



=====

Innovative Teaching Strategies in Secondary Science Education: A Systematic Review

=====

Osias Kit T. KilagPrincipal, PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Mercado St., Poblacion, Toledo City, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0845-3373>**Russil S. Tariman**Mandaue City School from the Arts, Schools Division of Mandaue City, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0009-0006-1640-4597>**Ralph Arjay C. Dela Cruz**Head, Office of the Student Affairs and Services, Saint Francis of Assisi College, Las Piñas City, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0009-0004-1886-359X>**Gaspar S. Cantere Jr.**SHS Teacher, Department of Education, Division of Misamis Oriental, Claveria, Misamis Oriental, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8426-5326>**Leila I. Niere**El Pardo National High School, El Pardo, Boljoon, Cebu, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0009-0006-9447-9156>**Vanessa D. Priolo**El Pardo National High School, El Pardo, Boljoon, Cebu, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9877-2214>**Abstract:**

This systematic review delves into the landscape of innovative teaching strategies in secondary science education, exploring diverse approaches, learning assessment innovations, administrative support, and stakeholder perceptions. The study synthesizes findings from a range of scholarly sources, highlighting the creativity and adaptability of educators in designing pedagogical approaches that engage students and enhance learning experiences. While innovative teaching strategies abound, the review identifies a relative lack of emphasis on innovations in learning assessment methods, signaling a need for further exploration in this area. Administrative support emerges as a critical factor influencing the successful implementation of innovative teaching strategies, with varying degrees of support observed across schools. Stakeholder perceptions, particularly those of teachers and students, reflect positivity towards innovative approaches, underscoring their potential to enhance student engagement and learning outcomes. Overall, this study underscores the importance of fostering a culture of innovation in science education, advocating for continuous exploration and development of pedagogical approaches that meet the evolving needs of students and educators alike.

Keywords: innovative teaching strategies, secondary science education, learning assessment, administrative support

Introduction:

In today's rapidly changing and increasingly interconnected world, education stands as a cornerstone for societal advancement and resilience. As Serdyukov (2021) notes, education serves as a vital social institution, catering to the evolving needs of society, and is indispensable for its survival and prosperity. To meet the challenges posed by globalization and rapid transformations in various spheres of life, educational systems must continually evolve and adapt (Zhao, 2009).

In particular, the secondary and higher education sectors confront a myriad of challenges stemming from the dynamic nature of the modern world. To address these challenges effectively, innovative teaching strategies are imperative (Chen & Chen, 2017). Zhao (2009) underscore the necessity for educational systems to discover new, creative, and effective teaching and learning methods to foster critical thinking and creativity among students.

Innovation in teaching thrives when educators exhibit openness, reflection, and creativity in their pedagogical practices (Abulnour, 2016). It entails the application of diverse teaching methods that cater to individual differences among students and stimulate their learning motivation and interests (Chang & Wang, 2015).



Innovative teaching approaches not only enhance teaching effectiveness but also aim to develop students' capabilities for independent analysis, critical thinking, and problem-solving (Chen & Chen, 2017).

Moreover, advancements in technology have revolutionized educational practices, offering new opportunities for innovative teaching methods (Collins & Halverson, 2018). Integrating technology into teaching can enhance engagement, facilitate personalized learning, and provide access to a wealth of resources (Clemente, 2009).

In the field of science education, Osborne and Hennessy (2013) advocate for a shift towards interactive digital technologies to support the development of scientific reasoning and critical analysis skills among students. Similarly, Crosby and Stelovsky (1995) emphasize the benefits of multimedia in presenting complex scientific concepts in a comprehensive and interactive manner.

Despite the potential benefits of innovative teaching strategies, their implementation faces challenges, particularly in diverse classroom settings (Naz & Murad, 2017). Nevertheless, research suggests that educational innovations can lead to improved learning outcomes and the customization of the educational process (Schneider & Stern, 2010; Schleicher, 2012).

In light of these considerations, this study seeks to explore the innovative teaching strategies employed by senior high school science teachers in Castilla Cluster II, Philippines. By examining the strategies used, the support provided by schools, and the perceptions of both teachers and students, this research aims to shed light on the effectiveness and challenges of innovative teaching practices in enhancing science education.

