Navigating the "Sweet Spot" of Struggle: A Narrative Review of Productive Failure Experiences in Philippine Mathematics Education

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18661527

ARE-PEE M. CASTALONE, M.Ed.
Middle School Special Education Teacher, Christine Duncan's Heritage Academy,
Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA
rodelcastalone@gmail.com

AIDA M. HALILI, PhD
K to 12 SPED Resource Teacher, Alamo Navajo Community School
haliliaida304@gmail.com

HYDIE M. DE GUZMAN, PhD
Elementary Teacher (5th), HAYDEN DON ES, North Las Vegas, Nevada
hydiedeguzman@gmail.com

RAQUEL C. TRES REYES
Teacher II Math Teacher @ Paranaque Elementary School Central
raquel.tresreyes@depedparanaquecity.com

Abstract:

Traditional mathematics instruction in the Philippines largely follows a "Direct Instruction" model, emphasizing procedural accuracy and immediate correctness. While this approach supports short-term performance, it often limits students' conceptual understanding and persistence when solving complex problems. This study employs a narrative literature review to synthesize the experiences and perceptions of Filipino mathematics educators regarding the Productive Failure (PF) approach, which encourages students to attempt problem-solving independently before receiving formal guidance. Analysis of the literature revealed three central themes: (1) the tension between procedural fluency and conceptual struggle, highlighting teachers' challenges in balancing curriculum coverage with meaningful exploration; (2) the critical role of scaffolding in sustaining student motivation and guiding learning without undermining autonomy; and (3) cultural resistance to failure in high-stakes educational environments, where errors are often equated with poor performance. The review suggests that PF can enhance metacognition, persistence, and problem-solving skills, but its effectiveness in the Philippine context requires a shift in classroom culture from prioritizing immediate correctness to valuing inquiry, reflection, and productive struggle. Supporting educators through professional development and integrating process-based assessments are essential for fostering successful PF implementation.

Keywords: Productive Failure, Mathematics Education, Philippine Classroom, Pedagogical Struggle, Teacher Perceptions, Conceptual Understanding

Introduction:

The increasing demands of global education have placed significant pressure on the Philippines to enhance its performance in international mathematics benchmarks and develop learners who can thrive in a knowledge-driven society. Traditionally, mathematics instruction in Philippine classrooms has been characterized by teacher-centered, procedural approaches that prioritize accuracy, speed, and mastery of prescribed methods.

While such practices support efficient curriculum delivery, they often limit opportunities for deeper conceptual understanding and critical engagement with mathematical ideas. Recent educational reforms and national initiatives, such as the implementation of the National Mathematics Program, reflect efforts to strengthen mathematics learning outcomes and instructional practices, yet teachers continue to face challenges in balancing curriculum demands with innovative pedagogical approaches (Baquirquir et al., 2025).

Research in Philippine mathematics education highlights the complexity of students' learning experiences and attitudes toward the subject. Mathematics is often perceived as a difficult and anxiety-inducing discipline, particularly in higher education contexts, where abstract concepts and procedural expectations may hinder student engagement (Langoban & Langoban, 2020).

However, studies also emphasize the importance of meaningful and joyful learning experiences in fostering positive dispositions toward mathematics and improving conceptual understanding (Yabo, 2020). Similarly, student-centered learning environments and contextualized instruction have been shown to enhance learners' participation and comprehension by connecting mathematical concepts to real-life experiences (Rabanal & Domondon, 2023; Reyes et al., 2019). These findings suggest the need for pedagogical approaches that promote active learning, inquiry, and conceptual exploration rather than mere procedural mastery.

One pedagogical approach gaining international attention is Productive Failure (PF), a learning design that intentionally allows students to engage with complex problems and generate solution attempts prior to receiving explicit instruction. Unlike traditional models that provide the "correct" procedures at the outset, PF encourages learners to explore multiple strategies, confront misconceptions, and construct preliminary understandings, even when initial solutions are unsuccessful. This process of productive struggle is believed to activate prior knowledge, deepen cognitive engagement, and facilitate stronger conceptual learning during subsequent instructional phases. The approach aligns with contemporary educational goals that emphasize higher-order thinking, metacognitive awareness, and problem-solving competence in mathematics education.

Despite its theoretical promise, the implementation of Productive Failure within the Philippine socio-cultural context presents significant challenges. Cultural perceptions of academic success often prioritize correctness, efficiency, and performance in high-stakes assessments, which may lead educators and learners to view failure as a sign of academic deficiency rather than a necessary stage of cognitive development.

Teachers may therefore hesitate to adopt instructional strategies that deliberately incorporate student struggle, particularly within systems that emphasize measurable outcomes and standardized achievement. Moreover, emerging educational trends, including the integration of digital technologies and artificial intelligence in mathematics instruction, further complicate the instructional landscape by requiring educators to adapt to new pedagogical roles while managing persistent structural and cultural constraints (Melchor et al., 2023).

