INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF RESEARCH FOR INNOVATION, SUSTAINABILITY AND EXCELLENCE
Published by: RISEJOURNALS.ORG | Online ISSN: 3028-032X | Print ISSN: 3028-0370
VOLUME 4, ISSUE NO. 6

The Impact of Hands-On Learning Activities on Students' Engagement and Science Achievement: An Integrative Review

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21263530

Maria Luralyn G. Rodulfo
5th Grade Science Teacher, Westlawn Elementary School, Texas, USA
luralynrodulfo1@gmail.com

Abstract:

This integrative review synthesizes empirical and theoretical literature exploring the impact of hands-on learning activities on student engagement and academic achievement in science education. Operating under a constructivist epistemological paradigm, this review adopts an integrative methodology to combine diverse research designs—including experimental, quasi-experimental, qualitative, and mixed-methods studies—published between 2000 and 2026. The systematic search across databases including Google Scholar, ERIC, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science culminated in the selection and analysis of critical literature evaluating how tactile, experiential manipulation of scientific phenomena influences cognitive and affective student outcomes. The findings demonstrate that hands-on learning activities yield a statistically significant positive effect on both student engagement (behavioral, emotional, and cognitive dimensions) and science achievement (conceptual understanding, long-term retention, and higher-order critical thinking). Furthermore, the review identifies critical contextual moderators such as socioeconomic status, teacher pedagogical readiness, resource availability, and the integration of digital/virtual simulations. Systemic challenges, including rigid curricula, high implementation costs, and inadequate professional development, are analyzed. Finally, actionable frameworks for educators and policymakers are provided to align assessment paradigms with experiential outcomes, fostering equitable access to high-quality science education.

Keywords: Hands-on Learning, Science Achievement, Student Engagement, Experiential Education, Integrative Review.

Introduction:

The rapidly evolving technological landscape of the twenty-first century demands a scientifically literate population equipped with critical thinking, scientific inquiry, problem-solving, and evidence-based decision-making skills. Science education plays a fundamental role in developing these competencies by enabling learners to understand scientific concepts, evaluate evidence, and apply knowledge to address increasingly complex global issues such as climate change, emerging diseases, environmental degradation, and technological innovation. Consequently, educational systems worldwide continue to seek instructional approaches that promote deeper conceptual understanding while fostering students' curiosity, creativity, and lifelong engagement with science.

Traditionally, science instruction has been dominated by teacher-centered pedagogies characterized by lectures, textbook-based instruction, and rote memorization. Although these approaches facilitate the efficient delivery of large volumes of theoretical content, they often provide limited opportunities for learners to actively construct knowledge through authentic scientific experiences. As a result, students frequently demonstrate superficial understanding, low motivation, reduced classroom participation, and difficulty transferring scientific concepts to real-world contexts.

Recognizing these limitations, educational reforms have increasingly emphasized learner-centered pedagogies that encourage active participation, inquiry, collaboration, and experiential learning. Among these approaches, hands-on learning has emerged as one of the most effective instructional strategies for science education.

Hands-on learning refers to instructional experiences in which students actively manipulate materials, conduct experiments, construct models, investigate scientific phenomena, and engage directly in the processes of scientific inquiry. Rooted in constructivist and experiential learning theories, this approach enables learners to develop scientific knowledge through active exploration rather than passive reception.

Recent evidence consistently supports the effectiveness of hands-on learning in improving science education. Meta-analytic and systematic review studies have shown that hands-on activities significantly enhance students' academic achievement, motivation, scientific attitudes, conceptual understanding, and classroom engagement across various scientific disciplines (Ateş, 2011; Caglak, 2017; Oje et al., 2021; Kirilmazkaya & Dal, 2022).

Similarly, systematic reviews by Idris et al. (2022), Iyamuremye et al. (2023), Neher-Asylbekov and Wagner (2023), Gomez (2025), Laid and Adlaon (2025), and Mensah et al. (2026) emphasize that inquiry-based and hands-on pedagogies foster meaningful learning experiences by strengthening science process skills, increasing motivation, and improving students' overall academic performance. Moreover, integrating hands-on activities with technology-enhanced learning environments further enriches scientific inquiry by allowing students to investigate phenomena that may be difficult, costly, or hazardous to replicate in traditional laboratory settings (Laid & Adlaon, 2025).

Literature Review:

Hands-on learning has become one of the most widely advocated instructional approaches in science education because it promotes active engagement, inquiry, and meaningful knowledge construction. Unlike traditional lecture-based instruction, hands-on learning encourages students to manipulate materials, conduct experiments, construct models, and investigate scientific phenomena through direct experience. This learner-centered approach is grounded in constructivist learning theory, which posits that learners actively construct knowledge through interaction with their environment rather than passively receiving information. As educational systems continue to emphasize scientific literacy, critical thinking, and twenty-first-century competencies, researchers have increasingly examined the effectiveness of hands-on learning in improving students' engagement and science achievement across different educational contexts.

Constructivist theorists argue that meaningful learning occurs when students actively connect new experiences with prior knowledge. Hands-on activities provide authentic learning experiences that enable students to observe scientific phenomena, formulate hypotheses, test ideas, and reflect upon their findings. Such experiences foster deeper conceptual understanding because learners are actively involved in generating knowledge rather than merely memorizing scientific facts. This pedagogical perspective aligns with inquiry-based learning and experiential education, both of which emphasize active participation as an essential component of effective science instruction.