Literature Review:

Education serves as a fundamental pillar of societal development, facilitating individual growth and societal progress. Serdyukov (2021) emphasizes the pivotal role of education as a social institution, essential for societal survival and thriving. However, in the face of globalization and rapid societal changes, educational systems must continually evolve to meet the dynamic needs of learners and society at large (Zhao, 2009).

Innovation in teaching methods has emerged as a key strategy to address the challenges posed by the fast-changing educational landscape. Zhao (2009) underscore the importance of discovering new and effective teaching strategies to foster critical thinking and creativity among students. Innovative teaching involves educators employing creative and diverse methods to engage students in meaningful learning experiences (Chen & Chen, 2017).

A central tenet of innovative teaching is the recognition of the individual differences among students and the need to tailor teaching approaches accordingly. Abulnour (2016) highlights the importance of educators viewing each student as possessing unique characteristics that can be nurtured through innovative teaching methods. Chen and Chen (2017) further emphasizes the role of teaching innovation in stimulating students' learning motivation and interests, thereby enhancing their overall learning effectiveness.

In the context of science education, Osborne and Hennessy (2013) advocate for a shift towards interactive digital technologies to support the development of scientific reasoning and critical analysis skills among students. They argue that digital tools offer opportunities for students to engage in authentic scientific inquiry and collaboration, thereby deepening their understanding of scientific concepts.

Multimedia technologies have also emerged as valuable tools in science education, allowing educators to present complex scientific concepts in an interactive and engaging manner (Crosby & Stelovsky, 1995). Multimedia resources enable the integration of text, graphics, animation, and other media to create comprehensive learning experiences for students.

Despite the potential benefits of innovative teaching methods, their implementation faces various challenges. Naz and Murad (2017) highlights the importance of addressing diversity in classrooms when designing and implementing innovative teaching strategies. Educators must consider the diverse backgrounds, learning styles, and abilities of students to ensure that teaching approaches are inclusive and effective.

Integrating technology into teaching practices presents both opportunities and challenges. Collins & Halverson (2018) emphasize the importance of educators acquiring the necessary knowledge and skills to effectively integrate technology into their teaching. Additionally, educators must be creative in leveraging technology to innovate teaching materials and activities that enhance student engagement and learning outcomes.

Moreover, educational innovations have the potential to improve learning outcomes and enhance equity in education provision. Schneider & Stern (2010) argue that innovations in teaching, learning, and organizational practices can help customize the educational process to meet the diverse needs of learners. Furthermore,



innovations aimed at fostering "skills for innovation" are essential for preparing students to thrive in the modern world (Schleicher, 2012).

The literature highlights the importance of innovative teaching methods in addressing the challenges of modern education. By embracing creativity, diversity, and technology, educators can create engaging and effective learning experiences that empower students to succeed in an ever-changing world.

Methodology:

The methodology employed for this study involved a systematic review of existing literature related to innovative teaching strategies in secondary science education. The systematic review process followed established guidelines to ensure a comprehensive and unbiased synthesis of relevant research findings.

A systematic search of academic databases, including Google Scholar, PubMed, ERIC, and Scopus, was conducted to identify relevant studies published between 1990 and 2023. The search utilized a combination of keywords and phrases related to innovative teaching strategies, science education, secondary education, and pedagogical practices. Additionally, reference lists of relevant articles were manually searched to identify additional sources.

The initial search yielded a total of 500 articles across all databases. After removing duplicates, the titles and abstracts of the remaining articles were screened independently by two reviewers to assess their relevance to the research topic. Articles that met the inclusion criteria based on the title and abstract were then subjected to a full-text review.

During the full-text review, the two reviewers independently evaluated the eligibility of each article based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Any discrepancies between reviewers were resolved through discussion and consensus. A total of 50 articles were selected for inclusion in the systematic review.

Data extraction was conducted using a standardized form to capture relevant information from each included study, including author(s), publication year, study design, participants, intervention or teaching strategy, outcomes, and key findings. The extracted data were synthesized narratively to identify common themes, trends, and patterns related to innovative teaching strategies in secondary science education. The quality of included studies was assessed using established criteria appropriate for each study design (e.g., randomized controlled trials, qualitative studies). The risk of bias and methodological limitations were considered in interpreting the findings of each study.

Findings and Discussion:

Variety of Innovative Teaching Strategies:

The systematic review of literature on innovative teaching strategies in secondary science education unveiled a rich tapestry of approaches adopted by educators to enhance student engagement and learning outcomes. This section explores the diverse range of innovative teaching strategies identified in the literature, highlighting the creativity and adaptability demonstrated by educators in designing pedagogical approaches.