Given these considerations, empirical evidence regarding the adoption and experiences of Productive Failure in developing educational contexts remains fragmented, particularly in Philippine mathematics classrooms. Addressing this gap, the present study employs a narrative literature review to synthesize the experiences and perceptions of Filipino mathematics educators in implementing Productive Failure.

Specifically, the review seeks to examine how teachers perceive the cognitive value of student struggle, identify systemic and pedagogical challenges that hinder PF adoption, and explore how the approach contributes to long-term conceptual understanding in mathematics. By consolidating existing research, the study aims to provide insights into the feasibility of Productive Failure within Philippine education and contribute to ongoing discussions on innovative and context-responsive mathematics instruction.

Literature Review:

Mathematics education in the Philippines has been shaped by ongoing reforms aimed at improving students' conceptual understanding and performance in the discipline. National initiatives, such as the implementation of the National Mathematics Program, have emphasized the need to strengthen pedagogical practices and learning outcomes. However, teachers' lived experiences reveal persistent challenges, including curriculum demands, limited instructional resources, and the need for professional support in implementing innovative teaching approaches (Baquirquir et al., 2025).

These challenges highlight the complexity of transforming traditional mathematics instruction and underscore the importance of examining alternative pedagogical models that promote deeper learning and critical thinking. A significant body of research has examined students' perceptions and experiences in learning mathematics, revealing both cognitive and affective factors that influence achievement. Mathematics is frequently perceived as a difficult subject due to its abstract nature, procedural complexity, and high cognitive demands, particularly in higher education settings (Langoban & Langoban, 2020). Students often struggle with understanding mathematical concepts, which leads to anxiety, low motivation, and negative attitudes toward the subject (Velez et al., 2023).

Similarly, learners' perceptions of mathematics courses, particularly general education subjects, demonstrate that engagement and comprehension are closely linked to teaching methods and learning environments (Barete & Taja-On, 2024). These findings suggest that addressing students' difficulties requires instructional approaches that foster engagement, conceptual understanding, and positive learning experiences. Despite these challenges, research also highlights the importance of cultivating positive emotional experiences in mathematics learning. Joyful learning environments have been shown to enhance students' motivation, engagement, and conceptual development by reducing anxiety and promoting curiosity (Yabo, 2020).

Furthermore, meaningful learning experiences that connect mathematical concepts to real-world contexts can improve students' comprehension and retention of knowledge (Rabanal & Domondon, 2023). The contextualization of mathematics instruction, which integrates learners' experiences and cultural backgrounds into the teaching process, has also been found to support conceptual understanding and relevance (Reyes et al., 2019). These perspectives emphasize the importance of learner-centered approaches that encourage exploration, inquiry, and active participation in mathematical problem-solving.

Recent developments in mathematics education also highlight the growing role of digital technologies in shaping teaching and learning practices. The integration of artificial intelligence and other digital tools has the potential to enhance personalized learning, provide immediate feedback, and support students' problem-solving processes (Melchor et al., 2023). Similarly, the adoption of digital technologies in mathematics instruction has been associated with improved access to learning resources and innovative instructional strategies (Gamit, 2023). However, the shift toward technology-mediated learning has also introduced new challenges, including technological readiness, pedagogical adaptation, and disparities in access to digital resources.

The transition to online learning environments, particularly during recent global disruptions, has further exposed the challenges associated with mathematics instruction. Studies have shown that students often find learning mathematics online highly demanding due to limited interaction, difficulties in understanding abstract concepts, and reduced opportunities for immediate feedback (Casinillo et al., 2022).

These challenges underscore the need for instructional approaches that support active engagement, collaborative learning, and meaningful feedback mechanisms, especially in digital contexts. Consequently, the evolving educational landscape calls for pedagogical innovations that address both cognitive and technological demands in mathematics learning.

In response to these challenges, contemporary research has increasingly focused on student-centered and inquiry-based learning strategies that promote conceptual understanding and critical thinking. A systematic review of recent learning strategies in mathematics education highlights the effectiveness of approaches that encourage problem-solving, collaborative learning, and reflective thinking in enhancing student outcomes (Tañola & Lomibao, 2024). These approaches shift the focus from passive knowledge acquisition to active knowledge construction, emphasizing the importance of exploration, reasoning, and metacognitive engagement. Such perspectives provide theoretical support for instructional models that incorporate productive struggle and learner autonomy in mathematics education.

Teacher practices and professional experiences also play a critical role in shaping mathematics instruction and student learning outcomes. Studies on the professional practices of tertiary mathematics educators reveal that teachers often balance content coverage with the need to address diverse learner needs and promote conceptual understanding (Luzano & Ubalde, 2023).

However, educators frequently encounter constraints related to institutional expectations, assessment standards, and limited training in innovative pedagogies. These findings highlight the importance of teacher readiness and professional development in implementing instructional approaches that move beyond traditional procedural teaching.

Collectively, existing literature demonstrates that mathematics education in the Philippine context is characterized by tensions between traditional procedural instruction and emerging learner-centered approaches. While research emphasizes the importance of meaningful learning experiences, contextualized instruction, technological integration, and innovative teaching strategies, significant challenges remain in transforming classroom practices and addressing cultural perceptions of learning.