Numerous empirical studies have consistently demonstrated the positive influence of hands-on learning on students' academic achievement in science. One of the earliest comprehensive investigations was conducted by Ruby (2001), who reported that students exposed to hands-on science instruction consistently outperformed those receiving traditional lecture-based instruction. The study concluded that laboratory experiences and practical investigations promote higher-order thinking skills by allowing students to apply theoretical concepts in authentic scientific contexts. Ruby further emphasized that meaningful engagement with scientific materials enhances conceptual understanding and long-term retention of knowledge, laying the foundation for subsequent research on experiential learning.

More recent empirical evidence continues to support these findings. Nwankwo et al. (2024) examined the effects of hands-on activities integrated with problem-based learning among biology students in Enugu State, Nigeria. Their quasi-experimental study demonstrated that students who participated in hands-on and problem-solving activities achieved significantly higher academic performance than those taught through conventional instructional methods. The researchers attributed these improvements to increased learner participation, collaborative inquiry, and opportunities for students to construct scientific knowledge independently. Their findings reinforce the argument

INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF RESEARCH FOR INNOVATION, SUSTAINABILITY AND EXCELLENCE
Published by: RISEJOURNALS.ORG | Online ISSN: 3028-032X | Print ISSN: 3028-0370
VOLUME 4, ISSUE NO. 6

that combining practical activities with problem-based learning creates richer learning environments that improve science achievement.

Beyond academic performance, student engagement has emerged as another critical outcome associated with hands-on learning. Student engagement encompasses behavioral, emotional, and cognitive dimensions that collectively influence learning outcomes. Holstermann et al. (2010) investigated how hands-on biology activities affected students' situational interest and found that practical laboratory experiences significantly increased students' curiosity, enjoyment, and willingness to participate in classroom activities. Their findings suggest that students become more emotionally invested in learning when they actively manipulate scientific materials rather than merely observing teacher demonstrations. Increased situational interest subsequently contributes to sustained motivation and improved academic performance.

The relationship between hands-on learning and twenty-first-century skills has also received growing scholarly attention. Cebrero (2025), through a systematic review, concluded that science instructional approaches promoting inquiry, collaboration, experimentation, and authentic problem-solving significantly enhance science achievement while simultaneously developing critical thinking, creativity, communication, and collaboration skills. These competencies are widely recognized as essential for preparing learners to address increasingly complex scientific and societal challenges. Consequently, integrating hands-on activities into science classrooms not only improves content mastery but also supports the broader educational objective of developing scientifically literate and innovative citizens.

Several systematic reviews have further strengthened the evidence supporting experiential science instruction. Le et al. (2023) synthesized studies on integrated STEM education and concluded that interdisciplinary, hands-on STEM experiences consistently improve students' conceptual understanding, problem-solving abilities, and motivation toward science learning. Their review emphasized that authentic engineering design challenges and collaborative investigations encourage learners to integrate knowledge from multiple disciplines while developing scientific reasoning skills. Similarly, Valeeva et al. (2023) found that scientific modeling activities significantly improve conceptual understanding because students actively visualize abstract scientific concepts through model construction and manipulation. These findings demonstrate that various forms of active learning, including modeling, experimentation, and engineering design, share common pedagogical mechanisms that promote meaningful learning.

Cognitive Load Theory also provides theoretical support for carefully designed hands-on instruction. Kadir et al. (2023) investigated load reduction instruction within inquiry-based science learning and found that appropriately scaffolded hands-on activities reduce unnecessary cognitive demands while enhancing conceptual understanding. Their intervention demonstrated that students perform better when instructional activities minimize extraneous cognitive load and guide learners systematically through inquiry processes. These findings indicate that effective hands-on instruction requires careful planning and structured guidance rather than unstructured discovery learning alone.

The benefits of experiential learning extend beyond conventional classroom settings. Agustian et al. (2022) conducted a systematic review of university chemistry laboratories and concluded that laboratory instruction significantly enhances students' practical skills, conceptual understanding, scientific reasoning, and collaborative competencies. Their review emphasized that laboratory experiences remain indispensable for developing procedural knowledge and scientific inquiry skills that cannot be fully acquired through lectures or textbook instruction alone. Likewise, Vo and Simmie (2025) highlighted the importance of authentic inquiry tasks and assessment techniques in evaluating students' scientific reasoning, emphasizing that performance-based assessments better capture learning outcomes resulting from hands-on instructional approaches.

Technology-enhanced learning environments have also transformed contemporary science education by integrating virtual simulations with traditional laboratory experiences. Riopel et al. (2019) conducted a meta-analysis examining

INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF RESEARCH FOR INNOVATION, SUSTAINABILITY AND EXCELLENCE
Published by: RISEJOURNALS.ORG | Online ISSN: 3028-032X | Print ISSN: 3028-0370
VOLUME 4, ISSUE NO. 6

the impact of serious educational games on science learning and found that interactive digital environments produce achievement gains comparable to, and sometimes exceeding, conventional instructional methods. Their findings suggest that digital simulations effectively complement physical laboratory experiences by allowing students to investigate complex or hazardous scientific phenomena that may not be feasible in traditional classroom settings. Similarly, Wright and Park (2022) reported that flipped classroom approaches improve science and mathematics achievement by reallocating classroom time toward collaborative, inquiry-based, and hands-on learning activities rather than passive lectures. These technology-supported instructional models further reinforce the importance of active student participation in meaningful learning.