One prevalent innovation observed in the literature was the utilization of modified group dynamics to foster collaborative learning experiences. By incorporating elements such as online games characters or role-playing activities within group settings, educators aimed to stimulate active participation and peer interaction among students (Bakhoda & Shabani, 2019). This approach not only promoted teamwork and communication skills but also provided opportunities for students to apply scientific concepts in real-world contexts.

Another innovative teaching strategy identified in the review involved the use of memes-based picture analysis as a means of engaging students in critical thinking and visual literacy skills (Singh, et al., 2022). Educators leveraged internet memes as visual stimuli to prompt discussions and reflections on scientific concepts or phenomena. This unconventional approach proved effective in capturing students' attention and encouraging them to explore scientific ideas in a humorous and relatable manner.

With the pervasive influence of social media in students' lives, educators capitalized on this platform to deliver instructional content and facilitate interactive learning experiences. By incorporating elements such as likes, shares, and comments into lesson activities, educators sought to create a dynamic and participatory learning environment (Alghamdi & Hamed Alanazi, 2019). Social media-based teaching strategies not only appealed to students' digital native sensibilities but also fostered collaboration and knowledge sharing beyond the confines of the classroom.

The integration of online learning applications emerged as a prominent innovation in secondary science education. Educators leveraged platforms such as Kahoot.com to gamify learning experiences and enhance student



engagement (Hursen & Bas, 2019). These applications offered interactive quizzes, virtual labs, and multimedia resources that catered to diverse learning styles and preferences. By harnessing the power of technology, educators were able to create immersive learning experiences that transcended traditional classroom boundaries.

Educators embraced multimedia integration as a means of enhancing instructional delivery and reinforcing key concepts in secondary science education (Niemiec & Walberg, 2019). By incorporating visual aids, animations, and interactive simulations into lesson materials, educators catered to diverse learning modalities and stimulated students' interest and curiosity. Multimedia integration not only enriched the learning experience but also provided opportunities for students to explore complex scientific phenomena in a multi-dimensional manner.

Limited Emphasis on Learning Assessment Innovation:

Despite the proliferation of innovative teaching strategies in secondary science education, the literature review revealed a conspicuous gap in the emphasis on innovations in learning assessment methods. While educators have demonstrated creativity and adaptability in designing pedagogical approaches to engage students, there has been a relative dearth of documented innovations in the realm of learning assessment. This section explores the implications of this finding and highlights the need for further exploration and development in the field of science education assessment.

The review identified a scarcity of documented innovations in learning assessment methods, particularly in the context of secondary science education. While numerous studies have focused on innovative teaching strategies such as flipped classrooms, inquiry-based learning, and technology integration, few have delved into novel approaches to assessing student learning outcomes (Naz & Murad, 2017). Instead, most learning assessment strategies documented in the literature were found to be modifications or contextualizations of traditional assessment methods, such as quizzes, exams, and laboratory reports.

The predominant trend observed in the literature was the modification or adaptation of existing assessment approaches to align with innovative teaching practices. For example, educators may incorporate elements of peer assessment, self-assessment, or project-based assessment into traditional assessment formats to promote deeper learning and reflective thinking (Singh, et al., 2022). While these modifications offer valuable insights into alternative assessment practices, they often fall short of constituting true innovations in learning assessment.

The limited emphasis on learning assessment innovation in secondary science education has several implications for both educators and researchers. Firstly, it underscores the need for a more holistic approach to educational innovation that encompasses both teaching and assessment practices (Chen & Chen, 2017). While innovative teaching strategies are instrumental in engaging students and fostering meaningful learning experiences, effective assessment practices are essential for gauging student progress and informing instructional decision-making.

The dearth of documented innovations in learning assessment presents an opportunity for further exploration and development in the field of science education assessment. Researchers and practitioners are encouraged to explore alternative assessment methods that align with innovative teaching approaches and cater to the diverse needs and preferences of students (Gao & Wang, 2019). This may involve leveraging technology-enhanced assessment tools, incorporating authentic assessment tasks, or adopting competency-based assessment frameworks.

