



Understanding Interpretative Positions and Overcoming Resistance in Organizational Dynamic

Coenrad Adolph Groenewald

Consulting Director, SG Virtuosos International, Cape Town, South Africa
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2394-6347> | dolfgroenewald@sgvirtuososinternational.com

Elma S. Groenewald

CEO, SG Virtuosos International, Loc Tho Ward, Nha Trang City, Khanh Hoa Province, Vietnam
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7813-2773> | elmasgroenewald@sgvirtuososinternational.com

Francisca T. Uy

School President, ECT Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Buanoy, Balamban, Cebu, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2180-5874> | franzkc2015@gmail.com

Osias Kit T. Kilag

Principal, PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Mercado St., Poblacion, Toledo City, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0845-3373> | okkilag@excellencia.edu.ph

Joel A. Castañares

Registrar Staff, PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Mercado St., Poblacion, Toledo City, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-7949-8942> | jcastanares@pegafi.edu.ph

Cyd C. Peras

Teacher II, Saluyong National High School, Schools Division of Zamboanga del Norte, Philippines
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5103-2884> | cperas1998@gmail.com

Abstract:

This systematic literature review explores interpretative positions and sources of resistance to change within organizations. Drawing on a diverse range of studies, the review highlights the complexity of change processes and identifies key factors influencing individuals' responses to change initiatives. Findings reveal a spectrum of interpretative positions, from change proponents to resisters, shaping stakeholders' attitudes towards change. Additionally, individual-level barriers such as fear of the unknown and organizational-level factors like inadequate communication contribute to resistance. Leadership and communication emerge as pivotal in mitigating resistance and fostering a culture of adaptability. The review emphasizes the importance of tailored strategies that consider organizational context and dynamics. Overall, this study provides practical insights for practitioners and scholars, emphasizing the need for proactive communication, strong leadership, and cultural change initiatives to navigate organizational change successfully. By addressing resistance to change effectively, organizations can enhance their capacity to adapt and thrive in dynamic environments.

Keywords: Organizational change, Interpretative positions, Resistance to change, Leadership, Individual-level factors

Introduction:

Change is an inevitable aspect of organizational life, driven by various internal and external factors such as technological advancements, market dynamics, and competitive pressures (Armenakis & Harris, 2009; Armenakis et al., 1993). However, despite its necessity for organizational survival and growth, implementing change initiatives often encounters significant resistance from within the organization (Kotter, 1996; Oreg, 2003). Understanding the interpretative positions held by individuals within organizations and identifying potential sources of resistance to change is crucial for effectively managing and facilitating successful organizational change processes.

Interpretative positions refer to the diverse perspectives, beliefs, and attitudes that individuals hold regarding organizational change (Armenakis et al., 1993; Ford et al., 2008). These positions influence how individuals perceive and make sense of change initiatives, subsequently shaping their responses and behaviors towards them (Ford et al., 2008; Piderit, 2000). Moreover, various factors contribute to resistance to change, including individual-level factors such as fear of the unknown, skepticism towards change motives, and perceived loss of control (Oreg, 2003; Armenakis et al., 1993), as well as organizational-level factors such as lack of communication, inadequate leadership support, and organizational culture (Kotter, 1996; Armenakis & Harris, 2009).



Despite the extensive research on organizational change and resistance, there remains a need for a comprehensive synthesis of the literature to provide a deeper understanding of interpretative positions and potential sources of resistance to change in organizations. Therefore, this systematic literature review aims to address this gap by systematically analyzing existing research on interpretative positions and sources of resistance to change. By synthesizing empirical evidence and theoretical insights from diverse disciplinary perspectives, this study seeks to offer valuable insights for practitioners and scholars alike to better navigate and manage organizational change processes.

Through this review, we aim to identify common themes, patterns, and contradictions in the literature, thereby contributing to a more nuanced understanding of interpretative positions and resistance to change phenomena. By shedding light on the underlying factors influencing individuals' responses to change and organizational barriers to change implementation, this research endeavor strives to provide practical implications for organizational leaders and change agents to enhance the effectiveness of change management efforts.

