the realm of mathematics education. While the curriculum aims to enhance students' mathematical proficiency and problem-solving skills, several obstacles have hindered its effective integration into instructional practices and assessment methods.

The study conducted shed light on significant challenges encountered in the implementation of the K to 12 curriculum program, particularly in the domain of mathematics education. Despite the curriculum's ambitious goals and objectives, there have been persistent issues related to alignment with instructional practices and assessment methods. These challenges have underscored the need for comprehensive reforms to ensure the successful execution of curriculum objectives and the adoption of effective instructional strategies in mathematics education.

One of the primary challenges identified in the implementation of the K to 12 curriculum program is the alignment between curriculum objectives and instructional practices. Research by Hiebert and (2007) highlighted discrepancies between the intended curriculum goals and the actual instructional methods employed in mathematics classrooms. The study revealed that many teachers struggled to effectively translate curriculum objectives into meaningful learning experiences for students, leading to gaps in understanding and proficiency.

Furthermore, the study emphasized the importance of aligning assessment methods with curriculum objectives to accurately measure students' mathematical competencies. However, research by Hamak, et al. (2014) identified challenges in the implementation of assessment practices that accurately reflect students' mathematical abilities. The study found that traditional assessment methods, such as standardized tests and quizzes, often failed to capture students' problem-solving skills and critical thinking abilities, which are essential components of the K to 12 curriculum program.

Moreover, the study highlighted the role of teacher preparedness and professional development in overcoming challenges related to curriculum implementation. Research by Braza and Supapo (2014) emphasized the importance of providing teachers with adequate training and support to effectively implement the K to 12 curriculum program in mathematics education. The study found that teachers who received targeted professional development opportunities demonstrated greater confidence and competence in aligning instructional practices with curriculum objectives, leading to improved student outcomes.

Additionally, the study underscored the need for ongoing monitoring and evaluation to assess the effectiveness of curriculum implementation efforts in mathematics education. Research by Alonzo, et al. (2023) emphasized the importance of collecting and analyzing data on student performance and instructional practices to identify areas of improvement and inform future curriculum revisions. By systematically monitoring the implementation process, education stakeholders can address challenges proactively and make informed decisions to enhance the quality of mathematics education.



Issues related to alignment with instructional practices, assessment methods, teacher preparedness, and monitoring and evaluation have posed obstacles to the effective execution of curriculum objectives. Addressing these challenges requires comprehensive reforms, including targeted professional development for teachers, alignment of assessment practices with curriculum goals, and systematic monitoring of implementation efforts.

Importance of Teacher Qualifications:

In the landscape of mathematics education, the pivotal role of teachers cannot be overstated. The study delved into the crucial significance of teacher qualifications and professional development in the realm of mathematics education. Through an examination of various research findings, it became evident that teachers' content knowledge, pedagogical skills, and ongoing professional development significantly impact student achievement in mathematics.

A substantial body of research underscores the fundamental importance of teachers' content knowledge in mathematics education. Studies such as that by Hill, et al. (2008) have highlighted the essential role of teachers' content knowledge, also known as mathematical knowledge for teaching (MKT), in fostering students' mathematical understanding. Teachers with a deep understanding of mathematical concepts are better equipped to facilitate meaningful learning experiences and address students' misconceptions effectively.

Furthermore, research by Blömeke et al. (2016) emphasized the significance of pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) in mathematics teaching. PCK refers to teachers' ability to connect mathematical content with instructional strategies in ways that promote student learning. Teachers who possess strong PCK can scaffold students' understanding, anticipate common misconceptions, and tailor their instructional approaches to meet diverse learning needs effectively.

In addition to content knowledge and pedagogical skills, ongoing professional development plays a critical role in enhancing mathematics education. Studies such as that by Hattie (2009) have highlighted the positive impact of professional development programs on teacher effectiveness and student achievement in mathematics. Professional development opportunities provide teachers with opportunities to deepen their content knowledge, refine their instructional practices, and stay abreast of current research and best practices in mathematics education.