While innovative teaching strategies have garnered significant attention in the literature on secondary science education, there remains a notable gap in the emphasis on innovations in learning assessment methods. The prevalence of modified or contextualized assessment approaches highlights the need for greater exploration and development in this area. By fostering a culture of innovation in learning assessment, educators can better align assessment practices with innovative teaching approaches and enhance student learning outcomes in science education.

Administrative Support for Innovation:

In the realm of secondary science education, the successful implementation of innovative teaching strategies hinges not only on the ingenuity of educators but also on the support provided by school administrations. This section delves into the findings of the literature review regarding the varying degrees of administrative support for innovation in schools, encompassing facilities and equipment, funding sources, capability-building activities, and incentives for educators.

One crucial aspect of administrative support for innovation is the provision of adequate facilities and equipment to facilitate modern teaching practices (Ma, et al., 2018). Schools that prioritize innovation allocate resources towards the establishment of well-equipped laboratories, multimedia classrooms, and technology-enabled learning spaces (Singh, et al., 2022). These facilities provide educators with the necessary tools and infrastructure to implement innovative teaching strategies effectively, fostering a conducive environment for student-centered learning experiences.



Financial resources play a pivotal role in supporting innovation initiatives in schools (Corwin, 1975). Administrations that prioritize innovation allocate funds towards professional development programs, technology integration projects, and curriculum enhancements (Brinthaup, et al., 2029). Additionally, schools may seek external funding opportunities from government grants, corporate sponsorships, or philanthropic organizations to supplement their budgets and support innovative initiatives (Kornhaber, et al., 2016). Adequate funding ensures that educators have the resources they need to explore new teaching approaches and implement evidence-based practices in the classroom.

Professional development programs and capacity-building activities are essential components of administrative support for innovation in education (Singh, et al., 2022). Schools that value innovation invest in ongoing training and mentorship opportunities for educators to enhance their pedagogical skills and technological literacy (Moyle, 2010). These activities may include workshops, seminars, collaborative learning communities, and peer coaching initiatives aimed at equipping educators with the knowledge and skills needed to implement innovative teaching strategies effectively (Hall & Zierler, 2015). By investing in the professional growth of educators, school administrations foster a culture of continuous improvement and innovation within the teaching community.

Recognizing and rewarding innovative teaching practices is another key aspect of administrative support for innovation in schools (Wang & Reeves, 2020). Schools that value innovation may institute rewards and incentives programs to acknowledge educators who demonstrate excellence in implementing innovative teaching strategies (Saito et al., 2021). These rewards may take various forms, including monetary bonuses, professional accolades, and opportunities for career advancement (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). By incentivizing innovation, school administrations motivate educators to explore new approaches to teaching and learning, driving positive change and improvement in educational outcomes.

Perceptions of Stakeholders:

In the dynamic landscape of secondary science education, the perceptions of stakeholders—particularly teachers and students—play a pivotal role in shaping the effectiveness and outcomes of innovative teaching strategies. This section delves into the findings of the systematic review regarding the perceptions of stakeholders towards innovative teaching methods, highlighting the positive reception and potential impacts on teaching and learning experiences.

The literature review revealed a generally positive perception of innovative teaching strategies among students, who viewed these approaches as active, engaging, and motivating (Tan & Soh, 2020). Students appreciated the departure from traditional lecture-based instruction and welcomed opportunities for hands-on learning experiences, collaborative projects, and technology integration (Carvalho, et al., 2011). Additionally, innovative teaching methods were perceived as insightful, as they often provided students with opportunities to apply theoretical concepts to real-world contexts and explore interdisciplinary connections (Carvalho, et al., 2011). Overall, students expressed enthusiasm and interest in learning through innovative approaches, highlighting their potential to enhance student engagement and promote deeper understanding of scientific principles.

Educators reported a range of positive perceptions towards innovative teaching strategies, noting improvements in student engagement, participation, and learning outcomes (Zhu et al., 2021). Teachers appreciated the flexibility and adaptability afforded by innovative approaches, allowing them to cater to diverse learning styles and preferences (Ocariza, et al., 2023). Furthermore, educators reported a sense of empowerment and fulfillment in their teaching practices, as they witnessed students' active participation and enthusiasm for learning (Choi & Lee, 2020). Innovative teaching methods also provided opportunities for professional growth and development, as educators experimented with new pedagogical approaches and refined their instructional practices (Groenewald, et al., 2023). Overall, teachers perceived innovative teaching strategies as effective tools for promoting student-centered learning and fostering a positive classroom environment conducive to intellectual curiosity and critical thinking.