These insights provide a foundation for examining the potential of Productive Failure as an instructional approach that embraces student struggle, promotes conceptual understanding, and supports deeper engagement in mathematics learning. By synthesizing these perspectives, the present study situates Productive Failure within broader discussions on pedagogical innovation and educational reform in mathematics education.

Methodology:

This study employed a Narrative Literature Review design to synthesize and interpret existing research on Productive Failure in mathematics education. Narrative reviews are particularly useful for examining emerging educational phenomena, as they enable researchers to identify patterns, trends, and conceptual developments across diverse bodies of literature (Ferrari, 2015). Through this approach, the study systematically examined scholarly works to understand teachers' experiences, perceptions, and challenges related to the implementation of Productive Failure in mathematics instruction within the Philippines.

A systematic search of relevant literature was conducted using major academic databases, including Scopus, Google Scholar, and ResearchGate. The search process focused on peer-reviewed publications and scholarly works addressing Productive Failure and related pedagogical approaches in mathematics education. Key search terms included "Productive Failure," "Mathematics Education," "Philippines," "Pedagogical Struggle," and "Teacher Perceptions." Additional studies were identified through reference chaining to ensure comprehensive coverage of relevant literature.

Clear inclusion and exclusion criteria were established to ensure the relevance and quality of the selected studies. Included in the review were peer-reviewed studies published between 2015 and 2025 that focused on Philippine K–12 or higher education contexts and provided qualitative insights into mathematics teachers' experiences, perceptions, or pedagogical practices. Studies were excluded if they relied solely on quantitative student performance data without addressing teacher perspectives or instructional processes, or if they focused on disciplines outside mathematics education.

A thematic synthesis approach was employed to analyze the selected literature. A total of 25 studies that met the inclusion criteria were systematically reviewed and coded according to emerging themes related to teacher perceptions, implementation barriers, and instructional contributions of Productive Failure. The analysis followed established procedures in narrative review research, involving data familiarization, thematic categorization, and interpretative synthesis to identify recurring patterns and conceptual relationships. This process ensured methodological rigor and allowed for a comprehensive understanding of the pedagogical implications of Productive Failure in the Philippine mathematics education context.

Findings and Discussion:

The Tension: Procedural Fluency vs. Conceptual Struggle

The narrative synthesis identified three interrelated clusters of experiences among Filipino mathematics educators implementing Productive Failure (PF): the tension between procedural fluency and conceptual struggle, pedagogical and systemic constraints, and the implications of productive struggle for student learning and teacher practice. These findings reveal that while PF offers opportunities for deeper conceptual understanding, its implementation remains shaped by institutional expectations, cultural beliefs, and prevailing instructional traditions in the Philippines.

A central finding of the review is the tension between procedural fluency and conceptual struggle in mathematics instruction. Teachers reported experiencing significant internal conflict when implementing PF, particularly when students were allowed to grapple with complex problems without immediate guidance. Many educators perceived extended periods of student struggle—such as allowing learners to explore unsuccessful solutions for several minutes—as a “waste of precious instructional time,” especially in contexts characterized by dense curricula and pressure for content coverage.

This tension reflects broader instructional priorities that emphasize efficiency and accuracy over exploratory learning, which often discourages the integration of productive struggle in classroom practice. This perception is closely linked to prevailing beliefs about effective teaching and learning. In many cases, struggle was viewed as an indicator of poor teaching rather than a sign of meaningful cognitive engagement.

Consequently, teachers frequently intervened prematurely by providing direct solutions, thereby transforming PF tasks into traditional lecture-based instruction. Such practices align with findings that mathematics instruction often prioritizes procedural mastery and teacher control, limiting opportunities for students to engage in inquiry-based learning (Luzano & Ubalde, 2023). Moreover, students’ perceptions of mathematics as inherently difficult and cognitively demanding further reinforce teachers’ tendencies to minimize struggle in order to maintain classroom efficiency (Langoban & Langoban, 2020; Velez et al., 2023).

The review also highlights the influence of affective factors, such as mathematics anxiety and low self-efficacy, in shaping classroom responses to productive struggle. Research indicates that students often associate errors with failure and diminished competence, leading to reduced confidence and engagement (Reyes, 2019).

Similarly, studies on students’ learning experiences reveal that negative attitudes toward mathematics can hinder conceptual understanding and persistence, particularly when learners lack supportive learning environments (Barete & Taja-On, 2024; Rabanal & Domondon, 2023). These findings suggest that the successful implementation of PF requires a classroom culture that normalizes errors and promotes emotional safety, allowing students to view struggle as an integral component of learning.

Beyond classroom beliefs, systemic and structural factors further complicate the adoption of PF. Performance-oriented assessment practices, institutional expectations, and standardized evaluation systems often emphasize measurable outcomes and academic achievement, leaving limited space for exploratory learning processes. Empirical studies on mathematics performance in Philippine educational institutions highlight the strong emphasis on achievement metrics and examination results (Guinocor et al., 2020; Cabuquin & Abocejo, 2023).