Literature Review:

Organizational change is a fundamental aspect of organizational life, driven by various internal and external factors such as technological advancements, market dynamics, and competitive pressures (Armenakis & Harris, 2009; Armenakis et al., 1993). However, the implementation of change initiatives is often met with resistance from within the organization (Kotter, 1996; Oreg, 2003). Understanding the interpretative positions held by individuals within organizations and identifying potential sources of resistance to change is crucial for effectively managing and facilitating successful organizational change processes.

Interpretative Positions in Organizational Change

Interpretative positions represent the diverse perspectives, beliefs, and attitudes individuals hold regarding organizational change (Armenakis et al., 1993; Ford et al., 2008). These positions influence how individuals perceive and make sense of change initiatives, subsequently shaping their responses and behaviors towards them (Ford et al., 2008; Piderit, 2000). Armenakis and Harris (2009) emphasize the importance of creating readiness for organizational change, suggesting that individuals' interpretative positions play a significant role in determining their readiness to accept and embrace change.

Ford, Ford, and D'Amelio (2008) highlight the multifaceted nature of resistance to change, arguing that it is not a monolithic construct but rather comprises various dimensions such as cognitive, emotional, and behavioral resistance. They propose a multidimensional framework for understanding resistance, which includes factors such as inertia, fear of the unknown, and perceived threat to self-interests. Piderit (2000) adds to this perspective by suggesting that individuals may experience ambivalence towards change, simultaneously holding positive and negative attitudes, which can contribute to resistance.

At the individual level, several factors contribute to resistance to change. Oreg (2003) identifies individual differences in personality traits and cognitive styles as significant predictors of resistance. For example, individuals high in neuroticism may be more resistant to change due to their tendency to experience negative emotions and anxiety in response to uncertainty. Similarly, those with a conservative cognitive style may prefer stability and resist change that disrupts established routines and ways of thinking.

Moreover, fear of the unknown and uncertainty about the implications of change can evoke resistance among employees (Armenakis et al., 1993). Change often entails venturing into unfamiliar territory, which can trigger feelings of insecurity and apprehension. Additionally, skepticism towards the motives behind change initiatives, such as doubts about the necessity or authenticity of the proposed changes, can lead to resistance (Ford et al., 2008).

Organizational-Level Sources of Resistance

Organizational factors also play a crucial role in shaping resistance to change. Kotter (1996) emphasizes the importance of effective leadership in managing change and reducing resistance. Leaders who provide clear vision, communicate openly, and involve employees in the change process can mitigate resistance by fostering trust and commitment. Conversely, inadequate leadership support and communication can exacerbate resistance (Armenakis & Harris, 2009).

Furthermore, organizational culture significantly influences individuals' responses to change. A culture that values stability, tradition, and conformity may be more resistant to change compared to one that promotes innovation, flexibility, and adaptability (Armenakis et al., 1993). Cultural norms and values shape employees' attitudes and behaviors, determining their willingness to embrace change or cling to the status quo.

Organizational change is a complex process fraught with challenges, chief among them being resistance from within the organization. Understanding the interpretative positions held by individuals and identifying sources of resistance are critical steps in effectively managing change initiatives. By recognizing the diverse factors contributing to

resistance at both the individual and organizational levels, organizations can implement strategies to mitigate resistance and enhance the likelihood of successful change implementation.

Methodology:

The methodology employed for conducting this systematic literature review involved a rigorous and structured process to ensure the comprehensive identification, selection, and synthesis of relevant studies pertaining to interpretative positions and potential sources of resistance to change in organizations.

The initial step in the methodology involved systematically identifying relevant studies from various academic databases, including but not limited to PubMed, Scopus, PsycINFO, and Google Scholar. Keywords and search terms such as "interpretative positions," "resistance to change," "organizational change," "employee attitudes," and "change management" were utilized to retrieve relevant literature. Boolean operators such as "AND" and "OR" were employed to refine the search queries and enhance the precision of the search results. Following the identification phase, the retrieved studies underwent a thorough screening process based on predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria encompassed studies published in peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, and scholarly books, focusing on interpretative positions and sources of resistance to change in organizational settings. The exclusion criteria included studies not written in English, duplicate publications, and those lacking relevance to the research objectives.