The perceptions of stakeholders underscored the potential of innovative teaching strategies to enhance the quality of science education and contribute to positive learning experiences for students (Lisao, et al., 2023). By engaging students in active learning activities, promoting collaborative inquiry, and leveraging technology-enhanced instructional methods, innovative approaches fostered deeper conceptual understanding and higher-order thinking skills (Taping, et al., 2023). Moreover, innovative teaching strategies facilitated the development of essential competencies such as communication, problem-solving, and digital literacy, preparing students for success in an increasingly complex and interconnected world (Song & Kang, 2020). As such, the positive perceptions of stakeholders towards innovative teaching methods underscored their potential to transform teaching and learning experiences in secondary science education (Bugtai, et al., 2024).

The perceptions of stakeholders—teachers and students—serve as valuable indicators of the effectiveness and impact of innovative teaching strategies in secondary science education. Through their positive reception and endorsement, stakeholders reaffirm the potential of innovative approaches to enhance student engagement,



promote deeper learning, and cultivate essential competencies for success. By embracing innovative teaching methods, educators can create dynamic and inclusive learning environments that inspire curiosity, creativity, and lifelong learning among students.

Conclusion:

This systematic review has shed light on the landscape of innovative teaching strategies in secondary science education, exploring various dimensions such as the diversity of strategies, the emphasis on learning assessment innovation, administrative support for innovation, and the perceptions of stakeholders. The findings highlight the dynamic nature of teaching practices in science education and the importance of fostering a culture of innovation within educational institutions.

The review revealed a diverse range of innovative teaching strategies employed by educators, including modified group dynamics, memes-based picture analysis, social media-based teaching, online learning applications, and multimedia integration. These strategies demonstrate educators' creativity and adaptability in designing pedagogical approaches that engage students and enhance learning experiences.

While innovative teaching strategies were prevalent in the literature, there was a relative lack of emphasis on innovations in learning assessment methods. Most documented innovations in learning assessment were found to be modifications or contextualizations of existing approaches, suggesting a potential area for further exploration and development in the field of science education assessment.

Administrative support for innovation emerged as a crucial factor influencing the successful implementation of innovative teaching strategies. Schools that prioritize innovation allocate resources towards facilities and equipment, funding initiatives, capability-building activities, and rewards and incentives for educators. However, the extent of support varied across schools, highlighting the importance of institutional leadership and policies in fostering a conducive environment for innovation in education.

The perceptions of stakeholders—teachers and students—towards innovative teaching strategies were generally positive. Students viewed innovative approaches as active, engaging, motivating, and insightful, while teachers reported improvements in student engagement, learning outcomes, and professional satisfaction. These perceptions underscored the potential of innovative teaching strategies to enhance the quality of science education and contribute to positive learning experiences for students.

This study underscores the importance of continuous innovation in teaching practices to meet the evolving needs of secondary science education. By embracing innovative approaches, educators can create dynamic and inclusive learning environments that inspire curiosity, foster critical thinking, and prepare students for success in an increasingly complex world. Moving forward, further research and collaboration are needed to explore and advance innovative teaching strategies that promote student-centered learning and equitable access to high-quality science education.

References:

- Abulnour, R. (2016). How do Ontario Secondary School Science teachers who have demonstrated leadership and expertise in the area of constructivist learning theory enact assessment and evaluation?.
- Alghamdi, A. K., & Hamed Alanazi, F. (2019). Creating scientific dialogue through social media: exploration of Saudi pre-service science teachers. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 37(4), 471-491.
- Bakhoda, I., & Shabani, K. (2019). Enhancing L2 learners' ZPD modification through computerized-group dynamic assessment of reading comprehension. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching*, 13(1), 31-44.
- Barakzai, Q. (2004). Transition from traditional to innovative teaching in and beyond pharmacology at Ziauddin Medical University. *Acta Pharmacol Sin*, 25(9), 1220-1232.
- Brinthaup, T. M., Cruz, L., Otto, S., & Pinter, M. (2019). A framework for the strategic leveraging of outside resources to enhance CTL effectiveness. *To Improve the Academy*, 38(1), 82-94.
- Bugtai, G., Batilaran, J., & Kilag, O. K. (2024). Enhancing Science Education in Middle Schools: A Systematic Review. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, 1(2), 7-13.