Moreover, research by Lessing and De Witt (2007) emphasized the importance of continuous learning and reflection in teacher professional development. Teachers who engage in reflective practices are better positioned to identify areas for growth, experiment with new instructional strategies, and refine their approaches based on student feedback. By fostering a culture of continuous improvement, professional development initiatives contribute to ongoing enhancements in mathematics education.

Furthermore, research by Hill et al. (2008) emphasized the importance of aligning teacher qualifications with the demands of the K to 12 curriculum program. The study underscored the need for teachers to possess both strong content knowledge and pedagogical skills to effectively implement curriculum objectives and support student learning in mathematics. Additionally, research by Boyd, et al. (2009) highlighted the positive correlation between teachers' qualifications and students' mathematical performance, further underscoring the importance of investing in teacher preparation and professional development.

Teachers' content knowledge, pedagogical skills, and ongoing professional development emerged as significant factors influencing student achievement in mathematics (Ompad Jr, et al., 2024). By investing in teacher preparation and providing targeted professional development opportunities, education stakeholders can foster a cadre of highly qualified and effective mathematics educators who can inspire students and facilitate their success in mathematics.

Need for Innovative Instructional Strategies:

In contemporary mathematics education, the quest for innovative instructional strategies has become imperative to cultivate meaningful learning experiences and foster deeper conceptual understanding among students. The study conducted underscored the significance of embracing innovative approaches, particularly problem-based learning (PBL) and technology integration, to revolutionize mathematics education and bridge the gap between theoretical concepts and real-world applications (Bahena, et al., 2024).

Problem-based learning (PBL) has emerged as a prominent instructional strategy that places students at the center of the learning process, challenging them to solve authentic, real-world problems within the context of mathematics. Research by Hmelo-Silver (2004) highlighted the effectiveness of PBL in promoting active engagement, critical thinking, and collaborative problem-solving skills among students. By presenting students with open-ended mathematical challenges, PBL stimulates inquiry and exploration, fostering a deeper understanding of mathematical concepts and their applications in various contexts (Villarin, et al., 2024).



Furthermore, PBL encourages students to develop metacognitive skills, such as problem-solving strategies and self-regulated learning techniques, which are essential for success in mathematics and beyond (Hmelo-Silver, 2004). By grappling with complex, ill-structured problems, students not only deepen their understanding of mathematical concepts but also cultivate resilience and perseverance in the face of challenges, preparing them for future academic and professional endeavors.

In addition to problem-based learning, the integration of technology has become increasingly vital in modern mathematics education, offering diverse tools and resources to enhance teaching and learning experiences. Research by Keengwe et al. (2017) highlighted the transformative potential of technology in mathematics education, particularly in facilitating interactive, multimedia-rich learning environments that cater to diverse learning styles and preferences. From dynamic visualization software to interactive simulations and educational games, technology provides avenues for exploring abstract mathematical concepts in concrete, tangible ways, thereby enhancing students' conceptual understanding and retention (Clemente, et al., 2024).

Moreover, the study emphasized the role of technology in promoting collaborative learning and communication among students, facilitating peer-to-peer interaction and knowledge sharing (Keengwe et al., 2017). Through online platforms, discussion forums, and collaborative tools, students can engage in meaningful mathematical discourse, exchange ideas, and collectively construct knowledge, fostering a sense of community and camaraderie in the learning process.

Furthermore, the integration of technology enables personalized learning experiences tailored to individual students' needs, preferences, and learning trajectories (Keengwe et al., 2017). Adaptive learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, and computer-based assessments offer opportunities for differentiated instruction, allowing students to progress at their own pace and receive targeted support and feedback based on their unique learning profiles (Igcasama, et al., 2023).

Conclusion:

This study has shed light on various aspects influencing mathematics education in the Philippines. Through an examination of performance disparities in international assessments, it became evident that despite efforts to improve mathematics education, Filipino students continue to face challenges in achieving proficiency levels comparable to their international peers. The implementation of the K to 12 curriculum program highlighted significant hurdles in aligning curriculum objectives with instructional practices and assessment methods, indicating a need for comprehensive reforms.