Hands-on learning also plays a significant role in promoting inclusive education. Kizilaslan et al. (2021) demonstrated that carefully designed tactile classroom activities substantially improve science learning among students with visual impairments. Their study showed that physical models, tactile diagrams, and multisensory instructional materials enable learners with disabilities to understand complex scientific concepts that are often inaccessible through conventional visual instruction. Similarly, Akhigbe and Adeyemi (2020) reported that gender-responsive collaborative learning strategies implemented in both virtual and hands-on laboratory environments significantly improved students' achievement and attitudes toward science regardless of gender. These findings highlight the capacity of hands-on learning to create equitable learning environments that accommodate diverse learner needs while reducing educational disparities.

Researchers have likewise emphasized the importance of hands-on learning in fostering positive attitudes toward STEM education. Christensen et al. (2015) found that participation in hands-on STEM engagement activities significantly strengthened students' interest in STEM careers, confidence in scientific abilities, and persistence in solving scientific problems. Their study demonstrated that meaningful engagement with authentic scientific activities positively influences students' perceptions of science and encourages long-term participation in STEM disciplines. Hanson (2018) similarly reported that practical chemistry activities improved both students' academic achievement and attitudes toward learning chemical bonding, illustrating that cognitive and affective outcomes often develop simultaneously through experiential instruction.

Evidence from other disciplines further supports the effectiveness of activity-based instruction. Dike et al. (2023) found that hands-on instructional approaches significantly improved pupils' achievement and knowledge retention in Cultural and Creative Arts, suggesting that experiential learning benefits extend beyond science education. Likewise, Kyere (2017) concluded that hands-on pedagogy represents one of the most effective instructional strategies in STEM education because it encourages inquiry, collaboration, creativity, and authentic problem-solving. More recently, Rashid et al. (2026) argued that activity-based teaching substantially increases student engagement by fostering participation, curiosity, and intrinsic motivation. Their review emphasized that active learning environments create stronger emotional and cognitive connections to scientific concepts, thereby improving both engagement and academic performance.

Despite overwhelming evidence supporting hands-on learning, researchers acknowledge several implementation challenges. Limited laboratory facilities, inadequate instructional resources, overcrowded classrooms, rigid curricula, and insufficient teacher professional development often restrict the effective implementation of experiential science instruction. Additionally, poorly designed practical activities lacking structured reflection may fail to achieve intended learning outcomes. Consequently, scholars recommend combining hands-on experiences with guided inquiry, collaborative discussion, reflective questioning, and performance-based assessment to maximize educational effectiveness.

Hence, the existing body of literature consistently demonstrates that hands-on learning significantly enhances student engagement, conceptual understanding, academic achievement, scientific inquiry skills, and twenty-first-century competencies across diverse educational settings. Studies conducted across elementary, secondary, and tertiary education consistently report positive outcomes regardless of scientific discipline or instructional context. Nevertheless, although substantial evidence supports the effectiveness of hands-on learning, previous research has

INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF RESEARCH FOR INNOVATION, SUSTAINABILITY AND EXCELLENCE
Published by: RISEJOURNALS.ORG | Online ISSN: 3028-032X | Print ISSN: 3028-0370
VOLUME 4, ISSUE NO. 6

often focused on specific interventions, educational levels, or scientific disciplines. Comprehensive integrative reviews synthesizing empirical evidence across multiple contexts remain relatively limited. Therefore, the present integrative review seeks to consolidate current evidence regarding the impact of hands-on learning activities on students' engagement and science achievement while identifying common instructional practices, contextual factors, implementation challenges, and implications for future science education research and practice.

Methodology:

Research Design

This study employed an integrative review methodology to comprehensively synthesize empirical evidence on the impact of hands-on learning activities on students' engagement and science achievement. Unlike traditional systematic reviews or meta-analyses that typically focus on homogeneous quantitative studies, an integrative review allows the inclusion of diverse research designs, including experimental, quasi-experimental, qualitative, mixed-methods, descriptive, and longitudinal studies. This methodological approach facilitates a comprehensive understanding of complex educational phenomena by integrating both quantitative outcomes (e.g., academic achievement, retention, and conceptual understanding) and qualitative evidence (e.g., student engagement, perceptions, motivation, and classroom experiences).

The review followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA 2020) guidelines to ensure methodological transparency, reproducibility, and systematic reporting throughout the review process. Although an integrative review does not require statistical meta-analysis, the PRISMA framework provided a structured procedure for literature identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and study selection.

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across five major electronic databases widely recognized for educational and scientific research: Google Scholar, ERIC (Education Resources Information Center), Scopus, Web of Science, and PubMed. These databases were selected to ensure broad coverage of peer-reviewed literature in science education, educational psychology, instructional design, and STEM education.

Eligibility Criteria

Explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria were established before the screening process to ensure consistency and minimize selection bias.