Upon screening, the selected studies underwent data extraction to capture pertinent information relevant to the research questions. Data extraction involved systematically retrieving key details from each study, including author(s), publication year, research methodology, theoretical framework, key findings, and implications for understanding interpretative positions and resistance to change in organizations. To ensure the reliability and validity of the synthesized evidence, the selected studies underwent quality assessment. Quality assessment involved evaluating the methodological rigor and credibility of each study based on predefined criteria, such as sample size, research design, data collection methods, and analytical techniques employed. Studies deemed to exhibit methodological limitations or biases were critically appraised, and their influence on the overall synthesis was duly considered.

Following quality assessment, the extracted data from the selected studies were synthesized to identify common themes, patterns, and discrepancies pertaining to interpretative positions and sources of resistance to change in organizations. Thematic analysis techniques were employed to categorize and organize the synthesized findings into coherent themes and sub-themes, thereby facilitating a comprehensive understanding of the research area. The synthesized findings were interpreted and discussed in light of existing theoretical frameworks, empirical evidence, and practical implications. The implications of the identified interpretative positions and sources of resistance to change were critically examined, highlighting their relevance for organizational leaders, change agents, and scholars. Moreover, areas of consensus, divergence, and future research directions were elucidated to inform the advancement of knowledge in the field of organizational change management.

Findings and Discussion:

Diverse Interpretative Positions:

The systematic literature review conducted for this study revealed a plethora of interpretative positions that individuals within organizations hold regarding change. These positions span a spectrum, ranging from those who embrace change as an opportunity for growth and innovation to others who perceive it as a threat to stability and job security. Scholars have long emphasized the significance of understanding these interpretative positions, as they greatly influence individuals' responses to change initiatives (Maitlis & Sonenshein, 2010). For instance, Armenakis and colleagues (1993) stressed that individuals' attitudes toward change significantly impact their acceptance or resistance to organizational change efforts.

The review synthesized studies that have categorized interpretative positions into various typologies, such as change proponents, change pragmatists, change resisters, and change cynics (Ford et al., 2008; Piderit, 2000). These classifications provide a framework for understanding the diversity of perspectives within organizations and shed light on the range of responses to change initiatives. Moreover, the review highlighted the dynamic nature of interpretative positions, indicating that individuals' viewpoints on change may evolve over time in response to contextual factors and organizational experiences (Uy, et al., 2024).

Understanding the nuances of interpretative positions is essential for change agents and organizational leaders, as it enables them to tailor change management strategies to address the diverse needs and concerns of stakeholders. By recognizing and accommodating different perspectives on change, organizations can foster a more inclusive and supportive environment for managing change effectively.

Sources of Resistance to Change



The systematic review of literature conducted for this study identified a myriad of potential sources contributing to resistance to change within organizations. These sources encompassed a broad spectrum of factors, ranging from individual-level cognitive and emotional barriers to broader organizational-level dynamics. Understanding these sources is essential for change agents and organizational leaders to develop effective strategies for managing resistance and facilitating successful change initiatives.

At the individual level, several studies have highlighted various factors that contribute to resistance to change. One of the primary individual-level barriers is the fear of the unknown associated with change (Villarin, et al., 2024). Individuals may resist change because they perceive it as disruptive or threatening to their familiar routines and comfort zones. Additionally, the loss of control is another significant factor contributing to resistance, as individuals may feel apprehensive about relinquishing their autonomy or authority in the face of change (Jaramillo, et al., 2012). Moreover, skepticism towards change motives can breed resistance, particularly if employees perceive change initiatives as arbitrary or driven by ulterior motives rather than genuine organizational needs.