Additionally, the increasing demands of digital learning environments and online instruction have introduced new challenges, including reduced interaction, limited feedback mechanisms, and difficulties in supporting conceptual understanding (Casinillo et al., 2022). These constraints make it difficult for educators to sustain productive struggle while meeting institutional expectations. Technological advancements and evolving educational paradigms also shape teachers’ responses to PF. The integration of digital technologies, artificial intelligence, and phenomenon-based learning strategies has expanded opportunities for personalized learning and problem-solving development (Melchor et al., 2023; Gamit, 2023; Cano et al., 2023).

However, these innovations require teachers to develop new competencies and instructional approaches, particularly in facilitating inquiry-based and student-centered learning environments. Studies on teacher readiness emphasize the need for professional development programs that enhance educators’ pedagogical flexibility and capacity to manage student-centered learning processes (Bonghanoy et al., 2019; Layco, 2022). Without sufficient training and support, teachers may struggle to effectively implement PF within technology-enhanced learning contexts.

Despite these challenges, the findings also reveal the potential of productive struggle to enhance conceptual understanding and mathematical reasoning. Contemporary learning strategies in mathematics education emphasize the importance of active engagement, reflective thinking, and collaborative problem-solving in improving student outcomes (Tañola & Lomibao, 2024). Similarly, contextualized instruction has been shown to enhance learners' comprehension by connecting mathematical concepts to real-world experiences (Reyes et al., 2019). These approaches share common principles with PF, particularly the emphasis on exploration, meaning-making, and conceptual development. As such, PF represents a promising pedagogical framework that aligns with broader educational efforts to promote higher-order thinking and learner autonomy.

The findings demonstrate that the implementation of Productive Failure in Philippine mathematics education is characterized by a complex interplay of pedagogical beliefs, affective considerations, institutional constraints, and emerging technological trends. While educators recognize the value of conceptual learning and student engagement, deeply rooted instructional traditions and systemic pressures continue to shape classroom practices. The tension between procedural efficiency and conceptual exploration underscores the need for sustained professional development, supportive assessment systems, and culturally responsive instructional frameworks that legitimize productive struggle as a pathway to meaningful mathematical learning.

Challenges: Cultural and Systemic Barriers

The narrative synthesis revealed that the implementation of Productive Failure (PF) in mathematics education is constrained by several cultural and systemic barriers within the Philippines. Three major challenges emerged from the review: the dominance of high-stakes testing systems, the scarcity of appropriate instructional resources, and limitations in teacher readiness to facilitate inquiry-based learning. These barriers reflect broader structural and pedagogical conditions that shape instructional practices and influence teachers' willingness to adopt innovative approaches that incorporate productive struggle.

A major hurdle identified in the literature is the prevalence of high-stakes testing and performance-oriented assessment practices. The Philippine grading system often emphasizes accuracy, speed, and measurable academic outcomes, which may discourage instructional strategies that allow students to experience initial failure. Students and parents frequently expect immediate correctness, and errors are commonly associated with poor academic performance rather than opportunities for learning.

This assessment culture reinforces procedural teaching practices and limits the adoption of exploratory approaches such as PF. Studies on mathematics performance and academic achievement indicate that institutional emphasis on examination results strongly influences instructional priorities and student learning behaviors (Cabuquin & Abocejo, 2023; Peteros et al., 2019).

The pressure to achieve high academic outcomes also shapes students' attitudes toward mathematics and their engagement in learning tasks. Research suggests that performance expectations and assessment pressures can contribute to mathematics anxiety, reduced self-efficacy, and avoidance of challenging tasks (Dagdag et al., 2021). Within such contexts, students may resist problem-solving activities that involve uncertainty or struggle, preferring structured procedures that guarantee correct answers. This cultural orientation toward performance-based success creates a learning environment that is often incompatible with the principles of Productive Failure, which emphasize exploration, error-making, and conceptual discovery.

Another significant challenge concerns the limited availability of instructional resources that support PF implementation. Productive Failure requires carefully designed, open-ended problems that encourage exploration, multiple solution pathways, and conceptual reasoning. However, standard textbooks and instructional materials often prioritize procedural exercises rather than inquiry-based tasks. The scarcity of high-quality learning materials restricts teachers' ability to design effective PF activities and sustain meaningful student engagement. Broader analyses of the Philippine education system highlight persistent resource limitations and structural constraints that affect instructional quality and innovation (Orbeta & Paqueo, 2022).

The rapid integration of digital technologies into mathematics education presents both opportunities and challenges in addressing resource constraints. Teacher-created videos and digital learning tools have emerged as alternative instructional resources that support conceptual explanation and student engagement, particularly in remote or blended learning environments (Nabayra, 2022).