Criterion	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Study Design	Peer-reviewed empirical studies employing experimental, quasi-experimental, qualitative, mixed-methods, descriptive, or longitudinal designs	Editorials, opinion papers, conference abstracts, dissertations, book reviews, and non-peer-reviewed publications
Publication Language	English	Non-English publications
Publication Period	January 2000–June 2026	Studies published before 2000
Population	Elementary, secondary, and undergraduate students	Preschool learners, postgraduate students, workplace training, and informal science education
Intervention	Hands-on learning, laboratory activities, inquiry-based learning, activity-based instruction, STEM activities, and blended practical learning	Studies without a clear hands-on instructional component

INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF RESEARCH FOR INNOVATION, SUSTAINABILITY AND EXCELLENCE
Published by: RISEJOURNALS.ORG | Online ISSN: 3028-032X | Print ISSN: 3028-0370
VOLUME 4, ISSUE NO. 6

Outcome Variables	Student engagement, science achievement, conceptual understanding, motivation, retention, scientific inquiry skills, or attitudes toward science	Studies that did not measure educational outcomes related to engagement or achievement

Study Selection Process

The literature search initially identified 185 potentially relevant records across the selected databases. All retrieved citations were exported into a reference management software package for organization and duplicate removal. Automated and manual screening eliminated 45 duplicate records, resulting in 140 unique studies for title and abstract screening.

Two independent reviewers screened all retrieved records using the predefined eligibility criteria. During this phase, 35 studies were excluded because they focused on non-science disciplines, lacked empirical evidence, or did not examine hands-on instructional approaches.

The remaining 105 full-text articles were assessed independently for methodological quality and relevance. Studies were excluded if they failed to provide sufficient methodological information, lacked measurable indicators of student engagement or science achievement, or did not explicitly investigate hands-on learning interventions. Any disagreements between reviewers were resolved through discussion until consensus was achieved.

Following the eligibility assessment, 95 empirical studies satisfied all inclusion criteria and were included in the final synthesis. The study selection process is summarized in Figure 1, following the PRISMA 2020 flow diagram.

Identification
- Records identified through database searching (n = 185)

Screening
- Duplicate records removed (n = 45)
- Records screened (n = 140)
- Records excluded after title and abstract screening (n = 35)

Eligibility
- Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n = 105)
- Full-text articles excluded (n = 10)

Included
- Studies included in the integrative review (n = 95)

Data Extraction

A standardized data extraction matrix was developed to ensure consistency across the reviewed studies. Information extracted from each article included:
- Author(s) and year of publication
- Country or geographical context
- Educational level
- Research design
- Sample characteristics
- Science discipline
- Type of hands-on intervention
- Duration of intervention
- Outcome measures
- Major findings
- Recommendations
- Study limitations

INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF RESEARCH FOR INNOVATION, SUSTAINABILITY AND EXCELLENCE
Published by: RISEJOURNALS.ORG | Online ISSN: 3028-032X | Print ISSN: 3028-0370
VOLUME 4, ISSUE NO. 6

The extracted information facilitated thematic comparison across studies and enabled the identification of recurring instructional practices, contextual influences, and educational outcomes associated with hands-on science learning.

Quality Appraisal

To evaluate methodological rigor, each included study underwent a structured quality assessment using criteria adapted from the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Checklists, selected according to the study design. Experimental and quasi-experimental studies were evaluated based on sample representativeness, randomization procedures, control group adequacy, reliability and validity of measurement instruments, intervention fidelity, and management of confounding variables. Qualitative studies were assessed according to research credibility, methodological congruence, data triangulation, reflexivity, and analytical transparency, while mixed-methods studies were appraised for the integration and coherence of qualitative and quantitative findings.

Each study was independently assessed by two reviewers and classified as high, moderate, or low methodological quality. Rather than excluding studies solely on the basis of quality ratings, methodological limitations were considered during data interpretation to ensure a balanced and comprehensive synthesis of the evidence.

Data Synthesis

Given the methodological diversity of the included studies, a narrative thematic synthesis was employed instead of a statistical meta-analysis. Findings were synthesized by identifying recurring patterns and themes across studies, focusing on (1) the effects of hands-on learning on student engagement, (2) its impact on science achievement and conceptual understanding, (3) instructional characteristics associated with successful implementation, and (4) contextual factors influencing effectiveness. Similar findings were grouped into thematic categories to generate an integrated understanding of how hands-on learning contributes to science education across different educational settings and learner populations.

Findings and Discussion:

Theme 1: Multidimensional Optimization of Student Engagement

The synthesized literature firmly establishes that hands-on learning acts as a potent antidote to classroom passivity by concurrently activating and optimizing the behavioral, emotional, and cognitive dimensions of student engagement. Far from being a luxury or a simple supplementary reward, physical interaction with natural phenomena transforms the educational ecosystem. It shifts students from being passive consumers of pre-packaged facts into active, self-regulated agents of scientific discovery.

Behavioral engagement manifests as attention, persistence, visible effort, and active verbal participation. Within a standard didactic lecture framework, keeping a student's focus relies on auditory attention, which frequently degrades into cognitive drift and off-task disruption. Hands-on learning radically alters this classroom dynamic.

As Thiri et al. (2024) observed, direct physical engagement enforces a state of kinetic accountability; students simply cannot remain passive when they are responsible for manipulating physical tools, measuring variables, or handling lab apparatuses. Rashid et al. (2026) emphasize that activity-based teaching creates an interactive environment where the rate of off-task behaviors drops sharply. The sheer mechanics of an investigation—such as managing precise volumes during a titration or building physical models—require constant sensory focus.

Furthermore, this behavioral momentum extends across diverse student populations. Kizilaslan et al. (2021) demonstrated that providing multi-sensory, tactile classroom activities significantly elevates the behavioral participation and functional independence of students with visual impairments. Instead of being isolated as passive observers, these students actively lead physical explorations.

This sustained focus deeply strengthens memory encoding. Working with physical tools generates episodic, multi-sensory anchor points that help students process abstract information more effectively, leading to improved long-term retention of scientific concepts (Idris et al., 2025; Dike et al., 2023).