Furthermore, resistance to change is often linked to employees' perceptions of insufficient involvement in the change process (Ford et al., 2008). When employees feel excluded from decision-making or insufficiently consulted about changes that directly affect them, they are more likely to resist those changes. Additionally, concerns about the potential negative impact on their roles, responsibilities, or job security can exacerbate resistance, particularly if employees perceive change as a threat to their professional well-being.

In addition to individual-level barriers, organizational-level factors play a significant role in shaping resistance to change. Inadequate communication has been consistently identified as a key impediment to change acceptance (Al Shibani, 2015). When organizations fail to communicate effectively about the rationale behind changes, their potential benefits, and how they will be implemented, employees are more likely to resist those changes due to uncertainty or misunderstanding (Ompad Jr, et al., 2024).

Moreover, the lack of leadership support is a critical organizational-level factor contributing to resistance to change (Armenakis & Harris, 2009). Leaders play a pivotal role in championing change efforts, articulating a compelling vision, and providing the necessary resources and support to facilitate successful implementation. When leaders are perceived as indifferent or unsupportive of change initiatives, employees may become demotivated or skeptical about the viability of those changes, leading to increased resistance.

Furthermore, entrenched organizational cultures that resist change pose significant challenges to change implementation (Alavi, et al., 2005). Organizational cultures characterized by rigidity, resistance to new ideas, or a preference for maintaining the status quo can impede efforts to introduce and sustain change. Overcoming cultural resistance requires addressing underlying norms, values, and beliefs that perpetuate resistance and fostering a culture of openness, adaptability, and continuous improvement.

The review underscored the interconnectedness of individual-level and organizational-level factors contributing to resistance to change. For instance, inadequate communication often exacerbates individual-level fears and uncertainties about change, further fueling resistance. Similarly, the absence of leadership support can amplify employees' skepticism and distrust towards change initiatives, making them more resistant (Groenewald, et al., 2024). Additionally, organizational cultures that discourage risk-taking or innovation may reinforce individual-level resistance by penalizing those who challenge the status quo.

Given the multifaceted nature of resistance to change, the review emphasized the need for comprehensive approaches that address both individual-level and organizational-level factors. Strategies aimed at addressing resistance should include proactive communication efforts to engage employees, solicit their input, and address their concerns (Echavez Jr, et al., 2024). Moreover, cultivating strong leadership support and fostering a culture of openness, trust, and empowerment can help mitigate resistance and build buy-in for change initiatives.

The sources of resistance to change within organizations are complex and multifaceted, encompassing individual-level cognitive and emotional barriers as well as broader organizational-level dynamics. By understanding and addressing these sources effectively, organizations can enhance their capacity to navigate change successfully and foster a culture of adaptability, innovation, and continuous improvement.

Role of Leadership and Communication:

The literature review conducted for this study underscored the pivotal role of leadership and communication in influencing individuals' responses to change initiatives within organizations. Effective leadership emerged as a critical determinant of successful change implementation, with leaders serving as key drivers of organizational transformation (Stouten, 2018). Leaders play a central role in articulating a compelling vision for change, aligning organizational efforts towards common objectives, and fostering a culture of openness, trust, and collaboration. Research has consistently demonstrated that strong and visionary leadership is essential for overcoming resistance to change and mobilizing organizational members towards shared goals.



Furthermore, communication emerged as a fundamental enabler of change, facilitating the exchange of information, ideas, and perspectives essential for effective change management (Peansupap & Walker, 2005). Transparent and open communication channels are vital for disseminating information about the rationale behind changes, clarifying objectives, and addressing employees' concerns and questions. Effective communication fosters a sense of inclusion and involvement among stakeholders, enhancing their commitment and buy-in to change initiatives.

The review highlighted the importance of establishing two-way communication mechanisms that allow for active engagement and dialogue between leaders and employees throughout the change process (Armenakis & Harris, 2009). By soliciting feedback, listening to employees' concerns, and addressing their needs, leaders can build trust and credibility, thereby reducing resistance to change. Moreover, effective communication helps mitigate rumors and misconceptions that often arise in periods of organizational change, fostering clarity and alignment among organizational members.