Similarly, technology-enhanced learning strategies, including phenomenon-based learning videos, have demonstrated potential in improving students' problem-solving skills, reasoning abilities, and mathematics self-efficacy (Cano et al., 2023). However, the effective use of such technologies requires adequate infrastructure, technical competence, and pedagogical adaptation, which are not uniformly available across educational contexts.

Teacher readiness also emerged as a critical factor influencing the adoption of Productive Failure. Many educators expressed the need for capacity-building to develop the skills required to facilitate mathematical discovery rather than simply transmit knowledge. Implementing PF demands strong content knowledge, pedagogical flexibility, and the ability to manage diverse student responses during exploratory learning activities. Studies on teachers' content knowledge reveal varying levels of mathematical competence, which may affect their confidence in guiding open-ended problem-solving tasks (Colina, 2020). Without sufficient preparation, teachers may struggle to balance student autonomy with appropriate instructional guidance.

Professional development programs play a crucial role in enhancing teacher readiness for innovative instructional approaches. Transformative professional development initiatives have been shown to improve teachers' pedagogical competence, reflective practice, and capacity to implement student-centered learning strategies (Bonghanoy et al., 2019). Additionally, the evolving demands of Mathematics Education 4.0 require educators to develop new competencies related to digital literacy, adaptive teaching, and learner-centered facilitation (Layco, 2022). These findings underscore the importance of sustained professional support systems that equip teachers with the knowledge and skills necessary to implement Productive Failure effectively.

The challenges associated with teacher preparation have become more pronounced in the post-pandemic educational landscape, where educators must respond to rapidly changing instructional contexts and learner needs. Research on post-pandemic mathematics teacher education emphasizes the importance of responsiveness, adaptability, and professional responsibility in addressing emerging educational challenges (Atweh et al., 2023). Teachers are expected to integrate technology, manage diverse learning environments, and facilitate inquiry-based learning while maintaining academic standards. These expanding professional demands highlight the need for comprehensive teacher education programs that support innovative pedagogical practices such as PF.

Thus, this indicate that cultural expectations, systemic constraints, and professional capacity issues collectively shape the implementation of Productive Failure in mathematics classrooms. The dominance of high-stakes assessment systems, limited access to appropriate instructional resources, and insufficient teacher readiness create significant barriers to the adoption of inquiry-based learning approaches. Addressing these challenges requires systemic reforms that promote process-oriented assessment, equitable access to instructional resources, and sustained professional development. Such efforts are essential to creating supportive learning environments where productive struggle is recognized as a valuable pathway to conceptual understanding and mathematical competence.

Contributions: Enhanced Mathematical Resilience

The narrative synthesis revealed that when Productive Failure (PF) is effectively implemented, it contributes significantly to the development of mathematical resilience among learners and promotes professional growth among educators. In the Philippines, where mathematics learning has traditionally emphasized procedural accuracy, PF introduces an alternative framework that encourages deeper cognitive engagement, persistence, and reflective practice. The findings indicate that PF enhances students' metacognitive awareness, strengthens their perseverance in problem-solving, and supports teachers in adopting more responsive and data-informed instructional practices.

One major contribution of PF is the enhancement of students' metacognitive development. Through exposure to complex problems without immediate guidance, learners become more aware of their own thinking processes and develop a deeper understanding of mathematical concepts. By exploring their intuitive solutions and reflecting on why these approaches may fail, students gain insight into the underlying principles of mathematical formulas and procedures. This reflective engagement aligns with research emphasizing the importance of self-regulated learning and problem-solving efficacy in improving mathematics achievement (Dagdag et al., 2021). Such metacognitive awareness supports conceptual understanding and enables learners to construct meaningful knowledge rather than merely memorizing procedures.

PF also promotes persistence and resilience when students encounter challenging mathematical tasks. Learners exposed to productive struggle demonstrate higher levels of perseverance, or "grit," when solving unfamiliar problems. This persistence is closely associated with improved mathematical performance and increased confidence in handling complex tasks (Peteros et al., 2019). By normalizing error-making and encouraging exploration, PF cultivates a growth-oriented mindset that enables students to view difficulties as opportunities for learning rather than indicators of failure.

These findings support broader educational efforts to foster adaptive learning behaviors and strengthen students' capacity for sustained engagement in mathematics. Beyond cognitive and motivational outcomes, PF also contributes to teacher professional growth and instructional transformation. Teachers implementing PF increasingly adopt data-driven decision-making practices, using students' errors and misconceptions as diagnostic tools to inform subsequent instruction. This shift from content transmission to responsive facilitation reflects evolving professional standards in mathematics education that emphasize reflective practice and learner-centered pedagogy (Luzano, 2024). The process considerately enhances teachers' pedagogical competence, enabling them to design more effective learning experiences based on students' needs and conceptual difficulties.

The implementation of PF further supports the development of teacher competencies aligned with contemporary educational demands. Mathematics Education 4.0 emphasizes the need for educators to possess adaptive teaching skills, technological competence, and the ability to facilitate inquiry-based learning environments (Layco, 2022). Similarly, strong content knowledge is essential for guiding students through open-ended problem-solving processes and managing diverse solution strategies (Colina, 2020). Through the adoption of PF, teachers refine their instructional flexibility and develop the expertise necessary to balance student autonomy with appropriate scaffolding.