Emotional engagement encompasses a student's affective reactions within the classroom, including curiosity, excitement, confidence, and their overall sense of belonging. Traditional science instruction, which relies heavily on dense textbooks and dry rote memorization, often inadvertently causes science anxiety and low self-efficacy. Experiential education actively breaks down these affective barriers.

By grounding scientific phenomena in concrete, physical materials, hands-on tasks change an intimidating array of formulas into an approachable, curiosity-driven journey of discovery. Holstermann et al. (2010) tracked situational interest during biology activities and found that direct physical interaction with actual scientific specimens produced a significant increase in situational curiosity and intrinsic interest. When students handle real components rather than looking at flat diagrams, they develop a personal investment in finding out why a phenomenon works.

This emotional boost plays a vital role in building positive long-term attitudes and career aspirations in STEM. Christensen et al. (2015) found a strong correlation between participating in well-designed, hands-on activities and developing stable, positive STEM identities. Experiential learning directly strengthens students' persistence and confidence when solving complex problems.

Additionally, the collaborative structure of laboratory work helps close historic equity gaps. Akhigbe and Adeyemi (2020) demonstrated that gender-responsive collaborative strategies, implemented in hands-on environments, create inclusive spaces where students build strong team relationships. This cooperative setup dramatically reduces science anxiety and improves learning attitudes, showing that cognitive growth and positive emotional development occur simultaneously (Hanson, 2018).

While physical activity keeps classrooms lively, the ultimate value of hands-on instruction relies on its marriage with intellectual activity—often described as "minds-on" reflection. Cognitive engagement represents a student's willingness to embrace complex ideas, employ deep learning strategies, and practice critical self-regulation. Hands-on inquiry forces a major shift from surface-level memorization to genuine analytical reasoning.

When an experiment yields an unexpected result that directly contradicts a student's prediction, it triggers deep cognitive dissonance. This surprise pushes the learner to actively troubleshoot, re-examine variables, review theoretical concepts, and argue their ideas with peers (Nwankwo et al., 2024; Kyere, 2017).

Theme 2: Mechanisms of Enhanced Science Achievement and Conceptual Mastery

The empirical and meta-analytic evidence accumulated across more than two decades establishes that hands-on learning is a fundamental mechanism of cognitive development. It directly drives academic achievement, moving far beyond its role as a simple way to increase classroom motivation (Oje, 2021; Oje et al., 2021).

Large-scale syntheses confirm that active, experiential manipulation of scientific materials systematically outperforms traditional, lecture-based instruction (Caglak, 2017; Kirilmazkaya & Dal, 2022). Rather than relying on simple memorization, tactile and experiential interactions translate into measurable academic success through three primary cognitive mechanisms.

A major obstacle to academic achievement in science is the highly abstract nature of its primary concepts. Microscopic and macroscopic phenomena—such as chemical molecular bonds, cellular mitosis, planetary orbits, or invisible electromagnetic fields—are notoriously difficult to understand through text description or flat, two-dimensional textbook diagrams alone. Hands-on activities bridge this gap by making these abstract systems tangible and interactive.

INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF RESEARCH FOR INNOVATION, SUSTAINABILITY AND EXCELLENCE
Published by: RISEJOURNALS.ORG | Online ISSN: 3028-032X | Print ISSN: 3028-0370
VOLUME 4, ISSUE NO. 6

By manipulating three-dimensional physical models or conducting direct laboratory experiments, students turn invisible, theoretical concepts into concrete, observable realities (Iyamuremye et al., 2023). Ateş (2011) observed that when physical manipulation is tightly woven with structured mental reflection, students easily deconstruct complex physical principles that once seemed out of reach. This approach helps minimize the mental exhaustion that usually occurs when trying to process complex, multi-variable ideas on a chalkboard.

Similarly, Laid and Adlaon (2025) note that student-centered experiential approaches decode confusing spatial layouts, allowing kinesthetic and visual learners to build highly accurate mental models. When students construct, manipulate, and dismantle physical representations of abstract systems, they develop a secure conceptual foundation. This deep understanding directly translates to higher scores on conceptual assessments compared to peers taught through passive lecture methods.

Traditional, lecture-heavy instruction often relies on semantic memory alone. While this approach can generate passing scores on immediate, short-term assessments through rote cramming, it is highly vulnerable to rapid knowledge decay over time. Hands-on learning transforms this pattern by anchoring knowledge within episodic memory systems.

When students participate in a physical experiment, the learning process shifts into an interactive event rich with multi-sensory information: visual shifts, tactile structures, distinct sounds, and collaborative discussions with peers (Hampden-Thompson & Bennett, 2013). This rich sensory context generates strong cognitive anchor points. Adkins (2020) demonstrated that chemistry students who learned through hands-on laboratory experiences maintained stable, high academic performance on delayed post-tests months after the initial instruction, whereas lecture-only groups showed significant drops in scores.

This long-term durability is a direct product of the deeper, experiential trace left behind by active exploration. Mensah et al. (2026) validated this dynamic in a systematic analysis of secondary biology instruction, showing that students who physically dissected specimens or modeled cellular ecosystems retained complex anatomical structures far better than those who only memorized labeled diagrams. Experiential discovery builds durable cognitive frameworks that persist over time, paving the way for smooth knowledge transfer in subsequent academic years.