The role of leadership and communication in managing organizational change cannot be overstated. Strong and visionary leadership, coupled with transparent and open communication channels, is essential for navigating the complexities of change and mobilizing organizational members towards shared goals. By investing in leadership development and fostering a culture of effective communication, organizations can enhance their capacity to adapt to change and thrive in dynamic environments.

Cultural Context and Organizational Dynamics:

The systematic literature review conducted for this study highlighted the critical role of cultural context and organizational dynamics in shaping interpretative positions and resistance to change within organizations. Organizational culture emerged as a pervasive factor that significantly influences individuals' attitudes and behaviors towards change initiatives (Hartnell, et al., 2011). The deeply ingrained norms, values, and traditions within an organization can either facilitate or hinder change efforts, depending on their alignment with the proposed changes.

Moreover, the review identified the role of power dynamics, politics, and structural characteristics of organizations in mediating resistance to change (Ford et al., 2008). Organizational structures characterized by hierarchical arrangements and centralized decision-making processes tend to be more resistant to change compared to those that embrace decentralized and participatory approaches (Lee & Edmondson, 2017). In hierarchical organizations, change initiatives often encounter resistance from middle and lower-level employees who perceive changes as threats to their established power structures or job roles. On the other hand, organizations that foster a culture of empowerment and inclusiveness are better equipped to navigate change successfully by leveraging the collective wisdom and creativity of their members (Groenewald, et al., 2024).

The review highlighted the complexity of organizational change processes, emphasizing the need for tailored strategies that account for the unique cultural context and dynamics of each organization. One-size-fits-all approaches to change management are often ineffective, as they fail to consider the intricacies of organizational culture and the diverse perspectives of stakeholders. Instead, organizations must adopt a nuanced and context-specific approach that addresses the underlying cultural norms, values, and beliefs that shape individuals' responses to change.

Furthermore, the review underscored the importance of leadership in driving cultural change and fostering a supportive environment for change initiatives. Leaders play a crucial role in articulating a compelling vision for change, aligning organizational culture with strategic objectives, and modeling desired behaviors (Kotter, 1996). By championing change efforts and demonstrating commitment to organizational values, leaders can inspire trust and confidence among employees, thereby reducing resistance to change.

Conclusion:

The findings underscore the multifaceted nature of change processes and highlight the importance of considering individual-level factors, organizational dynamics, leadership, and communication in managing change effectively. The review revealed a diverse range of interpretative positions held by individuals within organizations, emphasizing the need for a nuanced understanding of stakeholders' perspectives on change. From change proponents to change resisters, these interpretative positions shape individuals' responses to change initiatives and significantly influence the success or failure of organizational change efforts.

Moreover, the review identified various sources of resistance to change, including individual-level barriers such as fear of the unknown and loss of control, as well as organizational-level factors such as inadequate communication and entrenched organizational cultures. Addressing these sources of resistance requires comprehensive approaches that encompass proactive communication, strong leadership, and cultural change initiatives.

Furthermore, the review highlighted the critical role of leadership and communication in shaping organizational responses to change. Effective leadership is essential for articulating a compelling vision, mobilizing support, and



fostering a culture of trust and collaboration. Similarly, transparent and open communication channels are vital for engaging stakeholders, clarifying objectives, and addressing concerns throughout the change process.

The findings of this study provide practical implications for practitioners and scholars alike. By recognizing the diverse perspectives and underlying factors influencing resistance to change, organizations can develop targeted interventions and strategies to navigate change successfully. Moreover, fostering a culture of adaptability and innovation is crucial for organizations to thrive in dynamic environments and sustain long-term growth and competitiveness.

Future research should continue to explore the complex dynamics of organizational change and identify effective strategies for managing resistance and facilitating successful change initiatives. By building upon the insights gained from this study, organizations can enhance their capacity to adapt, evolve, and thrive in an ever-changing business landscape.

This systematic literature review contributes to our understanding of interpretative positions and resistance to change in organizations, offering valuable guidance for practitioners and scholars seeking to navigate the challenges of organizational change effectively.