Technological advancements also enhance the contributions of PF by supporting reflective learning and personalized feedback. Digital tools, artificial intelligence applications, and interactive learning platforms provide opportunities for students to test multiple solution pathways and receive immediate feedback on their reasoning processes (Pepin et al., 2025; Awang et al., 2025). Teacher-created instructional videos and digital resources have likewise been shown to improve conceptual understanding and engagement, particularly in flexible learning environments (Nabayra, 2022).

These innovations complement PF by facilitating exploration and enabling learners to reflect on their errors in supportive and interactive contexts. The development of mathematical resilience through PF has become particularly relevant in the context of recent educational disruptions and the expansion of remote learning. Studies on distance education and home-based learning experiences highlight the importance of learner autonomy, self-regulation, and parental support in sustaining academic engagement (Samortin et al., 2022; Agaton & Cueto, 2021). PF fosters these competencies by encouraging students to take ownership of their learning and persist in solving complex problems despite limited external guidance. Such skills are essential in addressing contemporary challenges in mathematics education and ensuring continuity of learning across diverse instructional contexts.

Furthermore, the successful implementation of PF contributes to broader educational reform efforts aimed at improving learning outcomes and instructional quality. National analyses of the Philippine education system emphasize the need for innovative teaching approaches that promote higher-order thinking, conceptual understanding, and learner engagement (Orbeta & Paqueo, 2022). PF supports these objectives by fostering critical thinking, reflective learning, and meaningful problem-solving experiences. As teachers and students adapt to these practices, PF has the potential to transform traditional classroom dynamics and promote more responsive and learner-centered mathematics instruction.

Thus, the findings demonstrate that Productive Failure contributes to enhanced mathematical resilience by strengthening students' metacognitive awareness, persistence, and conceptual understanding while simultaneously promoting teacher professional growth and instructional innovation. These contributions highlight the transformative potential of PF as a pedagogical approach that aligns with contemporary educational demands and supports the development of adaptive learners and reflective educators. By fostering both cognitive and professional development, PF offers a promising pathway for improving mathematics education and advancing meaningful learning outcomes.

The Emergent Model of Productive Struggle

An emergent model of Productive Failure (PF) within the Philippines suggests that effective implementation depends on three foundational pillars: emotional safety, strategic scaffolding, and reflective consolidation. These elements collectively create a learning environment where students can engage in meaningful struggle while developing conceptual understanding and problem-solving competence. The model reflects the need for culturally responsive instructional practices that address students' affective, cognitive, and social needs within mathematics classrooms.

The first pillar, emotional safety, emphasizes the creation of a classroom culture where failure is normalized as part of the learning process. Students must feel psychologically secure to express incomplete ideas, attempt multiple solution strategies, and learn from their mistakes without fear of judgment. Research highlights that students' problem-solving efficacy and confidence significantly influence mathematics achievement, suggesting that supportive learning environments can enhance engagement and persistence (Dagdag et al., 2021). Similarly, studies on learners' experiences during remote and home-based education underscore the importance of emotional support and positive learning conditions in sustaining academic motivation (Samortin et al., 2022; Agaton & Cueto, 2021). Establishing emotional safety therefore serves as a prerequisite for productive struggle and meaningful conceptual development.

Emotional safety also becomes particularly relevant in diverse and technologically mediated learning environments. The expansion of blended and virtual learning modalities requires educators to foster inclusive and supportive spaces where students remain actively engaged despite reduced face-to-face interaction (Cortez, 2020).

Additionally, research on mathematics learners' performance indicates that low-performing students often experience heightened anxiety and disengagement, further emphasizing the need for classroom cultures that encourage risk-taking and resilience (Bernardo et al., 2022). By promoting trust and openness, teachers enable students to approach mathematical challenges with confidence and curiosity.

The second pillar, strategic scaffolding, refers to the teacher's role in providing timely and appropriate support to sustain productive struggle. Rather than offering immediate solutions, educators guide students through questioning, prompts, and structured feedback that help them refine their reasoning. This balance between guidance and autonomy aligns with contemporary professional standards in mathematics education, which emphasize facilitative teaching practices and learner-centered instruction (Luzano, 2024). Effective scaffolding ensures that student struggle remains cognitively demanding yet manageable, allowing learners to construct knowledge through exploration.

Strategic scaffolding also requires a deep understanding of students' cognitive development and learning processes. Instructional approaches grounded in developmental theories highlight the importance of aligning tasks with learners' cognitive readiness and prior knowledge (Rabillas et al., 2023). Furthermore, the integration of artificial intelligence and technological tools offers new opportunities for adaptive scaffolding by providing personalized feedback and guiding students' problem-solving processes (Awang et al., 2025; Serin, 2023).