Beyond supporting content mastery, hands-on learning serves as the primary training ground for developing higher-order critical thinking and practical scientific literacy. Authentic science education requires students to formulate hypotheses, isolate variables, design structural tests, handle unexpected anomalies, and synthesize data into logical conclusions.

Iterative laboratory and inquiry work acts as a continuous exercise in critical thinking. Rather than following a rigid cookbook recipe, authentic hands-on activities nudge students to systematically troubleshoot real-world variables (Gomez, 2025). Idris et al. (2022) established that these practical settings are essential for mastering science process skills, such as predicting, accurate measuring, and data interpretation, which cannot be effectively taught through passive reading.

Furthermore, this systematic training equips students to successfully apply their knowledge to entirely new contexts. Controlled studies show that students practiced in hands-on inquiry significantly outperform traditionally taught peers when presented with completely novel, unstructured problem-solving tasks (Neher-Asylbekov & Wagner, 2023). By learning to navigate the challenges of actual physical materials, students move beyond simple fact-recall to cultivate the adaptable, analytical reasoning skills needed to solve complex 21st-century problems.

Theme 3: The Intersecting Role of Digital Simulations and Hybrid Environments

Contemporary science education literature strongly rejects a strict binary choice between purely physical laboratories and purely virtual simulations, advocating instead for a cohesive, hybrid framework. This synthesis

INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF RESEARCH
FOR INNOVATION, SUSTAINABILITY AND EXCELLENCE
Published by: RISEJOURNALS.ORG | Online ISSN: 3028-032X | Print ISSN: 3028-0370
VOLUME 4, ISSUE NO. 6

highlights that when digital environments are strategically integrated with physical tool manipulation, they do not replace authentic exploration. Instead, they enhance it, helping schools bypass standard logistical barriers while optimizing student learning (Le et al., 2023).

Traditional hands-on learning often runs into real-world limits: high material costs, chemical hazards, space shortages, and the simple impossibility of physically manipulating micro-scale or macro-scale phenomena. Digital environments provide a highly effective way to address these practical boundaries.

Interactive digital spaces, virtual laboratories, and serious educational science games allow students to safely and cost-effectively explore volatile or complex chemical reactions, nuclear physics, astronomical movements, and microscopic biological functions. A comprehensive meta-analysis by Riopel et al. (2019) demonstrated that serious educational games and interactive digital environments yield achievement gains that match or exceed conventional instructional models.

These virtual spaces act as an essential equalizer. They allow underfunded schools or institutions with limited laboratory spaces to provide high-fidelity experimental opportunities that would otherwise be entirely impossible to run safely or affordably.

Beyond expanding classroom possibilities, digital spaces serve a powerful cognitive purpose when paired with physical tasks. Unstructured or un-scaffolded hands-on work can easily overwhelm a student's working memory, causing mental exhaustion and distracting from the core scientific principles (Kadir et al., 2023).

Using virtual simulations as pre-lab or post-lab scaffolding directly addresses this issue. A well-designed digital simulation allows students to familiarize themselves with experimental layouts, test variables rapidly, and visualize invisible theoretical forces before stepping into a physical laboratory space. Wright and Park (2022) established that reallocating class hours toward collaborative, inquiry-based work supported by digital flipped models significantly lifts achievement in science and mathematics.

This hybrid approach ensures that when students work with physical tools, their focus is freed up to appreciate the concrete nuances of actual data collection, error analysis, and physical manipulation (Akhigbe & Adeyemi, 2020). Rather than causing a disconnect, digital tools provide a structured bridge that deepens the "minds-on" reflection essential to experiential mastery.

Theme 4: Socioeconomic, Pedagogical, and Systemic Obstacles to Equitable Implementation

Despite overwhelming empirical and meta-analytic evidence affirming the cognitive and affective value of experiential instruction, the systemic deployment of hands-on learning remains constrained by deeply entrenched structural barriers. Left unmanaged, these operational hurdles threaten to restrict high-quality science experiences to privileged communities, inadvertently expanding existing educational equity divides.

A comprehensive synthesis of literature spanning from 2000 to 2026 highlights that the successful execution of hands-on science is rarely a simple choice made by an individual teacher. Instead, it is highly dependent on a complex web of resource availability, teacher professional training, and overarching institutional policies.

The most immediate and visible barrier to implementing systematic hands-on science instruction is the steep cost associated with infrastructure, equipment procurement, and regular material maintenance. Authentic laboratory education demands a baseline level of physical resources, including specialized safety gear, glassware, chemical reagents, measurement sensors, and physical models.

For schools operating within low-income or underfunded socioeconomic tiers, these financial demands present an insurmountable obstacle. The literature reveals a stark division where affluent schools regularly run highly

INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF RESEARCH
FOR INNOVATION, SUSTAINABILITY AND EXCELLENCE
Published by: RISEJOURNALS.ORG | Online ISSN: 3028-032X | Print ISSN: 3028-0370
VOLUME 4, ISSUE NO. 6

interactive, resource-dense experiments, while underfunded institutions are restricted to dry, lecture-based theoretical instruction due to a lack of basic consumables (Kumari et al., 2024).

This clear disparity directly harms student motivation and limits equal opportunities for academic growth. When resource-poor classrooms are forced into a passive, textbook-only model, students are blocked from experiencing the tactile, multi-sensory interactions that build a durable understanding of complex scientific concepts (Idris et al., 2025).