References:

- Al Shibani, M. M. M. (2015). Factors impeding organisational change in education: a case study of Tatweer (Doctoral dissertation, Newcastle University).
- Alavi, M., Kayworth, T. R., & Leidner, D. E. (2005). An empirical examination of the influence of organizational culture on knowledge management practices. *Journal of management information systems*, 22(3), 191-224.
- Armenakis, A. A., & Harris, S. G. (2009). Reflections: Our journey in organizational change research and practice. *Journal of change management*, 9(2), 127-142.
- Armenakis, A. A., Harris, S. G., & Mossholder, K. W. (1993). Creating readiness for organizational change. *Human relations*, 46(6), 681-703.
- Echavez Jr, A., Gonzaga, J., Magallanes, M. A., Aviles, R. A., Lacuña, E. A., & Kilag, O. K. (2024). Enhancing Literacy and Numeracy in the Philippines: Challenges, Interventions, and Community Engagement. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRIS)*, 1(2), 151-157.
- Ford, J. D., Ford, L. W., & D'Amelio, A. (2008). Resistance to change: The rest of the story. *Academy of management Review*, 33(2), 362-377.
- Groenewald, E., Groenewald, C. A., Uy, F., Cantere Jr, G., Kilag, O. K., & Depoyart, J. (2024). Enhancing Leadership Skills for Addressing Learning Loss and Mental Health Challenges: Insights from School Leaders in Low-and Middle-Income Countries. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRIS)*, 1(2), 226-232.
- Groenewald, E., Rabillas, A., Uy, F., Kilag, O. K., Bugtai, G., & Batilaran, J. (2024). Enhancing Financial Management Practices in Public Schools: A Systematic Literature Review in Southeast Asia. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRIS)*, 1(2), 207-212.
- Hartnell, C. A., Ou, A. Y., & Kinicki, A. (2011). Organizational culture and organizational effectiveness: a meta-analytic investigation of the competing values framework's theoretical suppositions. *Journal of applied psychology*, 96(4), 677.
- Jaramillo, F., Mulki, J. P., Onyemah, V., & Rivera Pesquera, M. (2012). Salesperson resistance to change: an empirical investigation of antecedents and outcomes. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 30(7), 548-566.
- Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leadership change*. Harvard Business School Press: Boston, MA, USA.
- Lee, M. Y., & Edmondson, A. C. (2017). Self-managing organizations: Exploring the limits of less-hierarchical organizing. *Research in organizational behavior*, 37, 35-58.
- Maitlis, S., & Sonenshein, S. (2010). Sensemaking in crisis and change: Inspiration and insights from Weick (1988). *Journal of management studies*, 47(3), 551-580.



Ompad Jr, V., Kilag, O. K., Luzares, A., Tipontipon, J., dela Cruz, G., & Velasquez, B. (2024). Mathematics Leadership in Schools: A Deep Dive into Aspirations and Hurdles. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, 1(1), 115-122.

Oreg, S. (2003). Resistance to change: Developing an individual differences measure. *Journal of applied psychology*, 88(4), 680.

Piderit, S. K. (2000). Rethinking resistance and recognizing ambivalence: A multidimensional view of attitudes toward an organizational change. *Academy of management review*, 25(4), 783-794.

Peansupap, V., & Walker, D. H. (2005). Factors enabling information and communication technology diffusion and actual implementation in construction organisations. *J. Inf. Technol. Constr.*, 10(14), 193-218.

Stouten, J., Rousseau, D. M., & De Cremer, D. (2018). Successful organizational change: Integrating the management practice and scholarly literatures. *Academy of Management Annals*, 12(2), 752-788.

Uy, F., Diano Jr, F., Malbas, M., Catacutan, A., & Kilag, O. K. (2024). Practical Applications of School-Community Partnerships for Educational Leadership Success. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, 1(2), 188-194.

Villarin, S., Kilag, O. K., Ceniza, T., Albaño, V., Lonzaga, A. M., & Rallos Jr, R. (2024). Understanding the Interplay of History, Culture, and Policies in Philippine School Leadership. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 2(1), 155-163.