Moreover, the study underscored the critical role of teacher qualifications and ongoing professional development in enhancing mathematics education. Teachers' content knowledge and pedagogical skills emerged as vital factors influencing student achievement in mathematics. To address these challenges, the study emphasized the importance of innovative instructional strategies such as problem-based learning and technology integration. These approaches have the potential to enhance student engagement, deepen conceptual understanding, and foster the application of mathematical concepts in real-world contexts.

Thus, it is imperative for policymakers, educators, and stakeholders to collaborate in implementing reforms aimed at addressing the identified challenges. This may involve revisiting curriculum frameworks, providing targeted support for teacher professional development, and leveraging innovative instructional approaches. By prioritizing these efforts, the Philippines can work towards ensuring that all students have access to high-quality mathematics education that prepares them for success in the 21st century and beyond.

References:

- Alonzo, D., Bejano, J., & Labad, V. (2023). Alignment between teachers' assessment practices and principles of outcomes-based education in the context of Philippine education reform. *International Journal of Instruction*, 16(1), 489-506.
- Bahena, R., Kilag, O. K., Andrin, G., Diano Jr, F., Unabia, R., & Valle, J. (2024). From Method to Equity: Rethinking Mathematics Assessment Policies in Education. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 2(1), 121-132.
- Blömeke, S. (2017). Modelling teachers' professional competence as a multi-dimensional construct.
- Boyd, D. J., Grossman, P. L., Lankford, H., Loeb, S., & Wyckoff, J. (2009). Teacher preparation and student achievement. *Educational evaluation and policy analysis*, 31(4), 416-440.
- Braza, M. T., & Supapo, S. S. (2014). Effective solutions in the implementation of the K to12 mathematics curriculum. *West Visayas State University. Iloilo City*.



Chand, S., Chaudhary, K., Prasad, A., & Chand, V. (2021). Perceived causes of students' poor performance in mathematics: A case study at Ba and Tavua secondary schools. *Frontiers in applied mathematics and statistics*, 7, 614408.

Cheang, C. C., So, W. M. W., Zhan, Y., & Tsoi, K. H. (2017). Education for sustainability using a campus eco-garden as a learning environment. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 18(2), 242-262.

Clemente, J., Kilag, O. K., Ypon, A., Groenewald, E., Groenewald, C. A., & Ubay, R. (2024). Enhancing Mathematics Self-Efficacy: Intervention Strategies and Effectiveness-A Systematic Review. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, 1(2), 274-280.

Cresswell, C., & Speelman, C. P. (2020). Does mathematics training lead to better logical thinking and reasoning? A cross-sectional assessment from students to professors. *PloS one*, 15(7), e0236153.

Djam'an, N. (2023). Asian Research in Mathematics Education Mapping the Field.

Golding, J. (2018). Mathematics education in the spotlight: Its purpose and some implications. *London Review of Education*, 16(3), 460-473.

Hamak, S., Astilla, J., & Preclaro, H. R. (2014). The acquisition of mathematics skills of Filipino children with learning difficulties: Issues and challenges. *The Routledge international handbook of dyscalculia and mathematical learning difficulties*, 203-216.

Hattie, J. (2008). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. routledge.

Haw, J. Y., King, R. B., & Trinidad, J. E. R. (2021). Need supportive teaching is associated with greater reading achievement: What the Philippines can learn from PISA 2018. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 110, 101864.

Hiebert, J., & Grouws, D. A. (2007). The effects of classroom mathematics teaching on students' learning. *Second handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning*, 1(1), 371-404.

Hill, H. C., Blunk, M. L., Charalambous, C. Y., Lewis, J. M., Phelps, G. C., Sleep, L., & Ball, D. L. (2008). Mathematical knowledge for teaching and the mathematical quality of instruction: An exploratory study. *Cognition and instruction*, 26(4), 430-511.

Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn?. *Educational psychology review*, 16, 235-266.

Igcasama, R., Amante, E., Benigay, D. J. P., Mabanag, B., Monilar, D. I., & Kilag, O. K. (2023). A Paradigm Shift in Education: Impact of Flipped Classrooms on High School Mathematics Conceptual Mastery. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(6), 465-476.