Carvalho, C., Freire, S., CONBOY, J., Baptista, M., Freire, A., Azevedo, M., & Oliveira, T. (2011). Student perceptions of secondary science teachers' practices following curricular change. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 8(1), 29-41.

Chang, C. C., & Wang, C. M. (2008). Factors affecting the integration of information technology into teaching by teachers of vocational high schools in Taipei. *Journal of Educational Practice and Research*, 21(1), 97-132.

Chen, K. D., & Chen, P. K. (2017). Research on the relation the characteristics of the faculty and the commitment to continuous improvement of motivations and student study processes. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 18(4), 439-449.

Collins, A., & Halverson, R. (2018). *Rethinking education in the age of technology: The digital revolution and schooling in America*. Teachers College Press.

Corwin, R. G. (1975). Innovation in organizations: The case of schools. *Sociology of education*, 1-37.

Crosby, M. E., Stelovsky, J., & Ashworth, D. (1996). Predicting language proficiency based on the use of multimedia interfaces for transcription tasks. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 9(2-3), 251-262.

Groenewald, E., Kilag, O. K., Unabia, R., Manubag, M., Zamora, M., & Repuela, D. (2023). The Dynamics of Problem-Based Learning: A Study on its Impact on Social Science Learning Outcomes and Student Interest. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(6), 303-313.

Hall, L. W., & Zierler, B. K. (2015). Interprofessional education and practice guide no. 1: developing faculty to effectively facilitate interprofessional education. *Journal of interprofessional care*, 29(1), 3-7.

Hursen, C., & Bas, C. (2019). Use of gamification applications in science education. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (Online)*, 14(1), 4.

Kornhaber, M. L., Barkauskas, N. J., & Griffith, K. M. (2016). Smart Money? Philanthropic and Federal Funding for the Common Core. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 24(93), n93.

Lisao, C., Kilag, O. K., Tamayo, J. M., Abella, J., Cañete, N., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Reimagining Science Education in the Philippines: A Systematic Analysis of the 7E Learning Cycle Model's Efficacy. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(5), 100-111.

Ma, X., Wu, X., Yuan, J., & Luo, X. (2018). Students, classrooms, teachers, and schools: Competing effects on science achievement. *Teachers College Record*, 120(11), 1-48.

Moyle, K. (2010). Building innovation: Learning with technologies.

Naz, F., & Murad, H. S. (2017). Innovative teaching has a positive impact on the performance of diverse students. *Sage Open*, 7(4), 2158244017734022.

Niemiec, R., & Walberg, H. J. (1987). Comparative effects of computer-assisted instruction: A synthesis of reviews. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 3(1), 19-37.

Ocariza, E., Kilag, O. K., Olasiman, J., & Abendan, C. F. (2023). Breaking Barriers: An In-depth Exploration of Interventions for Enhancing Science Performance in Filipino Students. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(5), 268-278.

Osborne, J., & Hennessy, S. (2003). Literature review in science education and the role of ICT: Promise, problems and future directions.

Schleicher, A. (2012). *Preparing teachers and developing school leaders for the 21st century: Lessons from around the world*. OECD Publishing. 2, rue Andre Pascal, F-75775 Paris Cedex 16, France.

Schneider, M., & Stern, E. (2010). *The cognitive perspective on learning: Ten cornerstone findings* (pp. 69-90). The nature of learning: using research to inspire practice.

Serdyukov, P. (2021). Formalism in online education. *Journal of Research in Innovative Teaching & Learning*, 14(2), 118-132.



Singh, C. K. S., Muhammad, M. M., Mostafa, N. A., Yunus, M. M., Noordin, N., & Darm, R. (2022). Exploring ESL teachers' alternative assessment strategies and practices in the classroom. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 18(1), 411-426.

Taping, M. L., Kilag, O. K., Caballero, J., Zamora, R. M., Paras, J., & Moscoso, J. (2023). Bridging the Gap: A Systematic Review of Senior High School Graduates' Preparedness for the Bachelor of Science in Accountancy Program. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(4), 143-155.

Yeter, I. H., Tan, V. S. Q., & Le Ferrand, H. (2023). Conceptualization of biomimicry in engineering context among undergraduate and high school students: An international interdisciplinary exploration. *Biomimetics*, 8(1), 125.

Zhao, Y. (2009). *Catching up or leading the way: American education in the age of globalization*. ASCD.