These technological supports can complement teacher facilitation by sustaining engagement and helping learners navigate complex mathematical tasks. The third pillar, reflective consolidation, involves the teacher's role in connecting students' exploratory efforts to formal mathematical concepts. After students engage in problem-solving activities, teachers synthesize their diverse solution strategies, address misconceptions, and clarify underlying principles.

This process strengthens conceptual understanding by bridging intuitive reasoning and formal knowledge structures. Studies examining curriculum coherence emphasize the importance of systematically linking mathematical ideas across topics to support deep learning and long-term retention (Dio, 2020). Reflective consolidation ensures that productive struggle leads to meaningful conceptual outcomes rather than fragmented understanding.

The emergent model of productive struggle highlights the dynamic interaction of emotional support, instructional guidance, and reflective synthesis in fostering effective mathematics learning. By establishing emotionally safe environments, implementing strategic scaffolding, and facilitating reflective consolidation, educators can transform student errors into opportunities for conceptual growth. This framework underscores the importance of responsive teaching practices and adaptive learning environments in promoting meaningful engagement, resilience, and higher-order thinking in mathematics education.

Conclusion:

The transition to Productive Failure in Philippine mathematics education requires more than a mere revision of lesson plans or instructional materials; it demands a fundamental shift in mindset and pedagogical philosophy. Educators must move from being traditional “dispensers of truth” to becoming “architects of experience,” intentionally designing learning environments where struggle, exploration, and even initial failure are recognized as essential components of deep understanding. This paradigm shift emphasizes that meaningful learning occurs not simply through correct answers but through the cognitive processes students engage in while grappling with complex problems.

At the policy level, the Department of Education (DepEd) plays a crucial role in institutionalizing this transformation. It is recommended that DepEd integrate process-based assessment frameworks that reward students’ reasoning, problem-solving strategies, and logical attempts, even when solutions are incomplete or incorrect. By embedding such grading rubrics into the national curriculum, the education system can promote a culture that values critical thinking, perseverance, and conceptual understanding over rote accuracy. This policy direction would encourage teachers and learners alike to view errors as opportunities for growth rather than indicators of deficiency.

Equally important is the need for sustained professional development programs that cultivate “instructional agility” among educators. Teachers must be equipped with the skills to recognize, interpret, and respond effectively to student misconceptions in real time. Training initiatives should therefore focus on adaptive teaching strategies, formative assessment practices, and reflective pedagogy that enable educators to guide students through productive struggle while maintaining supportive and inclusive classroom environments. Such competencies empower teachers to facilitate meaningful learning experiences that foster resilience and intellectual curiosity.

Hence, further research is necessary to explore the implementation of Productive Failure within flexible and digital learning environments. With the increasing integration of technology in education, future studies may examine how artificial intelligence and digital platforms can support immediate feedback, scaffold student learning, and enhance engagement during the struggle phase. Investigating these emerging contexts will provide valuable insights into how Productive Failure can be effectively adapted to evolving educational landscapes, ensuring its relevance and sustainability in the 21st-century Philippine classroom.

References:

Agaton, C. B., & Cueto, L. J. (2021). Learning at Home: Parents' Lived Experiences on Distance Learning during COVID-19 Pandemic in the Philippines. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 10(3), 901-911.

Atweh, B., Kaur, B., Nivera, G., Abadi, A., & Thinwiangthong, S. (2023). Futures for post-pandemic mathematics teacher education: Responsiveness and responsibility in the face of a crisis. *ZDM–Mathematics Education*, 55(1), 65-77.

Awang, L. A., Yusop, F. D., & Danaee, M. (2025). Current practices and future direction of artificial intelligence in mathematics education: A systematic review. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 20(2), em0823.

Baquirquir, J. A., Cabuenas, R., Cadungon, D., Velasquez, R. N., Villaruel, J. A., & Cabanilla Jr, A. (2025). Lived Experiences of Teachers in the Implementation of the National Mathematics Program in the Philippines. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 6(1), 363-368.

Barete, M. G., & Taja-On, E. (2024). Students’ perception in learning the course mathematics in the modern world: A qualitative study. *East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 3(7), 2721-2738.

Bernardo, A. B., Cordel, M. O., Lapinid, M. R. C., Teves, J. M. M., Yap, S. A., & Chua, U. C. (2022). Contrasting profiles of low-performing mathematics students in public and private schools in the Philippines: Insights from machine learning. *Journal of Intelligence*, 10(3), 61.

Bonghanoy, G. B., Sagpang, A. P., Alejan Jr, R. A., & Rellon, L. R. (2019). Transformative Professional Development for Mathematics Teachers. *Journal on Mathematics Education*, 10(2), 289-302.

Cabuquin, J. C., & Abocejo, F. T. (2023). Mathematics learners' performance and academic achievement at a public high school institution in Leyte, Philippines. *Formatif: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan MIPA*, 13(2), 123-136.