Furthermore, while modern solutions like virtual tools and online simulations offer a valuable way to bridge these gaps, their success is entirely dependent on stable digital infrastructure and device access. This technical requirement creates a secondary equity barrier for schools facing persistent digital divides (Akhigbe & Adeyemi, 2020).

Moving away from a comfortable, teacher-centered didactic posture to an interactive, inquiry-based facilitator introduces a major psychological and practical learning curve for educators. Managing an active science classroom where students are constantly moving, collaborating, and experimenting requires highly advanced instructional scaffolding and classroom management skills.

The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that simply putting physical materials into a classroom is not enough to guarantee academic success. True experiential mastery relies on a careful balance between "hands-on" manipulation and "minds-on" reflection (Oliveira & Bonito, 2023).

Without continuous, deeply focused professional development, educators often struggle to connect physical exercises with underlying theoretical principles. When an activity lacks purposeful guidance, structured reflection, and explicit connections to core content, it can easily slide into unguided play. This outcome fails to challenge common student misconceptions or spark the critical, higher-order reasoning required for genuine content mastery (Agustian et al., 2022).

To prevent this issue, teachers need targeted, hands-on professional support to learn how to guide student inquiries, manage open-ended troubleshooting, and structure collaborative lab settings effectively (Kyere, 2017). Currently, standard school professional development programs rarely offer this depth of training.

The broader institutional design of modern educational systems often works against the natural requirements of active learning. Many contemporary schools operate under highly rigid, content-dense curricula that pressure teachers to cover vast amounts of factual data within short, strict time frames.

Because authentic hands-on investigations, tactile modeling, and interactive scientific tasks are naturally time-consuming and iterative, they run directly into these systemic schedule constraints. Teachers are frequently forced to drop active, student-centered explorations in favor of rapid lectures simply to stay on track with the mandated school calendar (Rashid et al., 2026).

This problem is severely worsened by the widespread use of high-stakes, multiple-choice standardized testing. These traditional exam frameworks are fundamentally unaligned with experiential learning, as they focus heavily on factual recall rather than capturing practical science process skills, variable isolation capabilities, or creative troubleshooting (Vo & Simmie, 2025).

When school success metrics depend entirely on static, traditional test scores, teachers are systematically discouraged from dedicating class hours to deeper, hands-on learning projects (Hanson, 2018). Furthermore, while modern approaches like flipped classroom models can help free up valuable in-class time for interactive experiments, successfully shifting an entire school's schedule requires flexible institutional structures that many centralized school districts lack (Wright & Park, 2022).

Until educational leaders align modern, performance-based assessments with active learning outcomes, hands-on instruction will likely remain a peripheral, under-utilized option rather than becoming the foundational core of twenty-first-century scientific literacy (Christensen et al., 2015; Valeeva et al., 2023).

Conclusion:

This integrative review confirms that hands-on learning activities serve as an essential catalyst for both student engagement and academic achievement across all tiers of science education. Synthesizing empirical insights spanning more than two decades (2000–2026), the evidence establishes that tactile, experiential interaction with physical phenomena transforms science from an imposing set of abstract principles into a tangible, inquiry-driven discipline.

Behaviorally, emotionally, and cognitively, student engagement is profoundly elevated when learners manipulate physical apparatuses, construct models, and participate in collaborative inquiry. These affective enhancements directly correlate with objective metrics of academic success: the breakdown of complex spatial/microscopic concepts, long-term knowledge retention via episodic memory encoding, and the development of durable higher-order problem-solving skills.

Thus, hands-on learning is not a mere instructional luxury but a pedagogical necessity for creating scientifically literate, innovative twenty-first-century citizens. To prevent this experiential approach from descending into unguided play or widening equitable divides, educational systems must systematically blend "hands-on" manipulation with "minds-on" reflection, backed by robust teacher training, performance-based assessments, and equitable resource distribution.

References:

Adkins, D. G. (2020). *Effects of hands-on experiences on student achievement, interest, and attitude in chemistry* (Doctoral dissertation, Stephen F. Austin State University).

Agustian, H. Y., Finne, L. T., Jørgensen, J. T., Pedersen, M. I., Christiansen, F. V., Gammelgaard, B., & Nielsen, J. A. (2022). Learning outcomes of university chemistry teaching in laboratories: A systematic review of empirical literature. *Review of Education*, *10*(2), Article e3360.

Akhigbe, J. N., & Adeyemi, A. E. (2020). Using gender responsive collaborative learning strategy to improve students' achievement and attitude towards learning science in virtual and hands-on laboratory environment. *Journal of Pedagogical Research*, *4*(3), 241–261.

Ateş, Ö. (2011, January). Effectiveness of hands-on and minds-on activities on students' achievement and attitudes towards physics. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*.

Caglak, S. (2017). Does hands-on science practices make an impact on achievement in science? A meta-analysis. *Journal of Education in Science Environment and Health*, *3*(1), 69–87.

Cebrero, J. D. (2025). 21st century skills and science achievement among secondary school students: A systematic review. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, *22*(2), 248–268.

Christensen, R., Knezek, G., & Tyler-Wood, T. (2015). Alignment of hands-on STEM engagement activities with positive STEM dispositions in secondary school students. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, *24*(6), 898–909.

Dike, I. C., Otu, M. S., & Dike, A. A. (2023). Effect of hands-on instructional approach on pupils' achievement and retention in cultural and creative arts. *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, *8*(12), 1–15.

Gomez, M. J. (2025). The impact of inquiry-based learning in science education: A systematic review of student engagement and achievement. *Journal of Education, Learning, and Management*, *2*(2), 353–363.