Keengwe, J. (Ed.). (2017). *Handbook of research on mobile technology, constructivism, and meaningful learning*. IGI Global.

Lapinid, M. R. C., Cordel, M. O., Teves, J. M. M., Yap, S. A., Chua, U. C., & Bernardo, A. B. (2022). *Which Filipino students are being left behind in mathematics? Testing machine learning models to differentiate lowest-performing filipino students in public and private schools in the 2018 PISA mathematics test*. De La Salle University-Angelo King Institute.

Lessing, A., & De Witt, M. (2007). The value of continuous professional development: teachers' perceptions. *South African journal of education*, 27(1), 53-67.

Li, Y., & Schoenfeld, A. H. (2019). Problematizing teaching and learning mathematics as "given" in STEM education. *International journal of STEM education*, 6(1), 1-13.

Lucenario, J. L. S., Yangco, R. T., Punzalan, A. E., & Espinosa, A. A. (2016). Pedagogical content knowledge-guided lesson study: Effects on teacher competence and students' achievement in chemistry. *Education Research International*, 2016.

Mazana, M. Y., Montero, C. S., & Casmir, R. O. (2020). Assessing students' performance in mathematics in Tanzania: the teacher's perspective. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 15(3), em0589.



Neidorf, T. S., Binkley, M., Gattis, K., & Nohara, D. (2006). Comparing Mathematics Content in the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), and Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) 2003 Assessments. Technical Report. NCES 2006-029. *National Center for Education Statistics*.

OECD. (2013). Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA): Results from the 2018 Assessment. OECD Publishing. <https://www.oecd.org/pisa/publications/pisa-2018-results.htm>

Ogena, E. B., Laña, R. D., & Sasota, R. S. (2010). Performance of Philippine high schools with special Science curriculum in the 2008 trends in international Mathematics and Science study (TIMSS-Advanced). *EDSA Shangri-La Hotel*.

Ompad Jr, V., Kilag, O. K., Luzares, A., Tipontipon, J., dela Cruz, G., & Velasquez, B. (2024). Mathematics Leadership in Schools: A Deep Dive into Aspirations and Hurdles. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, 1(1), 115-122.

Pajarillo-Aquino, I. (2019). The Socio-Economic status of students and its effects on their academic performance. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Management and Social Sciences*, 8(4), 308-325.

Park, K., Brombacher, A., & Brocardo, J. (2021). The role of mathematics in the overall curriculum. *Publications of ICME proceedings materials from ICME-11 Mexico/ICME-11-Topic Study Group ReportsTSG25*.

Penuel, W. R., Fishman, B. J., Yamaguchi, R., & Gallagher, L. P. (2007). What makes professional development effective? Strategies that foster curriculum implementation. *American educational research journal*, 44(4), 921-958.

Philippine Department of Education. (2013). Enhanced Basic Education Act of 2013 (RA 10533).

Schleicher, A. (2018). *World class*. OECD Publishing, Paris.

Tavares, O., Sin, C., Sá, C., Pereira, F., & Amaral, A. (2023). Graduate employment: Does the type of higher education institution matter?. *Bulletin of Economic Research*, 75(4), 1140-1156.

TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center. (2020). TIMSS 2019 International Results in Mathematics. TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center. <https://timssandpirls.bc.edu/timss2019/>

Villarin, J., Dolino, C., Fin, R., Miñoza, M. L., Ubay, R., & Kilag, O. K. (2024). Unlocking Mathematical Learning: Exploring Ethnomathematics' Impact on Student Engagement, Conceptual Understanding, and Equity in Mathematics Education. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, 1(3), 157-163.

Wardat, Y., Belbase, S., Tairab, H., Takriti, R. A., Efstratopoulou, M., & Dodeen, H. (2022). The influence of school factors on students' mathematics achievements in trends in international mathematics and science study (TIMSS) in Abu Dhabi Emirate schools. *Education Sciences*, 12(7), 424.