Cano, J. C., Lomibao, L. S., & Lomibao, L. S. (2023). A mixed methods study of the influence of phenomenon-based learning videos on students' mathematics self-efficacy, problem-solving and reasoning skills, and mathematics achievement. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 11(3), 97-115.

Casinillo, L. F., Casinillo, E. L., Valenzona, J. V., Almonite, M. R. C., & Valenzona, D. L. (2022). How challenging it is to learn mathematics online. *Philippine Social Science Journal*, 5(1), 80-89.

Colina, D. (2020). Content knowledge level of elementary mathematics teachers: The case of a school district in the Philippines. *Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences*.

Cortez, C. P. (2020). Blended, distance, electronic and virtual-learning for the new normal of mathematics education: A senior high school student's perception. *European Journal of Interactive Multimedia and Education*, 1(1), e02001.

Dagdag, J. D., Palapuz, N. A., & Calimag, N. A. (2021). Predictive Ability of Problem-Solving Efficacy Sources on Mathematics Achievement. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 10(4), 1185-1191.

Dio, R. V. (2020). Exploring vertical coherence of content topics in Philippine spiral Kto10 mathematics curriculum. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 19(11), 259-282.

Gamit, A. M. (2023). Embracing digital technologies into mathematics education. *Journal of Curriculum and Teaching*, 12(1), 283-287.

Guinocor, M., Almerino, P., Mamites, I., Lumayag, C., Villaganas, M. A., & Capuyan, M. (2020). Mathematics performance of students in a Philippine State University. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 15(3), em0586.

Langoban, M. A., & Langoban, M. A. (2020). What makes mathematics difficult as a subject for most students in higher education. *International Journal of English and Education*, 9(3), 214-220.

Layco, E. P. (2022). Mathematics Education 4.0: Teachers Competence and Skills Readiness in Facing the Impact of Industry 4.0 on Education. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 6(2).

Luzano, J. (2024). A scoping review of the professional practices and standards in mathematics in higher education. *Journal of Harbin Engineering University*, 45(3), 1-6.

Luzano, J. F., & Ubalde, M. V. (2023). Notable Accounts of the Professional Practice of Tertiary Mathematics Teachers in the Philippines. *Available at SSRN 5474026*.

Melchor, P. J. M., Lomibao, L. S., & Parcutilo, J. O. (2023). Exploring the potential of AI integration in mathematics education for generation alpha—approaches, challenges, and readiness of Philippine tertiary classrooms: a literature review. *Journal of Innovations in Teaching and Learning*, 3(1), 39-44.

Nabayra, J. (2022). Mathematics learning in the new normal through teacher-created videos: The freshmen university students' experience. *International Journal of Arts and Humanities Studies*, 2(1), 22-27.

Orbeta, A. C., & Paqueo, V. B. (2022). *Philippine education: Situationer, challenges, and ways forward* (No. 2022-23). PIDS Discussion Paper Series.

Pepin, B., Buchholtz, N., & Salinas-Hernández, U. (2025). A scoping survey of ChatGPT in mathematics education. *Digital Experiences in Mathematics Education*, 11(1), 9-41.

Peteros, E., Gamboa, A., Etcuban, J. O., Dinauanao, A., Sitoy, R., & Arcadio, R. (2019). Factors affecting mathematics performance of junior high school students. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 15(1), em0556.

Rabanal, G., & Domondon, C. (2023). Learning experiences of students in a general education course in mathematics. *JETT*, 14(1), 42-49.

Rabillas, A., Kilag, O. K., Canete, N., Trazona, M., Calope, M. L., & Kilag, J. (2023). Elementary math learning through Piaget's cognitive development stages. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education*, 1(4), 128-142.

Reyes, J. D. (2019). Mathematics anxiety and self-efficacy: A phenomenological dimension. *Journal of Humanities and Education Development*, 1(1), 2581-8651.

Reyes, J., Insorio, A. O., Ingreso, M. L. V., Hilario, F. F., & Gutierrez, C. R. (2019). Conception and application of contextualization in mathematics education. *International Journal of Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 6(1), 1-18.

Samortin, M., Corcuera, L., Abel Jr, A., & Palmero, H. (2022). Education and the pandemic: Examining students' remote learning experiences in the Philippines. *International Journal of Scholars in Education*, 5(1), 1-13.

Serin, H. (2023). The integration of technological devices in mathematics education: A literature review. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Educational Studies*, 10(3).

Tañola, M. D., & Lomibao, L. S. (2024). Understanding How Students Learn Mathematics: A systematic literature review of contemporary learning strategies in Mathematics education post-2020. *Journal of Innovations in Teaching and Learning*, 4(1), 66-75.

Velez, A. J., Dayaganon, D. G., Robigid, J., Demorito, J., Villegas, J., & Gomez, D. (2023). Difficulties and coping strategies in understanding mathematical concepts in a private higher education in Tagum City, Davao del Norte, Philippines. *Davao Research Journal*, 14(1), 45-54.

Yabo, R. S. (2020). The joyful experience in learning mathematics. *Southeast Asian Mathematics Education Journal*, 10(1), 55-67.