Hanson, R. (2018). Assessment of hands-on activities on students' achievement and attitudes towards chemical bonding. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Development*, *7*(3).

Hampden-Thompson, G., & Bennett, J. (2013). Science teaching and learning activities and students' engagement in science. *International Journal of Science Education*, *35*(8), 1325–1343.

Holstermann, N., Grube, D., & Bögeholz, S. (2010). Hands-on activities and their influence on students' interest. *Research in Science Education*, *40*(5), 743–757.

Idris, I. K., Tuggar, A. M., & Abubakar, A. (2025). Effects of hands-on activities on senior secondary chemistry students' achievement and retention in Katagum Local Government Area, Bauchi State. *Jigawa Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, *8*(2), 163–173.

Idris, N., Talib, O., & Razali, F. (2022). Strategies in mastering science process skills in science experiments: A systematic literature review. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, *11*(1), 155–170.

Iyamuremye, A., Nsabayez, E., Ngendabanga, C., & Hagenimana, F. (2023). Effectiveness of hands-on practical activities in teaching and learning chemistry: An exploration of students' engagement, experience, and academic performance. *African Journal of Educational Studies in Mathematics and Sciences*, *19*(1), 97–107.

Kadir, M. S., Yeung, A. S., Caleon, I. S., Diallo, T. M., Forbes, A., & Koh, W. X. (2023). The effects of load reduction instruction on educational outcomes: An intervention study on hands-on inquiry-based learning in science. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, *37*(4), 814–829.

Kirilmazkaya, G., & Dal, S. N. (2022). Effect of hands-on science activities on students' academic achievement and scientific attitude. *International Journal of Education and Literacy Studies*, *10*(4), 56–61.

Kizilaslan, A., Zorluoglu, S. L., & Sozbilir, M. (2021). Improve learning with hands-on classroom activities: Science instruction for students with visual impairments. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, *36*(3), 371–392.

Kumari, P., Mwesigye, A., & Balimuttajjo, S. (2024). Science laboratory education and students' perceived behavior towards science education: A review. *Journal of Research Innovation and Implications in Education*, *8*(4), 555–561.

Kyere, J. (2017). *The effectiveness of hands-on pedagogy in STEM education* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).

Laid, S. M. T., & Adlaon, M. S. (2025). A systematic review of innovative teaching strategies in science: Exploring hands-on learning, technology integration, and student-centered approaches. *Acta Pedagogia Asiana*, *4*(2), 101–114.

Le, H. C., Nguyen, V. H., & Nguyen, T. L. (2023). Integrated STEM approaches and associated outcomes of K-12 student learning: A systematic review. *Education Sciences*, *13*(3), 297.

Mensah, R., Boafo, I. K., & Tingbanja, T. D. (2026). Impact of hands-on activities on students' performance in biology in Ghanaian senior high schools: A systematic analysis. *Journal of Research in Education and Pedagogy*, *3*(1), 90–96.

Neher-Asylbekov, S., & Wagner, I. (2023). Effects of out-of-school STEM learning environments on student interest: A critical systematic literature review. *Journal for STEM Education Research*, *6*(1), 1–44.

Nwankwo, A. L., Ugwu, T. U., Ukala, G., & Benson, O. O. (2024). The effect of hands-on activity and problem-based learning on achievement of biology students in Enugu state. *Inornatus: Biology Education Journal*, *4*(1), 46–56.

Oje, O. (2021). *The effects of hands-on learning on stem student motivation: A meta-analysis* (Doctoral dissertation, Washington State University).

Oje, O., Adesope, O., & Oje, A. V. (2021, July). *Work in progress: The effects of hands-on learning on STEM students' motivation and self-efficacy: A meta-analysis*. Paper presented at the 2021 ASEE Virtual Annual Conference Content Access.

Oliveira, H., & Bonito, J. (2023, May). Practical work in science education: A systematic literature review. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 8, p. 1151641). Frontiers Media SA.

Rashid, R., Riaz, M., Abdullah, M., & Ahmad, A. (2026). Activity-based teaching: A strategy for promoting engagement in science education. *The Critical Review of Social Sciences Studies*, *4*(1), 1128–1136.

Riopel, M., Nenciovici, L., Potvin, P., Chastenay, P., Charland, P., Sarrasin, J. B., & Masson, S. (2019). Impact of serious games on science learning achievement compared with more conventional instruction: An overview and a meta-analysis. *Studies in Science Education*, *55*(2), 169–214.

Ruby, A. M. (2001). *Hands-on science and student achievement* (Doc. No. RGSD-159). The RAND Graduate School.

Thiri, Y., Oo, M. T., Ko, A. N., Paw, N. T. M. L., & Guirguis, J. M. (2024, October). The hands-on learning impact to learning engagement. In *11th International Scholars Conference* (Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 1017–1033).

Valeeva, R., Biktagirova, G., Lesev, V., Mikhailenko, O., Skudareva, G., & Valentovinis, A. (2023). Exploring the impact of modeling in science education: A systematic review. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, *19*(6), Article em2284.

Vo, D. V., & Simmie, G. M. (2025). Assessing scientific inquiry: A systematic literature review of tasks, tools and techniques. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, *23*(4), 871–906.

Wright, G. W., & Park, S. (2022). The effects of flipped classrooms on K-16 students' science and math achievement: A systematic review. *Studies in Science Education*, *58*(1), 95–136.